

# ACTA SOCIETATIS ZOOLOGICAE BOHEMICAE

Vol. 59

No. 3 - 4

1995

ISSN 0862-5247

## CONTENTS

### Articles

- DOMBOVSKA L. L.: Utilization of silk, use of webs, and predatory behaviour of the jumping spider *Pseudicius encarpus* (Araneida: Salticidae) ..... 141
- FABRIS L.: Sixteen new species of *Leisus* from Asia (Coleoptera: Carabidae: Nebriini) ..... 145
- HORAK J.: Revision of species of the tribe Mordellistomini from Oriental region. Part I. (Coleoptera: Mordellidae) ..... 165
- JAROSL V. & DRYA I.: Assessment of *Amblyseius barkeri* (Acarina: Phytoseiidae) as a control agent for thrips on greenhouse cucumbers ..... 177
- KUWABE T.: Review of Scorpiones from Thailand with descriptions of *Thatchuthus mabunkai* gen. et sp. n. and *Lychus knili* sp. n. (Buthidae) ..... 187
- BUTLER A., ANDREAS M., BEYDA P., LIU M. & WOLF P.: Mammalian fauna of the Selenge Nos peashole and isthmus, the Balkol Lake, Russia ..... 209
- SIMAS I. & CUNY P.: Ecological preferences of epigeic spiders (Araneida) in the systems of many biotopes ..... 227
- SVOBODA P.: New species of *Anthurus* from China (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) ..... 243
- VITKOV J.: Mating behaviour of *Aphodius* (*Chilothorax*) *distinctus* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae: Aphodiinae) ..... 249
- ZAJACAS A.: The structure and the development of the ductus and saccus endolymphaticus in *Alligator mississippiensis* and *Phrynocephalus versicolor* (Reptilia) ..... 267
- Obituary**
- SVOBODA M.: In memoriam Professor Ota Oliva ..... 273
- Book review**
- BANITESCU P.: Zoogeography of Fresh Waters. I. General Distribution and Dispersal of Freshwater Animals - by V. Kottuck ..... 164

ACTA SOCIETATIS ZOOLOGICAE BOHEMICAE<sup>1)</sup>

*Acta Soc. Zool. Bohem.* Vol. 59, No. 3-4

issued 1995 December 27

ISSN 0862-5247

47678

Editor: Karel Hůrka

Executive editor: David Král

Editorial board: Petr Benda, Jan Buchar, Ivo Hodek, Jaroslav Hrbáček, František Moravec, Vladimír J. A. Novák, Josef Rusek, František Sládeček, Jiří Vávra, Zdeněk Veselovský, Jan Zima

Published and distributed by the Czech Zoological Society. Orders should be sent to the Czech Zoological Society, Viničná 7, CZ-128 00 Praha 2, Czech Republic. Printed by the Čihák tisk, Štěrboholská 21, CZ-102 00 Praha 10, Czech Republic

Annual subscription (Volume 59, 1995, 4 issues)

Institutional subscription: Europe: USD 80.00

Other countries: USD 90.00

Private subscription: Europe: USD 40.00

Other countries: USD 50.00

Czech Republic: Kč 120,- (excl. postage)

This issue was supported by the Český literární fond (Czech Literary Foundation) and by the Czech Ministry of the Environment (Ministerstvo životního prostředí ČR)

© Czech Zoological Society, Praha, 1995

<sup>1)</sup>A direct continuation of:

- (i) Vol. 1 (1927-1932) Zpráva o činnosti československé zoologické Společnosti za léta 1927-1932
- (ii) Vol. 2-53 (1933-1989) Věstník československé Společnosti zoologické (*Věst. Čs. Společ. Zool.*)
- (iii) Vol. 54-56 (1990-1992): *Acta Societatis Zoologicae Bohemoslovacae* (*Acta Soc. Zool. Bohemoslov.*)

**Utilization of silk, use of webs, and predatory behaviour of the jumping spider  
*Pseudicius encarpatus* (Araneida: Salticidae)**

Luděk J. DOBRORUKA

CZ-544 72 Bílá Třemešná 183, Czech Republic

Received May 17 1995 accepted October 5, 1995  
Published December 27, 1995

**Web construction, nest, predatory behaviour, *Pseudicius encarpatus*, Salticidae, Araneida**

**Abstract.** *Pseudicius encarpatus* builds two types of nests: the densely woven cocoon-like or tubular nests for molting, oviposition and over-wintering, and less thick woven, dome-shaped or tent-shaped resting nests. Besides of nests the females *P. encarpatus* build sparsely woven three-dimensional structure of arrays, the "mesh" which they use for prey-capture. However, both sexes of *P. encarpatus* can catch the prey as cursorial hunters, as well. Predation behaviour away from and in the web is described.

**INTRODUCTION**

The Salticids are cursorial, diurnal hunting spiders with acute vision, which they use to stalk, chase and leap on active insects. Recently, however, salticids were found which catch a prey as cursorial hunters but spin prey-catching webs as well (Jackson & Blest 1982, Jackson 1985a, 1985b, 1985c, Jackson 1986a, Jackson & Hallas 1986a, 1986b, Hallas & Jackson 1986, Jackson 1990, Jackson & Pollard 1990, Richman & Jackson 1992). All these unusual, aberrant salticids are mostly tropical and they are generally considered to be primitive (Wanless 1984, Jackson 1986a). *P. encarpatus* is not generally considered to be primitive, although it exhibits, at least in some species, some "primitive" behavioural characters (Jackson 1986b). *P. encarpatus* is the first European salticid in which the building of prey-catching webs was observed.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

30 adult males and 6 adult females of *Pseudicius encarpatus* (Walckenaer, 1802) were observed in the field in Velehrad (Eastern Bohemia, near Dvůr Králové n. L.) from April 29 to June 2, 1994, on trunks of very old cherry trees. The observed unequal sex ratio corresponds well with data given by Fuhn & Gherasim (1984). In the studied biotope *P. encarpatus* lives sympatric with less common *Salticus zebraneus* (C. L. Koch, 1837) and *Salticus pubescens* (Fabricius, 1775). Further 3 adult males and 2 adult females of *P. encarpatus* from the same locality were kept and observed in captivity during 2 months in transparent plastic boxes 140x140x60 mm. Stoppered holes permitted insertion of prey without damaging webs and water was continually available from moist cotton rolls inserted through the hole from outside glass jar filled with water. A skewer with 3 pieces of thin pine bark (about 20x30x3 mm) and some thin twigs were placed in each cage.

If in the text the expressions such as "usually" or "generally", "sometimes" or "occasionally", and "infrequently" or "rarely" were used, they indicate according to the convention frequencies of occurrence of about 80 per cent or more, 20-80 per cent and less than 20 per cent, respectively.



## RESULTS

### Nests

Both sexes of *Pseudicius encarpatus* build dense silky cocoon-like or tubular nests (chambers, retreats) for molting. The molting nests are situated in splits or crevices of the bark or under the loose bark, in the boxes in captivity often in edges or corners. The very similar cocoon-like chambers for oviposition are built by females only and are very dense and firm woven. In the nature they are always under loose bark. The female obviously stays with the eggs in the chamber up to the hatching of spiderlings. The nests for over-wintering are very similar to those for oviposition with the silk very dense and firm. Usually are several chambers found close together in an over-wintering aggregation (Fig. 1<sup>\*)</sup>). In spring I found some similar dense woven chambers with rests of dead males of *Pseudicius encarpatus*. Therefore I suppose that the males build such dense and firm chambers for their hibernation as well.

Sometimes, both sexes of *P. encarpatus* build less thick woven resting nests, roughly dome-shaped or tent-shaped (Fig. 2). These nests are usually used for one night only or for shorter periods of inactivity.

### Prey-catching "mesh"

Besides of nests the females of *Pseudicius encarpatus* build occasionally sparsely woven three-dimension silk structures which crudely resemble a web (the "mesh") of about 3x5 cm, which they use for prey-capture. These prey-catching webs are usually build in or over larger crevices in the bark, in captivity they were build in the corner of the cage or between thin twigs, which were a part of equipment of the box (Fig. 3). In contrary to the nests which were build in most instances at the first day after giving the spider into the cage already, the prey-catching webs were build some days later, not until the spider became familiar with its new environment. The silk of prey-catching webs is non-sticky or very poorly sticky only and it detains briefly insects landing on them.

### Predatory behaviour

The spider usually stays near the web (in the distance of about 2 cm) facing toward it or facing down. If the prey is detained for some period and does not move too vigorously, the spider approaches up to the distance of about 2 body lengths, fixates the prey and then sometimes leaps to catch it, but usually it walks instead of leaping up to the distance of about half body length and catches the prey by lunging at it (i. e. that the tarsi stay on the substrate as the spider moves forward). The spider holds the prey with its chelicerae and, after a short pause it pulls the insect from the silk and moves with the prey to feed on the wall of the cage, where it sits facing downwards.

The predatory behaviour away from the web is principally the same in both sexes of *Pseudicius encarpatus*. The spider may scan the environment from one place and then stalk the prey that comes near, or rarely it walks slowly straight line and stops periodically to look around and stalk the prey, if it is at close distance. The spiders began predatory sequences by turning to face insects that were active or sitting 20-30 mm away, then walk slowly toward them. If one or two body lengths away, the spider rarely leaps at the insect, however, usually it walks closer and lunges on the insect from about half body length away.

---

<sup>\*)</sup> Figs 1-3 will be found at the end of this issue, Plate 5.

The observed *Pseudicius encarpatus* usually took no notice to larger and fast moving insects. In captivity, the Housefly *Musca domestica* Linnaeus, 1758 was usually avoided, on the contrary the smaller and not so vigorous Lesser Housefly *Fannia canicularis* (Linnaeus, 1761) or more slowly moving, long-legged representatives of the dipteran families Dolichopodidae (mostly *Dolichopodus plumipes* (Scopoli, 1763)) and Culicidae (genera *Culex* Linnaeus and *Aedes* Meigen) were generally accepted as a prey.

#### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The comparative study of silk and web utilization and predatory behaviour in Salticidae has taken on heightened interest in last years, because it may help us to resolve some problems of salticid evolution. Recent studies concern before all the representatives of the "primitive" subfamilies Lyssomaninae and Spartheinae and some fissident tropical salticids as *Euryattus* Thorell, 1881 and *Simaetha* Thorell, 1881, which are also considered as "primitive".

The genus *Pseudicius* Simon, 1883, is not generally considered as primitive, although (as mentioned above) it exhibits some "primitive" behavioural characters. The affinities of *Pseudicius* to other salticid genera is not clear enough, it is sometimes ranked into the subfamily Helophaninae, sometimes in Dendryphantinae.

Following results of our behavioural study of *Pseudicius encarpatus* may support the previous statements (Jackson 1986b) of the interesting behavioural aberrance of the genus *Pseudicius*.

1 *P. encarpatus* builds two types of nests: the densely woven cocoon-like or tubular nests for molting, oviposition and over-wintering, and less thick woven, dome-shaped or tent-shaped resting nests.

[Note: the tent-shaped nests resemble the similar structures build by representatives of Spartheinae or *Euryattus*, which are considered to be "primitive" ( Jackson 1985a, Jackson & Hallas 1986a, 1986b) ]

2 Besides of nests females of *P. encarpatus* build sparsely woven three-dimensional structure of arrays, the "mesh", which they use for prey-capture. However, both sexes of *P. encarpatus* can catch motile insects as cursorial hunters, as well.

[Notice: web building is not expected by morphologically advanced salticids (Jackson 1986a) and spinning of webs is considered as plesiomorphic salticid behaviour (Jackson & Blest 1982) ]

3 It removes the prey from the web before feeding.

[Notice: removing prey from the net before feeding has been observed in "primitive" salticid subfamily Lyssomaninae, too (Hallas & Jackson 1986) ]

4 *P. encarpatus* rarely leaps during predation, it usually lunges at the prey from close distance instead of leaping on it.

[Notice: "Runners" which prefer lunging instead of leaping on its prey are considered to be more "primitive" than "advanced" "hoppers" (Crane 1949) ]

#### REFERENCES

- CRANE J. 1949. Comparative biology of salticid spiders at Rancho Grande, Venezuela. Part IV. An analysis of display. *Zool. New York* **34**: 159-214.
- FUHN I. E. & GHERASIM V. 1984. Données systématiques et biologiques concernant le genre *Pseudicius* Simon, 1883 (Araneae, Salticidae) en Roumanie. *Trav. Mus. Hist. Nat. Grigore Antipa* **25**: 51-57.
- HALLAS S. E. A. & JACKSON R. R. 1986. A comparative study of Old and New World Lyssomanines (Araneae, Salticidae): utilisation of silk and predatory behaviour of *Asemonea tenuipes* and *Lyssomanes viridis*. *N. Zeal. J. Zool.* **13**: 543-551.

- JACKSON R R 1985a The biology of *Euryattus spindel*, a web-building jumping spider (Araneae, Salticidae) from Queensland: utilization of silk, predatory behaviour and intraspecific interactions *J Zool London* **206** 145-173
- JACKSON R R 1985b The biology of *Simaetha paetula* and *S. thoracica*, web building jumping spiders (Araneae, Salticidae) from Queensland: co-habitation with social spiders, utilization of silk, predatory behaviour and intraspecific interactions *J Zool London* **206** 175-210
- JACKSON R R 1985c A web building Jumping Spider *Scient Amer* **58** 1-8
- JACKSON R R 1986a Web Building, Predatory Versatility, and the Evolution of Salticidae Pp 232-286 In SHEAR W G (ed) *Spiders: webs, behavior and evolution* Stanford: Stanford Univ Press, 492 pp
- JACKSON R R 1986b Communal jumping spiders (Araneae Salticidae) from Kenya: interspecific nest complexes, co-habitation with web building spiders and interspecific interactions *N Zeal J Zool* **13** 13-26
- JACKSON R R 1990 Comparative study of Lyssomaninae jumping spiders (Araneae: Salticidae): silk use and predatory behaviour of *Asemonea*, *Goleba*, *Lyssomanes*, and *Onomastus* *N Zeal J Zool* **17** 1-6
- JACKSON R R & BLEST A D 1982 The biology of *Portia fimbriata*, a web-building jumping spider (Araneae: Salticidae) from Queensland: utilization of webs and predatory versatility *J Zool London* **196** 255-293
- JACKSON R R & HALLAS S E A 1986a Comparative biology of *Portia africana*, *P. albimana*, *P. fimbriata*, *P. labiata*, and *P. schultzei*, araneophagic, web building jumping spiders (Araneae: Salticidae): utilization of webs, predatory versatility and intraspecific interactions *N Zeal J Zool* **13** 423-489
- JACKSON R R & HALLAS S E A 1986b Predatory versatility and intraspecific interactions of spartaeinae jumping spiders (Araneae: Salticidae): *Brettus adonis*, *B. cingulatus*, *Cyrtus algerina*, and *Phaenius spindel* *N Zeal J Zool* **13** 491-520
- JACKSON R R & POLARD S D 1990 Web building and predatory behaviour of *Spartaeus spinimanus* and *Spartaeus tharlandicus*: primitive jumping spiders (Araneae, Salticidae) from South-east Asia *J Zool London* **220** 561-567
- RICHMAN D B & JACKSON R R 1992 A review of the ethology of jumping spiders (Araneae, Salticidae) *Bull Br Arachnol Soc* **9** 33-37
- WANLESS F R 1984 A review of the spider subfamily Spartaeninae nom n (Araneae: Salticidae) with description of six new genera *Bull Br Mus Nat Hist (Zool)* **46** 135-205



## Sixteen new species of *Leistus* from Asia (Coleoptera: Carabidae: Nebriini)

Jan FARKAČ

Faculty of Forestry, Czech Agricultural University, Kamýcká 957,  
CZ-165 21 Praha 6, Czech Republic

Received July 30, 1995, accepted October 5, 1995  
Published December 27, 1995

**Taxonomy, descriptions, new records, Coleoptera, Carabidae, *Leistus*, Iran, Pakistan, India, Kashmir, Nepal, Sichuan, Yunnan, Gansu, Qinghai, Guizhou, Taiwan, Far East of Russia**

**Abstract** Sixteen species of *Leistus* Froehlig, 1799 all belonging to the subgenus *Evanoleistus* Jedlička, 1967 are described as new and illustrated: *L. richteri* sp. n. from Kashmir and Pakistan, *L. heinzi* sp. n. from Gansu, *L. brancuccii* sp. n. from Yunnan and Guizhou, *L. deuveianus* sp. n., *L. jani* sp. n., *L. klarae* sp. n., *L. kucerai* sp. n. all from Yunnan, *L. barkamensis* sp. n., *L. haeckeli* sp. n., *L. kalabi* sp. n., *L. kangdingensis* sp. n., *L. langmustanus* sp. n., *L. sciakyi* sp. n., *L. shuamaluiko* sp. n., *L. yajiangensis* sp. n. all from Sichuan, and *L. smetanai* sp. n. from Taiwan. The new species are compared to species already known from these regions. In addition, new interesting records of the following species of *Leistus* are presented: *L. (E.) nivium* Andrewes, 1925 from Kashmir, *L. (E.) loebli* Perrault, 1985 from Nepal, *L. (E.) farkaci* Sciaky, 1994 from Qinghai, *L. (E.) nokoensis* Minowa, 1932 from Taiwan, *L. (Leistus) janae* Farkač & Plutenko, 1992 from the Far East of Russia, *L. (Leistus) angulicollis* Fairmaire, 1886 from Yunnan and *L. (Leistus) lenkoranus* Reitter, 1885 from Iran. Holotypes of *L. (E.) kubani* Farkač, 1993, *L. (E.) krali* Farkač, 1993, *L. (Leistus) janae* Farkač & Plutenko, 1992, as well as the lectotype of *L. (Leistus) angulicollis* Fairmaire, 1886 are illustrated.

As more material of the family Carabidae from the eastern part of the Palearctic region becomes recently available, the number of new species of the genus *Leistus* Froehlig, 1799 is steadily growing. This is mainly due to the pronounced endemism of most of the montane species of this genus. Thanks to the works of Perrault (1980, 1985a, 1985b, 1986b, 1988, 1990a, 1991 and 1994) and Sciaky (1994 and paper in press), we have now good knowledge of the species of the genus *Leistus* from the mentioned area.

With the increasing number of known species of the subgenus *Evanoleistus* Jedlička, 1967 the problems concerning the subgeneric division of the genus *Leistus* become more and more apparent. The differences between the subgenera *Evanoleistus*, *Leistus* s. str. and *Neoleistus* Erwin, 1970 are diminishing.

In this paper, which is based on the study of numerous material and on a complete literature survey, sixteen species of the subgenus *Evanoleistus* are described as new.

I have used several indexes in the descriptions of the species, their list including their abbreviations used in the text, follows:

antennal index = length of antennomere 5 / length of antennomere 3 = IA

pronotal index = width / length of pronotum = IPw/l

pronotal index two = maximum width of pronotum / basal width of pronotum = IPm/b

elytral - pronotal index = combined width of elytra / width of pronotum = IE/P

elytral index = length / width of elytra = IEI/w

***Leistus (Evanoleistus) richteri* sp. n. (Figs. 1, 18, 19, 52\*)**

*Leistus (Evanoleistus) nivium* Perrault, 1985b: 51.

DESCRIPTION (habitus of holotype as in Fig. 52). Body length 9.5 - 10.0 mm. Colour dark brownish-black. Mandibles, tibiae, tarsi and antennomeres 2 - 11 paler. Mandibles long with sharp apex. Eyes as usual.  $IA = 1.49$ . Gular setae situated on transverse carina.

Pronotum (Fig. 1). Marginal bead dilated at middle third; lateral margin sinuate in front of posterior angles; posterior angles rectangular; middle portion of front margin and basal area weakly punctate. Basal impressions not particularly distinct; posterior seta absent.  $IPw/l = 1.51$ ,  $IPm/b = 1.77$ .

Elytra. Oval, maximum width behind middle, striae finely punctate.  $IE/P = 1.32$ ,  $IEl/w = 1.61$ .

Aedeagus (Figs 18, 19). In dorsal view with lateral margins distinctly emarginate in front of securiform apex.

AFFINITIES. *Leistus richteri* sp. n. resembles in habitus *L. nivium* Andrewes, 1925, but it differs by the considerably longer, rectangular posterior angles of pronotum and by the dilated marginal bead of pronotum, which is evenly wide in *L. nivium* (Fig. 1, and Perrault 1985b). In addition, the lateral margins of the distal portion of the aedeagus are distinctly emarginate in front of the securiform apex in *L. richteri*, where as they are almost parallelsided in *L. nivium*.

HOLOTYPE (9.4 mm) Male, labelled Pakistan, Kagal-Tal, Shogran env., 2400 - 3000 m, 28.-29.vii.1981, lgt. W. Heinz. In the collection J. Farkač, Praha.

PARATYPES Female, same data as holotype; male and female, same locality as holotype, 2300 - 3000 m, 24.-25.vii.1982, lgt. Erber & Heinz; 2 females, labelled Kachemire, Shogran, 6.-8.viii.1978, 2500 m, lgt. A. Richter, female, labelled Indien, Kashmir, Daksun env., 2700 m, 28.vii. - 2.viii.1989, lgt. W. Heinz; female, labelled Pakistan, Lake Saiful, Muluk, 3050 m, 11.vii.1979, lgt. Wittmer, det. G. G. Perrault, 1981 as *Leistus nivium* Andrewes (see Perrault 1985a, b) In the collections of J. Farkač, Praha; Naturhistorisches Museum Basel; A. Richter, Kempten and W. Heinz, Schwanfeld.


ETYMOLOGY. Patronymic, the new species is named in honour of my friend, Anton Richter, Kempten.

COMMENT. Perrault (1990a) presents a doubtful illustration of *Leistus nivium*, although a few years earlier (Perrault 1985a, b) he illustrates the pronotum and aedeagus from real specimens. It is also unclear, why Perrault, in 1981, named a female, labelled: Pakistan, Lake Saiful, Muluk, 3050 m, 11.vii.1979, lgt. Wittmer (in the collection of Naturhistorisches Museum, Basel) as *L. nivium*. After a careful comparison, I found this specimen not conspecific with the holotype (Natural History Museum, London) of *L. nivium*. It is included in the original series of *L. richteri*.

***Leistus (Evanoleistus) heinzi* sp. n. (Figs 2, 20, 21, 53)**

DESCRIPTION (habitus of holotype as in Fig. 51). Body length 7.3 - 8.2 mm. Colour dark brown, mandibles clypeus, maxillary appendages, tarsi and antennae paler. Eyes prominent. Gular setae situated on transverse carina. Mandibles as usual, with short, sharp apex.  $IA = 1.43 - 1.50$ .

\* Figs 52-71 will be found at the end of this issue, Plate 1-4.



Figs 1-8. Pronotum of: 1 - *Leistus richteri* sp. n.; 2 - *L. heinzi* sp. n.; 3 - *L. brancuccii* sp. n.; 4 - *L. deuvelanus* sp. n.; 5 - *L. jani* sp. n.; 6 - *L. klarae* sp. n.; 7 - *L. kucerai* sp. n.; 8 - *L. barkamensis* sp. n. Scale 1 mm.

Pronotum (Fig. 2). Lateral bead dilated around middle; lateral margin distinctly sinuate in front of posterior angles; posterior angles rectangular; middle portion of front margin and basal area coarsely punctate. Basal impressions distinct. Disc of pronotum very shiny; posterior seta absent.  $IPw/l = 1.48 - 1.50$ ,  $IPm/b = 1.84 - 1.92$ .

Elytra. Oval with prominent shoulders, maximum width behind middle; lateral bead narrow; lateral margin slightly sinuate apically; elytral striae regularly punctate; third interval with traces of 2 - 3 pores.  $IE/P = 1.24 - 1.30$ ,  $IEl/w = 1.58 - 1.68$ .

Aedeagus (Figs 20, 21). Slightly dilated preapically, apex rounded.

AFFINITIES. *Leistus heinzi* sp. n. resembles *L. shuamaluko* sp. n., but it differs by the more distinctly sinuate lateral margin in front of the posterior angles of the pronotum, and by the differently shaped aedeagus (Figs 2, 14, 20, 21, 44, 45).

HOLOTYPE (8.2 mm) Male, labelled China, Gansu, pass ca 62 km W of Linxia, Da-li-Jia-Shan, 3600 m, 9-11 vii 1994, lgt W Heinz. In the collection J Farkač, Praha

PARATYPES. Male and female, same data as holotype. In the collection W Heinz, Schwanfeld

ETYMOLOGY. Patronymic, named in honour of my friend Walter Heinz, Schwanfeld, the collector of this new species.

*Leistus (Evanoleistus) brancuccii* sp. n. (Figs 3, 22, 23, 54)

DESCRIPTION (habitus of holotype as in Fig. 54). Body length 8.1 - 10.0 mm, head and pronotum shiny black, elytra shiny, bronzed. Mandibles, tarsi and antennomeres 5 - 11 paler, brownish. Basal portion of mandibles wide, apex of mandibles sharp. Eyes prominent. Antennae long, slender.  $IA = 1.43$ . Gular setae situated not on transverse carina.

Pronotum (Fig. 3). With wide lateral bead, lateral margin sinuate in front of posterior angles, posterior angles rectangular; anterior and posterior area and lateral bead coarsely punctate; disc shiny; basal impressions distinct; posterior seta absent.  $IPw/l = 1.60 - 1.62$ ,  $IPm/b = 1.72$ .

Elytra. With prominent shoulders; lateral margin straight; punctuation of striae distinct; third interval with traces of four pores.  $IE/P = 1.25 - 1.32$ ,  $IEl/w = 1.50 - 1.60$ .

Aedeagus (Figs 22, 23). In lateral view sharply curved, in dorsal view rounded apically, with distal portion asymmetrical.

AFFINITIES. Based on the asymmetrical portion of the aedeagus, *L. brancuccii* sp. n. belong in the relationship of *L. taiwanensis* Perrault, 1986, *L. perreauti* Perrault, 1986, *L. baudinoti* Deuve, 1985 and *L. himalchuliensis* Perrault, 1986. However, it differs from all markedly by the different shape of the pronotum (Fig. 3 and Deuve 1985 and Perrault 1986b) and by the bronze shine of the elytra.

HOLOTYPE (9.2 mm) Male, labelled Yunnan, 25 04N 101.55E, Yipinglang, 1800-2000 m, 17-20 vi 1994, lgt Z Černý. In the collection J Farkač, Praha

PARATYPES. Male, labelled Yunnan, 25.38N 100.09E, Cangshan, 2800 m, 6 vi 1993, lgt Bolm, female, labelled: Yunnan, 24 57N 98 45E, Gaoligong mts, 2200 - 2500 m, 8-16 v 1995, lgt O Semele, female, labelled CH-Guzhou, Fanjiang shan - Kuaichang, 20 km NW of Jiangkou, 27.v - 3 vi 1995, lgt. E. Jendek & O Šauša. In the collections of the Naturhistorisches Museum, Basel and J Farkač, Praha

ETYMOLOGY. Patronymic, the species is named in honour of Michel Brancucci, Naturhistorisches Museum, Basel.



*Leistus (Evanoleistus) deveianus* sp. n. (Figs 4, 24, 25, 55)

DESCRIPTION (habitus of holotype in Fig. 55). Body length 8.3 - 9.5 mm. Colour pitchy brown, head darker. Mandibles, tarsi and maxillary appendages to the contrary paler. Mandibles long, with sharp, curved apex. Eyes prominent. Gular setae situated on transverse carina.  $IA = 1.40 - 1.50$ .

Pronotum (Fig. 4). Very narrow ( $IPw/l = 1.20 - 1.30$ ) with lateral margin evenly; very slightly sinuate in front of posterior angles, posterior angles obtusely angulate; lateral bead evenly narrow; basal depressions shallow, indistinct; basal area finely, irregularly punctate; posterior seta absent.  $IPm/b = 1.80 - 1.93$ .

Elytra. Elongate-oval, with declining shoulders, maximum width behind middle; lateral bead narrow, with lateral margin more distinct and slightly elevated in humeral area; punctuation of striae as usual.  $IE/P = 1.40 - 1.51$ ,  $IEl/w = 1.67 - 1.86$ .

Aedeagus (Figs 24, 25). In dorsal view narrowed into long, obtuse apical portion, in lateral view evenly curved up to apex.

AFFINITIES. *Leistus deveianus* sp. n. differs from the habitually similar *L. gracilentus* Tschitscherine, 1903 by the in front of posterior angles more straight lateral margins of pronotum and by the more prominent shoulders (Figs 4, 24, 25, 55, and Perrault 1994). It differs from *L. perraulti* Sciaky, 1994 by the differently shaped distal portion of the aedeagus (Figs 24, 25, and Sciaky 1994). *L. deveianus* differs from *L. jani* sp. n. and *L. klarae* sp. n. (see below), occurring also in the mountains of northern Yunnan by the differently shaped pronotum and aedeagus (Figs 4, 24, 25, 5, 26, 27, 6, 28, 29).

HOLOTYPE (8.7 mm) Male, labelled Yunnan, Haba Xue Shan, 4300 m, viii. 1991, lgt T. Deuve. In the collection of the Muséum National d'Histoire naturelle, Paris.

PARATYPES 2 males and 4 females, same data as holotype. In the collections J. Farkač, Praha and in the Muséum National d'Histoire naturelle, Paris.

ETYMOLOGY. Patronymic, named in honour of Thierry Deuve, Muséum National d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, the collector of this new species.

*Leistus (Evanoleistus) jani* sp. n. (Figs 5, 26, 27, 56)

DESCRIPTION (habitus of holotype as in Fig. 56). Body length 9.4 mm (only holotype known).

Elytra pitchy brown, head black. Mandibles, tarsi, basal portions of antennomeres 1 - 4, and antennomeres 5 - 11 paler. Mandibles wide and long, with faint external sinuation. Head prominent. Antennae long and slender.  $IA = 1.33$ . Gular setae situated on transverse carina.

Pronotum (Fig. 5). With slightly prominent, obtuse anterior angles; lateral margin sinuate in front of posterior angles, posterior angles rectangular; lateral bead and basal area punctate; middle portion of anterior margin roof-like elevated; middle line distinct; posterior seta absent.  $IPw/l = 1.30$ ,  $IPm/b = 1.66$ .

Elytra. Elongate-oval, maximum width around middle; lateral margin slightly elevated, more distinctly so at shoulders; punctuation of striae distinct.  $IE/P = 1.30$ ,  $IEl/w = 1.83$ .

Aedeagus (Figs 26, 27). In lateral view evenly curved, margins of distal portion parallel-sided, apex rounded.

Female unknown.

AFFINITIES. *Leistus jani* sp. n. is in its habitus similar to *L. bohemosum* Sciaky, 1994 from Sichuan, but it differs by the shape of the aedeagus (Figs 26, 27, and Sciaky, 1994). It differs from *L. klarae*



sp. n., that occurs at the same locality, by the narrower pronotum (Figs 5, 6) and by the differently shaped distal portion of the aedeagus (Figs 26 - 29).

HOLOTYPE. Male, labelled: N Yunnan, 27.49N 99.34E, mts. 15 km W of Zhongdian, 4200 - 4700 m, 23.vi.1994, lgt. J. Farkač & D. Král. In the collection J. Farkač, Praha.

ETYMOLOGY. Patronymic, dedicated to my son Jan.

COLLECTION CIRCUMSTANCES. The holotype was taken together with *L. klarae* sp. n. at the elevation of about 4500 m from under bark of coniferous trees, lying on the ground.

*Leistus (Evanoleistus) klarae* sp. n. (Figs 6, 28, 29, 57)

DESCRIPTION (habitus of holotype as in Fig. 57). Body length 8.8 - 9.3 mm. Colour shiny brown-black, head darker; tarsi, antennomeres 2 - 11, mandibles and maxillary appendages to the contrary paler. Head larger than usual, mandibles wide, shortly acuminate, with faint external sinuation. Eyes larger than usual. Gular setae situated on small transverse carina.  $IA = 1.25 - 1.33$ .

Pronotum (Fig. 6). Lateral bead dilated toward posterior angles; lateral margin only vaguely sinuate in front of obtusely angulate posterior angles; lateral bead and basal area irregularly, weakly punctate; middle line distinct. Posterior seta absent.  $IPw/l = 1.38 - 1.46$ ,  $IPm/b = 1.69 - 1.79$ .

Elytra. Oval, maximum width at middle portion; lateral margin slightly elevated at shoulders; punctuation of striae distinct.  $IE/P = 1.25 - 1.33$ ,  $IEl/w = 1.71 - 1.80$ .

Aedeagus (Figs 28, 29). Narrowed into obtuse apex distally.

AFFINITIES. *Leistus klarae* sp. n. differs from *L. bohemosci* Sciaky, 1994 from Sichuan by the shape of the aedeagus (Figs 28, 29, and Sciaky, 1994). It differs from *L. jani* sp. n., that lives at the same locality, by the different shape of the pronotum (Figs 5, 6), and by the differently shaped distal portion of the aedeagus (Figs 26 - 29).

HOLOTYPE (9.0 mm). Male, labelled: N Yunnan, 27.49N 99.34E, mts. 15 km W of Zhongdian, 4200 - 4700 m, 23.vi.1994, lgt. J. Farkač & D. Král. In the collection J. Farkač, Praha.

PARATYPES. 4 males and 1 female, same data as holotype. In the collections J. Farkač, Praha, and R. Sciaky, Milano.

ETYMOLOGY. Patronymic, dedicated to my daughter Klára.

COLLECTION CIRCUMSTANCES. The original series was taken, together with *L. jani* sp. n., at the elevation of about 4500 m, from under bark of coniferous trees lying on the ground.


*Leistus (Evanoleistus) kucerai* sp. n. (Figs 7, 30, 31, 58)

DESCRIPTION (habitus of holotype as in Fig. 58). Body length 10.0 - 11.1 mm. Colour black, antennae, mandibles and tarsi brownish. Head of usual size and shape with irregular, rugose impressions above eyes. Apex mandibles sharp. Gular setae situated on small transverse carina. Antennae long and slender.  $IA = 1.47 - 1.60$ .

Pronotum (Fig. 7). Big distinctive, lateral bead wide, flat, widened toward posterior angles; posterior angles sharply rectangular; basal impressions distinct, basal depressions coarsely punctate; posterior seta absent.  $IPw/l = 1.48 - 1.66$ ,  $IPm/b = 1.75 - 1.94$ .

Elytra. Broadly oval, maximum width at about first third; shoulders rounded; lateral bead distinct; punctuation of striae distinct.  $IE/P = 1.07 - 1.18$ ,  $IEl/w = 1.70 - 1.87$ .

Aedeagus (Figs 30, 31). In lateral view with straight, not curved apex.



Figs 9-16 Pronotum of, 9 - *L. haeckeli* sp. n., 10 - *L. kalabi* sp. n., 11 - *L. kangdangensis* sp. n.; 12 - *L. langmustianus* sp. n.; 13 - *L. sciakyi* sp. n.; 14 - *L. shuamaluko* sp. n.; 15 - *L. yajiangensis* sp. n.; 16 - *L. smetanai* sp. n. Scale 1 mm

**AFFINITIES** *Leistus kucerae* sp. n. resembles by its habitus *L. kubani* Farkač, 1993 and *L. yunnanus* Banninger, 1925, but differs from both markedly by the shape of the distal portion of the aedeagus, which is curved in lateral view in *L. kubani* and straight in *L. kucerae* (Figs 30, 31 and see also Farkač 1993). The distal portion of the aedeagus is asymmetrical in *L. yunnanus* (Fig. in Perrault 1985a).

**HOLOTYPE** (11.0 mm) Male, labelled China, Yunnan, Zhongdian, 16.21.vi.1994, lgt. E. Kučera. In the collection J. Farkač, Praha.

**PARATYPES** 8 males and female, same data as holotype, 4 males, same data as holotype, dated 17.vi.1995. In the collections J. Farkač, Praha, P. Bulirsch, Lovosice, E. Kučera, Soběslav and R. Scitaky, Milano.

**ETYMOLOGY** Patronymic, named in honour of Emil Kučera, the collector of this new species.

***Leistus (Evanoleistus) barkamensis* sp. n.** (Figs 8, 32, 33, 59)

**DESCRIPTION** (habitus of holotype as in Fig. 59) Body length 8.9 - 9.1 mm (only two males known). Colour black with slight brownish tint, surface shiny. Mandibles, maxillary appendages, tarsi and antennomeres 2 - 11 paler, yellowish-brown. Eyes prominent. Gular setae situated on transverse carina.  $IA = 1.45 - 1.50$ .

**Pronotum** (Fig. 8) With wide lateral bead, narrowed in front of posterior angles, lateral margin distinctly sinuate in front of posterior angles, posterior angles rectangular, pronotal base narrow, middle portion at anterior margin and basal area coarsely punctate, middle of pronotum shiny, posterior seta absent.  $IPw/l = 1.47 - 1.49$ ,  $IPm/b = 2.04 - 2.12$ .

**Elytra** Oval, with evenly rounded shoulders, maximum width behind middle, lateral bead narrow, punctate, punctuation of striae distinct, coarse.  $IE/P = 1.21 - 1.27$ ,  $IEl/w = 1.64 - 1.66$ .

**Aedeagus** (Figs 32, 33) In dorsal view with obtuse, rounded apex.

**Female** unknown.

**AFFINITIES** *Leistus barkamensis* sp. n. is in habitus similar to *L. shuamaluko* sp. n., but it by the different shape of pronotum (Figs 8, 14) and by the considerably different shape of the distal portion of the aedeagus (32, 33, 44, 45).

**HOLOTYPE** (9.1 mm) Male, labelled China, Sichuan, Barkam S-environs, 31°53'N 102°12'E, 2900 - 3700 m, 22.vi.1994, lgt. K. & B. Březina. In the collection J. Farkač, Praha.

**PARATYPE** Male, same data as holotype, lgt. M. Hackel. In the collection J. Farkač, Praha.

**ETYMOLOGY** The specific name is derived from the name of the village Barkam, in the vicinity of which the new species was found.

**COLLECTIONS CIRCUMSTANCES** The original specimens were collected in a mixed broadleaved and coniferous forest.

***Leistus (Evanoleistus) haeckeli* sp. n.** (Figs 9, 34, 35, 60)

**DESCRIPTION** (habitus of holotype as in Fig. 60) Body length 8.5 - 9.6 mm. Colour shiny black, mandibles, maxillary appendages, tarsi, basal parts of antennomeres 2 - 4, and antennomeres 5 - 11 paler. Mandibles long with sharp apex, eyes prominent. Gular setae situated on small transverse carina. Antennae long and slender.  $IA = 1.58 - 1.68$ .

**Pronotum** (Fig. 9) Lateral bead from seta at middle portion of lateral margin dilated toward posterior angles, arc of lateral pronotal margin uneven, almost straight in front of posterior angles, posterior angles obtusely angulate, basal area coarsely punctate, posterior seta absent.  $IPw/l = 1.28 - 1.45$ ,  $IPm/b = 2.09 - 2.24$ .

Elytra. Oval, maximum width behind middle; punctuation of striae distinct; lateral bead of elytra more distinctive at shoulders; 3 - 6 setiferous punctures in third interval.  $IE/P = 1.27 - 1.36$ ,  $IEI/w = 1.66 - 1.74$ .

Aedeagus (Figs 34, 35). In lateral view thickened before apex, apex itself obtuse.

AFFINITIES. *Leistus haeckeli* sp. n. is in the habitus similar to *L. sichuanus* Sciaky, 1994, but it differs by the in basal portion narrower pronotum (*L. haeckeli*  $IPm/b = 2.09 - 2.24$ , *L. sichuanus*  $IPm/b = 1.93$ ). It differs from the habitually similar *L. sciakyi* sp. n., occurring in the same range, by the different shape of the distal portion of the aedeagus (Figs 34, 35, 42, 43).

HOLOTYPE (9.0 mm) Male, labelled China, W Sichuan, Gongga Shan mts, Moxi env., vi.1993, lgt. M. Häckel. In the collection J. Farkač, Praha.

PARATYPES. Female, same data as holotype; female, same data as holotype, lgt. V. Beneš, female, labelled China, C Sichuan (Kangding), Gongga Shan massive, 29 49N 102 03E, ca 25 km NNW of Moxi village, 2900 m, 30.v.1993, lgt. B. Březina, male, labelled China, Sichuan, Gongga Shan, above Camp 3, 3300 - 3350 m, 23.vii.1994, lgt. A. Smetana, 3 males and female, labelled China, Sichuan, Gongga Shan, above Camp 3, 3300 m, 25-27.vii.1994, lgt. V. Beneš, 8 males, labelled W Sichuan, Gonggashan - Hailuoguo, 29 35N 102 00E, 2900 - 3200 m, 3.-6.vii.1994, lgt. J. Farkač & D. Král. In the collections J. Farkač, Praha; V. Beneš, Ústí n. L.; M. Dvořák, Praha; M. Häckel, Ústí n. L.; Naturhistorisches Museum, Basel; Muséum National d'Histoire naturelle, Paris and R. Sciaky, Milano.

ETYMOLOGY. Patronymic, named in honour of my friend Martin Häckel, the first collector of this new species.

COLLECTION CIRCUMSTANCES. Specimens were collected in a montane, mixed broadleaved and coniferous forest.

### *Leistus (Evanoleistus) kalabi* sp. n. (Figs 10, 36, 37, 61)

DESCRIPTION (habitus of holotype as in Fig. 61). Body length 9.0 - 10.0 mm. Colour brown to piceous-brown, surface shiny. Legs, antennae, mandibles and maxillary appendages paler. Eyes prominent. Gular setae situated on transverse carina.  $IA = 1.34 - 1.47$ .

Pronotum (Fig. 10). Heart-shaped, with narrow basal portion; lateral margins evenly rounded, not sinuate before posterior angles; basal area markedly lowered, coarsely punctate; lateral bead narrow, not dilated toward posterior angles; posterior seta absent.  $IPw/I = 1.42 - 1.51$ ,  $IPm/b = 2.01 - 2.17$ .

Elytra. Oval, maximum width behind middle; shoulders not prominent, evenly rounded; punctuation of striae distinct; elytral apex evenly curved.  $IE/P = 1.32 - 1.41$ ,  $IEI/w = 1.57 - 1.65$ .

Aedeagus (Figs 36, 37). Evenly narrowed into narrowly arcuate apex.

AFFINITIES. *Leistus kalabi* sp. n. resembles *L. deuvei* Perrault, 1994, but it differs by the differently shaped aedeagus (Figs 36, 37, and Perrault 1994). *Leistus kalabi* differs from *L. yajiangensis* sp. n. by the differently shaped distal portion of the aedeagus (Figs 36, 37, 46, 47).

HOLOTYPE (9.0 mm) Male, labelled China, SW Sichuan, road Litang-Sumdo, pass 60 km SSW of Litang, 4602 m, 4-7.vii.1994. In the collection J. Farkač, Praha.

PARATYPES. 13 males and 21 females, same data as holotype, 9 males and 8 females, labelled China, W Sichuan, road Litang Batang, pass 70 km WNW of Litang, 4675 m, 21-22.vii.1994; 3 males and female, labelled China, SW Sichuan, road Daocheng-Gaqaq, pass 35 km S of Daocheng, 3500 m, 15.-18.vii.1994. In the collections J. Farkač, Praha, I. Bělousov, Saint Petersburg; P. Bulirsch, Lovosice; Canadian National Collection, Ottawa, M. Dvořák, Praha, M. Häckel, Ústí n. L., W. Heinz, Schwanfeld; I. Kabak, Almaty; J. Kaláb, Jinačovice, P. Morvan, Brezih, Muséum d'Histoire naturelle, Geneva; Muséum National d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, Naturhistorisches Museum, Basel, A. Plutenko, Artem; R. Sciaky, Milano; Taiwan Agricultural Research Institute, Wufeng and D. Wrase, Berlin.

ETYMOLOGY. Patronymic, named in honour of my friend, the Moravian carabidologist Jaroslav Kaláb, Jinačovice.

COLLECTION CIRCUMSTANCES. All specimens of the original series were taken in the alpine zone.

*Leistus (Evanoleistus) kangdingensis* sp. n. (11, 38, 39, 62)

DESCRIPTION (habitus of holotype as in Fig. 62). Body length 7.4 - 8.0 mm. Colour black, surface shiny. Mandibles, maxillary appendages, antennae and tarsi paler. Eyes prominent. Gular setae situated on transverse carina.  $IA = 1.55 - 1.57$ .

Pronotum (Fig. 11). Lateral bead narrow, lateral margin evenly rounded, straight in front of posterior angles; posterior angles obtusely angulate; basal area finely and sparsely punctate; posterior seta absent.  $IPw/l = 1.44 - 1.47$ ,  $IPm/b = 2.00 - 2.09$ .

Elytra. Oval, maximum width behind middle; shoulders indistinct; lateral bead narrow; striae finely punctate, both striae and punctuation becoming obsolete in apical portion.  $IE/P = 1.24 - 1.31$ ,  $IEI/w = 1.56 - 1.68$ .

Aedeagus (Figs 38, 39). In dorsal view relatively wide, narrowed into short apex.

Female unknown.

AFFINITIES. *Leistus kangdingensis* sp. n. is in habitus similar to *L. saueri* Sciaky, 1994, but it differs by the differently shaped aedeagus (Figs 38, 39, and Sciaky 1994).

HOLOTYPE (8.0 mm): male, labelled: China, W Sichuan, road Kangding-Xinduqiao, pass 16 km W of Kangding, 4290 m, 3.viii. 1994. In the collection J. Farkač, Praha.

PARATYPES. Male, same data as holotype; male, labelled: China, W Sichuan, 30 km W of Kangding, 5000 m, 26.vii.1994, lgt. V. Beneš. In the collection J. Farkač, Praha.

ETYMOLOGY. The specific name is derived from the name of the town of Kangding, in the vicinity of which the new species was found.

COLLECTION CIRCUMSTANCES. All specimens were taken in the alpine zone.

*Leistus (Evanoleistus) langmusianus* sp. n. (Figs 12, 40, 41, 63)


DESCRIPTION (habitus of holotype as in Fig. 63). Body length 9.0 - 9.8 mm. Colour black, surface shiny. Mandibles, maxillary appendages, basal portions of antennomeres 2 - 4 and antennomeres 5 - 11 paler. Eyes prominent. Gular setae situated on transverse carina.  $IA = 1.45 - 1.52$ .

Pronotum (Fig. 12). Lateral bead from setiferous puncture, situated around middle, inconspicuously dilated toward posterior angles; lateral margin slightly sinuate in front of posterior angles; posterior angles obtusely angulate; middle portion at anterior margin and basal area coarsely punctate; pronotal disc convex, shiny; posterior seta absent.  $IPw/l = 1.41 - 1.46$ ,  $IPm/b = 2.28 - 2.30$ .

Elytra. Oval, maximum width behind middle; shoulders evenly rounded, weakly distinct; lateral bead narrow, more distinct at shoulders; lateral margin slightly sinuate before apex; punctuation of striae distinct; apex more extended in female.  $IE/P = 1.23 - 1.30$ ,  $IEI/w = 1.52 - 1.67$ .

Aedeagus (Figs 40, 41). Robust, width short, obtuse apex.

AFFINITIES. *Leistus langmusianus* sp. n. resembles in habitus *L. gansuensis* Sciaky (in press), but differs by the differently shaped distal portion of the aedeagus and by the less oval elytra (Figs 40, 41, 63 and Sciaky in press).



Figs 17-33 Pronotum of 17 - *L. angulicollis* Fairmaire, 1886, aedeagus in dorsal and lateral view of 18, 19 - *L. richieri* sp. n., 20, 21 - *L. heinzi* sp. n., 22, 23 - *L. brancuccii* sp. n., 23, 25 - *L. deuveianus* sp. n., 26, 27 - *L. jani* sp. n., 28, 29 - *L. klarae* sp. n., 30, 31 - *L. kucera* sp. n., 32, 33 - *L. barkamensis* sp. n. Scale 1 mm

HOLOTYPE (9.0 mm) Male, labelled China, Sichuan, Langmusi env., 3500–3600 m, 13–14 vii 1994, lgt. W. Heinz. In the collection J. Farkač, Praha.  
PARATYPE. Female, labelled China, Sichuan, Langmusi, 3600 m, 14 vii 1994, lgt. A. Smetana (C15). In the collection J. Farkač, Praha.

ETYMOLOGY The specific name is derived from the name of the village of Langmusi, in the vicinity of which the new species was found.

*Leistus (Evanoleistus) sciakyi* sp. n. (Figs 13, 42, 43, 64)

DESCRIPTION (habitus of holotype as in Fig. 64) Body length 8.5–9.5 mm. Colour shiny black, mandibles, maxillary appendages, tarsi, basal portions of antennomeres 2–4 and antennomeres 5–11 paler, brownish. Mandibles long, with sharp apex, eyes prominent. Gular setae situated on small transverse carina.  $IA = 1.48 - 1.68$ .

Pronotum (Fig. 13) Lateral bead from setiferous puncture, situated around middle, slightly dilated toward posterior angles, arc of lateral margin uneven, slightly sinuate in front of posterior angles, posterior angles obtusely angulate, basal area punctate, posterior seta absent.  $IPw/l = 1.36 - 1.45$ ,  $IPm/b = 2.15 - 2.29$ .

Elytra Oval, maximum width behind middle, punctuation of striae distinct, 4–5 setiferous punctures in third interval.  $IE/P = 1.27 - 1.34$ ,  $IEI/w = 1.67 - 1.71$ .

Aedeagus (Figs 42, 43) In lateral view evenly narrowed toward narrowly arcuate apex.

AFFINITIES *Leistus sciakyi* sp. n. resembles in habitus *L. haeckeli* sp. n., occurring in the same mountain range, but it differs by the differently shaped distal portion of the aedeagus (Figs 34, 35, 42, 43). It differs from *L. sichuanus* Sciaky, 1994 by the narrower pronotum (Figs 13, 64, and Sciaky 1994).

HOLOTYPE (9.1 mm) Male, labelled W. Sichuan 29°50'N 102°03'E, Gongga Shan mts. NE slope 2500–3500 m 8 vii 1994, lgt. J. Farkač & D. Král. In the collection J. Farkač, Praha.

PARATYPES 31 males and 24 females, the same data as holotype. In the collections J. Farkač, Praha; I. Bělousov, Saint Petersburg; P. Bulirsch, Lovosice, Canadian National Collection, Ottawa; M. Dvořák, Praha; M. Fassati, Praha; M. Hackel, Usti nad Labem; W. Heinz, Schwanfeld; I. Kabak, Almaty; P. Morvan, Breizh, Muséum d'Histoire naturelle, Geneva; Muséum National d'Histoire naturelle, Paris; National Museum, Praha; Naturhistorisches Museum, Basel; A. Plutenko, Artem; R. Sciaky, Milano; Taiwan Agricultural Research Institute, Wufeng and D. Wrase, Berlin.

ETYMOLOGY Patronymic, named in honour of my colleague and friend, Riccardo Sciaky, the renowned carabidologist from Milano.

COLLECTION CIRCUMSTANCES All specimens were taken at about 3200 m of elevation, running during the night on exposed dirt slopes of the trail in a montane mixed forest.

*Leistus (Evanoleistus) shuamaluko* sp. n. (Figs 14, 44, 45, 65)


DESCRIPTION (habitus of holotype as in Fig. 65) Body length 8.7 mm (only holotype known). Colour black, surface shiny. Tarsi, mandibles, maxillary appendages and antennomeres 4–11 paler. Eyes prominent. Gular setae situated on transverse carina.  $IA = 1.50$ .

Pronotum (Fig. 14) With conspicuous lateral margin, markedly angulate in middle portion and slightly sinuate in front of posterior angles, lateral bead dilated at angular portion of lateral margin, basal area coarsely punctate, posterior seta absent.  $IPw/l = 1.43$ ,  $IPm/b = 2.10$ .

Elytra Oval, distinctly widest behind middle, lateral bead narrow, shoulders not pronounced, evenly rounded, punctuation of striae coarse.  $IE/P = 1.30$ ,  $IEI/w = 1.71$ .

Aedeagus (Figs 44, 45) In dorsal view narrowed into obtuse, rounded apex.





Figs 34-51 Aedeagus in dorsal and lateral view of 34, 35 - *L. haeckeli* sp. n.; 36, 37 - *L. kalabi* sp. n.; 38, 39 - *L. kangdingensis* sp. n.; 40, 41 - *L. langmustanus* sp. n.; 42, 43 - *L. sciakyi* sp. n.; 44, 45 - *L. shuamalu* sp. n.; 46, 47 - *L. yajiangensis* sp. n.; 48, 49 - *L. smetanai* sp. n.; 50, 51 - *L. angulicollis* Fairmaire, 1886. Scale 1 mm.



Female unknown.

**AFFINITIES.** *Leistus shuamaluko* sp. n. resembles in general habitus *L. barkamensis* sp. n., but it differs by the different shape of the pronotum (Figs 8, 14) and by the markedly different distal portion of the aedeagus (Figs 32, 33, 44, 45).

**HOLOTYPE.** Male, labelled: China, Sichuan, Shuamaluko, vi.1994, lgt. M. Häckel. In the collection J. Farkač, Praha.

**ETYMOLOGY.** The specific name is the name of the village of Shuamaluko, the type locality, noun in apposition.

***Leistus (Evanoleistus) yajiangensis* sp. n. (Figs 15, 46, 47, 66)**

**DESCRIPTION** (habitus of holotype as in Fig. 66). Body length 8.3 mm (only holotype known). Colour brownish-black, surface shiny. Legs, antennae, mandibles and maxillary appendages paler. Eyes prominent. Gular setae situated on transverse carina.  $IA = 1.56$ .

**Pronotum** (Fig. 15). Lateral margin evenly rounded; lateral bead slightly dilated toward posterior angles; lateral margin in front of posterior angles almost straight, with traces of sinuation; posterior angles obtusely angulate; basal area sparsely punctate; posterior seta absent.  $IPw/l = 1.45$ ,  $IPm/b = 1.98$ .

**Elytra.** Oval, maximum width behind middle; shoulders evenly rounded, without humeral tooth. Lateral bead narrow, gradually disappearing before apex; punctuation of elytral striae distinct; third interval with traces of three larger pores.  $IE/P = 1.30$ ,  $IEl/w = 1.70$ .

**Aedeagus** (Figs 46, 47). In lateral view more pronouncedly curved before apex, in dorsal view distal portion slightly dilated, with distinct median groove; apex narrowly rounded.

Female unknown.

**AFFINITIES.** *Leistus yajiangensis* sp. n. resembles in general habitus *L. kalabi* sp. n. and *L. kangdingensis* sp. n., but it differs from both by the shape of pronotum and of the aedeagus (Figs 10, 11, 15, 36 - 39, 46, 47, 61, 62, 66).

**HOLOTYPE.** Male, labelled: China, W Sichuan, road Litang-Yajiang, pass 20 km W of Yajiang, 3200 - 3500 m, 23.-25.vii.1994. In the collection J. Farkač, Praha.

**ETYMOLOGY.** The specific name is derived from the name of the village Yajiang, the type locality.

***Leistus (Evanoleistus) smetanai* sp. n. (Figs 16, 48, 49, 67)**

**DESCRIPTION** (habitus of holotype as in Fig. 67). Body length 9.0 - 9.6 mm. Colour shiny brown, head darker. Mandibles, maxillary appendages, clypeus, antennomeres 2 - 11 and front tarsi paler, femora on contrary darkened. Mandibles markedly long, with not much curved but sharp apex. Eyes usual. Gular setae situated on transverse carina.  $IA = 1.68 - 1.70$ .

**Pronotum** (Fig. 16). Almost as long as wide;  $IPw/l \approx 1.15 - 1.18$ . Lateral bead narrow, vaguely dilated toward posterior angles; lateral margin vaguely angulate in middle portion, with setiferous puncture at angle, slightly sinuate before posterior angles; posterior angles almost rectangular; posterior seta absent.  $IPm/b = 1.61 - 1.69$ .

**Elytra.** Without shoulders, maximum width behind second third; punctuation of striae fine.  $IE/P = 1.47 - 1.52$ ,  $IEl/w = 1.57 - 1.82$ .

**Aedeagus** (Figs 48, 49). Asymmetrically dilated distally.

**AFFINITIES.** Based on the asymmetrically dilated distal portion of the aedeagus *L. smetanai* sp. n. belongs near the species *L. chalcites* Andrewes, 1936, *L. kumatai* Habu, 1973, *L. heinigeri* Morvan, 1991 and *L. rolex* Morvan, 1991. It differs from all of them by the markedly different shape of the pronotum, as well as by the general habitus, resembling that of the central Asian species around *L. juldusanus* Reitter, 1913 (Figs 16, 67 and Perrault 1985b, Morvan 1991 and Shilenkov & Kabak, 1994).

**HOLOTYPE** (9.6 mm) Male, labelled. Taiwan, Taichung, Hsien Hsuehshan, above Shan-Liu-Gieu Hut, 3200 m, 8.v.1991, lgt. A. Smetana (T72) In the collection J. Farkač, Praha.

**PARATYPES** 2 males, same data as holotype, 1 labelled 8.-10.v.1991, (T70) and 1 labelled 7.v.1991, (T69). In the collections of the Taiwan Agricultural Research Institute, Wufeng, and the Canadian National Collection, Ottawa.

**ETYMOLOGY.** Patronymic, named in honour of my friend, the renowned entomologist, Aleš Smetana, Ottawa, who collected this new species.

### ***Leistus* (s. str.) *janae* Farkač & Plutenko, 1992 (Fig. 70)**

*Leistus* (s. str.) *janae* Farkač & Plutenko, 1992: 161-162

**NEW RECORD.** I have examined three specimens, labelled. E Russia, S Sikhote Alin Mts., Mt. Oblachnaya, 1700 m, vi.1994, lgt. A. Plutenko [the type locality]

This species was previously known only from the original nine specimens (Farkač & Plutenko 1992) Compared with the holotype in collection J. Farkač, Praha.

### ***Leistus* (s. str.) *lenkoranus* Reitter, 1885**

*Leistus lenkoranus* Reitter, 1885: 213-219.

*Leistus lenkoranus* Morvan, 1977: 22-23, 40-42

*Leistus* (s. str.) *lenkoranus*: Perrault, 1988: 48-50; 1986a: 60; 1990b: 204. = *Leistus deliae* Morvan, 1977: 22-23, 40-42 (syn. by Perrault, 1990b: 204).

**NEW RECORD.** Male, labelled. Iran, Assalam-Hero-abad, 1800 - 2350 m, 11.v.1970, lgt. Wittmer & Bothmer, det. W. Heinz, 1970 as *L. fulvus* Chaudoir, 1846 (unpubl.)

Compared with the lectotype in collection Muséum d'Histoire naturelle, Paris.

### ***Leistus* (s. str.) *angulicollis* Fairmaire, 1886 (Figs 17, 50, 51, 71 and Perrault 1991)**

*Leistus angulicollis* Fairmaire, 1886a: 307; 1986b: 223-224; Tschitschérine, 1903: 22-33; Sciaky, 1994: 209.

*Leistus* (*Neoleistus*) *angulicollis*. Perrault, 1991: 16-18.

*Leistus* (s. str.) *angulicollis*: Perrault, 1994: 169-170.

**NEW RECORD.** I have examined two specimens, labelled. Yunnan, 27.02N 100.11E, Yulongshan mts., 3600 - 4100 m, 27.v.1993 (male), and Yunnan, 27.02N 100.11E, Yulongshan mts., 4000 m, lgt. Bolm (female)

Compared with the lectotype (Fig. 71) in collection Muséum d'Histoire naturelle, Paris (lectotype designation see Perrault 1991: 18-19)

### ***Leistus* (*Evanoleistus*) *farkaci* Sciaky, 1994**

*Leistus* (*Evanoleistus*) *farkaci* Sciaky, 1994: 206-207.

**NEW RECORD.** I have examined five specimens, labelled. China, Qinghai, Laji-shan-pass, S Xining, 3700 - 3900 m, 13-15.vii.1993, lgt. W. Heinz; 31 specimens, labelled: China, E Qinghai (Gonghe), 36.32 N 101.04 E, mts. 20 km N

Daotanghe, ca 4200 m, 3 vii 1995, alpine meadow/scree/grassland, lgt M Janata (17 spec) and K & B Březina (14 spec)

Compared with the paratype in collection J Farkač, Praha

***Leistus (Evanoleistus) loebli* Perrault, 1985**

*Leistus (Evanoleistus) loebli* Perrault, 1985a 16, 1985b 39

NEW RECORD I have examined one female specimen, labelled Nepal, prov Bagmati, Mere Dara, 3200 m, 8 iv 1981, lgt I Lobl & A Smetana

This species was previously known only from the holotype (Perrault 1985a) Compared with holotype in Muséum d'Histoire naturelle, Geneva

***Leistus (Evanoleistus) nivium* Andrewes, 1925**

*Leistus nivium* Andrewes, 1925 312, 1929 108, Banninger, 1925 331

*Leistus (Evanoleistus) nivium* Perrault, 1985a 16, 1985b 50-51, 1990a 102-104

NEW RECORD I have examined 3 specimens, labelled Kachemure, Pahalgam env, 2000 m, vi 1980, lgt A Richter, and 3 specimens, labelled Indien (Kashmir), Pahalgam env 2200 - 2900 m, 28-31 vii 1980, lgt W Hemz

Compared with the holotype in collection Natural History Museum, London

***Leistus (Evanoleistus) nokoensis* Minowa, 1932**

*Leistus nokoensis* Minowa, 1932 281

*Leistus (Evanoleistus) nokoensis* Perrault, 1985a 15, 23-24, 1986b 130

NEW RECORD I have examined 17 specimens from Taiwan 16 specimens Taichung Hsien Hsuehshan, above Shan-Liu-Gieu Hut, 3200 m, 8 v 1991, 3220 m, 7 v 1991, 3350 m, 10 v 1991, Nantou Hsien Nienkaoshan, Tenchi Hut, 2900 m, 5 v 1991, lgt A Smetana, and 1 male Central Cross Island Highway, 500 - 2700 m, 20 xi -7 xii 1991, lgt L + R Businsky

The specimens from Hsuehshan were taken from unde bark of fallen firs *Abies kawakamii* Compared with the type in the collection Taiwan Agricultural Research Institute, Wufeng

**List of *Leistus* species from Yunnan, Sichuan and Gansu**

**Yunnan**

subgenus *Evanoleistus* Jedlička, 1967

*yunnanus* Banninger, 1925

*kruli* Farkač, 1993

*kubani* Farkač, 1993

*brancuccii* sp n

*deuveianus* sp n

*jani* sp n

*klarae* sp n

*kucerai* sp n

subgenus *Leistus* s str Froehlig, 1799

*angulicollis* Fairmaire, 1886

*businskyi* Dvořák, 1994

## Sichuan

- subgenus *Evanoleistus* Jedlička, 1967
  - crenifer* Tschitscherine, 1903
  - gracilentus* Tschitscherine, 1903
    - = *gracillimus* Tschitscherine, 1903 (syn. by Perrault, 1994)
  - nubicola* Tschitscherine, 1903
  - cylindricus* Sciaky, 1994
  - pavesi* Sciaky, 1994
  - perraulti* Sciaky, 1994
  - saueri* Sciaky, 1994
  - sichuanus* Sciaky, 1994
  - deuvei* Perrault, 1994
  - barkamensis* sp. n.
  - haeckeli* sp. n.
  - kalabi* sp. n.
  - kangdingensis* sp. n.
  - langmustanus* sp. n.
  - sciakyi* sp. n.
  - shuamaluko* sp. n.
  - yajiangensis* sp. n.
  - latissimus* Sciaky, in press
  - pseudocrenifer* Sciaky, in press
  - vignai* Sciaky, in press
- subgenus *Leistus* s. str. Froehlig, 1799
  - cycloderus* Tschitscherine, 1903
  - ludmiliae* Dvofák, 1994

## Gansu

- subgenus *Evanoleistus* Jedlička, 1967
  - reflexus* Semenov, 1889
  - bohemosum* Sciaky, 1994
  - heinsi* sp. n.
  - gansuensis* Sciaky, in press

## GENERAL DISCUSSION

Sciaky (1994 and paper in press) mentions the problems concerning the subgeneric assignment of the species of the genus *Leistus* from eastern and southeastern Asia and questions the assignment of some of the Asian species to the subgenus *Neoleistus*. I believe that the subgenus *Neoleistus* comprises only the three endemic Nearctic species and that the assignment of the species of *Leistus*, known at present from eastern and southeastern Asia to the subgenera *Leistus* s. str., and *Evanoleistus* should be reassessed. Also, a redefinition of these subgenera, based on the shape of the aedeagus, seems to be inevitable and may even include erection of new subgenera. However, additional material is still needed, because the number of the species known from the area at present, is by far probably not final. This topic will be addressed in an annotated catalogue of the Holarctic species of the genus *Leistus*, which is in preparation as a joint project with Dr R. Sciaky.

## Acknowledgements

I thank all my colleagues, who in any way contributed to the realization of this paper, particularly to two of my friends, the renowned entomologists Dr Aleš Smetana (Agriculture Canada, Ottawa) and Dr Riccardo Sciaky (Milano)

The type materials was made available to me by Dr Michel Brancucci (Naturhistorisches Museum, Basel), Dr Ivan Löbl (Muséum d'Histoire naturelle, Geneva), Dr Stuart J. Hine (Natural History Museum, London), Dr Thierry Deuve (Muséum National d'Histoire naturelle, Paris) and Dr Liang-yih Chou (Taiwan Agricultural Research Institute, Wufeng)

I thank Mgr Matúš Kocian and Dipl. Ing. Pavel Morávek, both Praha, for their assistance with the preparation of the illustrations and my friend Dr David Král, Praha for helping with the realization of this work

## REFERENCES

- ANDREWES H. E. 1925: Papers on oriental Carabidae. *Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist.* **15**: 312.
- ANDREWES H. E. 1929: *The fauna of British India. Coleoptera, Carabidae*, vol. 1, Carabinae. London, Taylor & Francis 1-431
- ANDREWES H. E. 1936: Papers on oriental Carabidae. *Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist.* **18**: 55. Carabidae Calcutta
- BANNINGER M. 1925: Neunter Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Carabidae: die Nebriini. Gattung *Leistus* (Froehlig). *Entomol. Mitt.* **14**: 329-343
- DEUVE T. 1985: Description d'un nouveau *Leistus* Froehlig du Népal et notes sur le genre. *Revue Fr. Entomol. (N.S.)* **7**: 45-47
- DVOŘÁK M. 1994: Einige neue *Leistus*-Arten (Coleoptera, Carabidae, Nebriini). *Annot. Zool. Bot.* **215**: 1-11.
- ERWIN T. L. 1970: The nearctic species of the genus *Leistus* (Froehlig) (Coleoptera: Carabidae). *Pan-Pac. Entomol.* **46**: 111-119
- FAIRMAIRE L. 1886a: Description de coléoptères de l'intérieur de la Chine. *Annals. Soc. Entomol. Fr.* **6**: 303-356
- FAIRMAIRE L. 1886b: Diagnose de coléoptères nouveaux. *Le Naturaliste* **28**: 223
- FARKAČ J. 1993: Two new *Leistus* (Coleoptera, Carabidae) species from Yunnan. *Acta Soc. Zool. Bohem.* **57**: 87-89
- FARKAČ J. & PLUTENKO A. 1992: New *Leistus* s. str. from eastern Asia (Coleoptera, Carabidae). *Acta Soc. Zool. Bohem.* **56**: 161-162
- HABU A. 1973: On a collection of Carabidae from Nepal made by the Hokkaido University Scientific Expedition to Nepal Himalaya 1968. *J. Bull. Nat. Inst. Agri. Sci. Ser. C* **27**: 81-132
- JEDLIČKA A. 1967: Neue Carabiden aus Nepal (Carabidae). *Ergebnisse des Forschungunternehmens Nepal Himalaya. Khumbu Himal.* **2**: 98-107
- MINOWA S. 1932: New and hitherto unrecorded Carabidae from Formosa (I). *Trans. Nat. Hist. Soc. Formosa* **22**: 281-292
- MORVAN P. 1977: Contribution à la connaissance des Carabidae de l'Iran. *J. Entomol. Soc. Iran* **4**: 21-62
- MORVAN P. 1991: Diagnose préliminaire de deux nouveaux *Leistus* du Népal (Col., Carabidae). *Bull. Soc. Entomol. Fr.* **96**: 40.
- PERRAULT G.-G. 1980: Le genre *Leistus* Froehlig (Coleoptera, Carabidae) II. Division en sous-genres. *Bull. Mens. Soc. Linn. Lyon* **49**: 456-463.
- PERRAULT G.-G. 1985a: Le genre *Leistus* Froehlig (Coleoptera - Carabidae - Nebriini). VI. Le sous-genre *Evanoleistus* Jedlička (1). *Bull. Mens. Soc. Linn. Lyon* **54**: 13-24.
- PERRAULT G.-G. 1985b: Le genre *Leistus* Froehlig (Coleoptera - Carabidae - Nebriini). VII. Le sous-genre *Evanoleistus* Jedlička (2): le groupe *L. nepalensis* Jedlička. *Bull. Mens. Soc. Linn. Lyon* **54**: 36-51
- PERRAULT G.-G. 1986a: Le genre *Leistus* Froehlig (Coleoptera - Carabidae - Nebriini) VIII. Sous-genre *Leistus* s. str. (1) Groupes d'espèces et biogéographie. *Bull. Mens. Soc. Linn. Lyon* **55**: 50-65
- PERRAULT G.-G. 1986b: Le genre *Leistus* Froehlig. IX. Compléments à l'étude du sous-genre *Evanoleistus* Jedlička (Coleoptera, Carabidae, Nebriini). *Revue Fr. Entomol. (N.S.)* **8**: 127-133
- PERRAULT G.-G. 1988: Le genre *Leistus* Froehlig (Coleoptera - Carabidae - Nebriini). X. Sous-genre *Leistus* s. str. (2) Les espèces asiatiques. *Bull. Mens. Soc. Linn. Lyon* **57**: 44-56
- PERRAULT G.-G. 1990a: Le genre *Leistus* Froehlig. XIV. Supplément à la faune de l'Himalaya (Coleoptera, Carabidae). *Nouv. Revue Entomol. (N.S.)* **7**: 99-104.
- PERRAULT G.-G. 1990b: *Leistophorus deliae* Morvan 1977, identique à *Leistus lenkoranus* Reitter 1885 (Col., Carabidae). *Nouv. Revue Entomol. (N.S.)* **7**: 204.
- PERRAULT G.-G. 1991: Le genre *Leistus* Froehlig (Coleoptera - Carabidae - Nebriini) XII. Le sous-genre *Neoleistus* Erwin, le groupe de *L. niger* Gebler. *Bull. Mens. Soc. Linn. Lyon* **60**: 14-19
- PERRAULT G.-G. 1994: Le genre *Leistus* Froehlig (Coleoptera, Carabidae). XVII. Les *Leistus* de l'intérieur de la Chine (Tschitschérine, 1903). *Bull. Mens. Soc. Linn. Lyon* **63**: 165-172
- REITTER E. 1885: Analytische Übersicht der bekannten europäischen Arten der Coleopterengattung *Leistus* Froehlig. *Wien. Entomol. Z.* **4**: 213-219.
- REITTER E. 1913: Sieben neue Carabiden. *Entomol. Bl.* **9**: 171-174

- SCIÁKY R. 1994. Seven new species of *Leistus* from China (Coleoptera: Carabidae: Nebrinae). *Acta Soc. Zool. Bohem.* **57** (1993): 203-210.
- SCIÁKY R. in press. Four new species of *Leistus* from China (Coleoptera: Carabidae: Nebrinae). *Fragm. Entomol.*
- SHILENKOV V. G. & KABAK I. I. 1994. Preliminary notes on *Leistus juldusanus* species group with description of two new species. *Lambillionea* **44**: 124-128.
- TSCHITSCHÉRINE T. 1903. Les *Leistus* de l'intérieur de la Chine. *Horae Soc. Entomol. Ross.* **36**: 22-37.

#### BOOK REVIEW

BANARESCU P. *Zoogeography of Fresh Waters. I. General Distribution and Dispersal of Freshwater Animals.* Wiesbaden: AULA-Verlag, 1990, 511 pp. Price DM 248.00, ISBN 3-89104-481-X.

The first volume of a very ambitious three volumes compendium dealing with the problem of the distribution and the dispersal of freshwater animals is split into eight chapters. The first one contains introductory information about general biogeography and zoogeography in particular. The introduction is useful for beginners in the field as on only 50 pages covers most of the fundamentals including a survey of individual biogeographical schools.

Second chapter and the most extensive (over 170 pages) covers the problem of the distribution and the dispersal of freshwater fishes. The fish species are categorised into groups: primary, secondary, peripheral and vicarious species, and the groups treated individually. This system of classification is followed in other chapters as well. The third chapter is aimed at higher Crustacea: Decapoda, Peracarida and Syncarida, the fourth is dealing with molluscs, the fifth with some groups of invertebrates like sponges, triclads, polychaets and Archannelida, free-living oligochaets and groups of commensal and parasitic crustaceans. The treatment of the material is changed in the sixth chapter. Instead of dealing with individual higher taxa, the distribution and dispersal of freshwater animals living in temporary pools is summarised, including groups like Anostraca, Conchostraca and Calanoida. Again a selective approach is used in the seventh chapter: only Plecoptera, Trichoptera, Blepharoceridae and water mites are dealt with. The eighth chapter is short and summarising some general information about the main biogeographic categories.

The text is documented in 208 distributional maps, which helps substantially to the easy orientation in the book material. It seems that the selection of the higher taxa is somewhat biased. Many groups of freshwater animals are missing like rotifers, free-living copepods, cladocerans, ostracods and most of the insects. The authors explain the elimination of such taxa either by their cosmopolitanism on the species level (rotifers) or on the generic one. In the case of freshwater insects, the elimination of some of the orders is based on their ability to fly and thus to avoid the limitation by aquatic habitat. The explanation seems to be a little forced as the problem of the distribution and the dispersal within these groups was of course studied and some results are available.

Such deficiency is of course important and the student looking for basic information about selected taxa will be disappointed. The use of some of the names of higher taxa like worms, Entomostraca, lower invertebrates (excluding molluscs and arthropods) is of course questionable too.

Regardless this somewhat unbalanced treatment of the material, the book is a solid, mostly descriptive summary of the art of biogeography.

*Vladimír Kořínek*

Revision of species of the tribus Mordellistenini from Oriental region. Part 1.  
(Coleoptera: Mordellidae)

Jan HORÁK

K hádku 567, Dubeček, CZ-107 00 Praha 10 - Dubeč, Czech Republic

Received August 21, 1995, accepted October 5, 1995  
Published December 27, 1995

Taxonomy, new species, new combinations, redescrptions, keys, Coleoptera, Mordellidae, Oriental region

**Abstract** New species *Mordellistena* (s. str.) *nepalensis* sp. n. and *Mordellistena* (s. str.) *altestratoides* sp. n. from Nepal are described. New combinations *Mordellina* (s. str.) *quinquenotata* (Champion), *Glipostenoda daturae* (Blair), *Glipostenoda rufobrunnea* (Champion) all from *Mordellistena* Costa and *Glipostenoda defectiva* (Walker) from *Mordella* L. are proposed. *Mordellistena* (s. str.) *tenuimanus* Champion and *Mordellistena* (s. str.) *humeronotata* Champion are redescrbed.

INTRODUCTION

In the present paper the species of the tribus Mordellistenini described from Indian subcontinent are revised. It follows the paper by Franciscolo (1974), the first among recent authors, who paid attention to this topic after the British authors Blair, Champion and Walker. In the paper are not included the species of *Mordellistena humeralis* Linnaeus, 1758 species-group (section II. by Ermisch, 1956), which will be subject of a future paper.


*Mordellina* (s. str.) *quinquenotata* (Champion, 1927) **comb. n.**

*Mordellistena quinquenotata* Champion, 1927: 53

For its very large, pubescent and very coarsely faceted eyes (Figs 2, 6), this species must be transferred to the genus *Mordellina* Schilsky, 1908, viz. in its the nominotypical subgenus, as suggested by metatibiae armed with only one terminal spur (Fig. 9). Nevertheless, it resembles some species of the *Mordellistena episternalis* Mulsaut, 1856 species-group by its extremely prolonged filiform pygidium (Fig. 1) and the build of male external genitalia. Further very characteristic features are: anterior tibiae of male only little curved inwards, but with distinct calf-like swelling, and without longer outstanding hairs; very long filiform antennae (Figs 4, 8); very oblique lateral ridges on metatibiae (Fig. 5). For illustrations of important characters and genitalia of male see Figs 10, 11, 12).

**MATERIAL EXAMINED** Holotypus, female, Kumaon, U. P., Haldwani Divn., 6 1923, H. G. C., et alight; deposited in The Natural History Museum, London. 1 male, W. Nepal, Dhawalagiri, Myagdi Distr., Kali-Gandaki-Khola, Tatopani, 1100-1400m, 27-28 6.1986, C. Holzschuh leg.; deposited in author's collection.






Figs 1-12. *Mordellina* (s. str.) *quinquenotata*, Holotypus, female: 1 general view; 2. head (lateral view); 3 maxillary palpus; 4 antenna, 5. posterior tibia and tarsus Nepal, male. 6. head (dorsal view); 7. maxillary palpus, 8 antenna, 9 terminal spur of metatibia, 10 left and right paramere, 11 phallobasis, 12 penis Scale a - 10; b - 6; c - 5; d - 1; e - 3, 7; f - 2; g - 4, 8, 9, 11, 12.

### *Mordellistena* (s. str.) *tenuimanus* Champion, 1927

*Mordellistena tenuimanus* Champion, 1927. 52 - 53.

The shape of terminal segment of maxillary palpus (Figs 14, 19) as well as the configuration of ridges on metatibia (Fig. 16) classify this species as a member of *M. parvula* (Gyllenhal, 1827) species-group. Body little convex and rather parallel-sided (Figs 13, 17). Pubescence of dorsal surface uniform, grey-brown with reddish tinge. Anterior portion of the head indistinctly

paler (Fig. 18). Maxillary palpi black-brown, four basal segments of antennae and anterior femora brown, anterior tibiae and tarsi as well as intermediate femora black-brown. Terminal spurs of metatibiae black-brown, outer spur reaching one third of the length of the inner one. Metatibia, besides the apical ridge, with two oblique and equally long lateral ridges reaching two thirds of



Figs 13-25. *Mordellistena* (s. str.) *tenuimanus*, Holotypus, female: 13. general view; 14. maxillary palpus; 15. antenna; 16. posterior tibia and tarsus. Nepal, male: 17. general view; 18. head (frontal view); 19. maxillary palpus; 20. antenna; 21. left and right paramere; 22. phallobasis; 23. penis. *Mordellistena* (s. str.) *ghanii*, Holotypus, male: 24. general view; 25. head (frontal view). Scale: a - 15; b - 21; c - 20; d - 14, 19; e - 16; f - 18, 25; g - 22, 23; h - 13, 17, 24.

the width of the tibia. The first segment of posterior tarsus with two oblique ridges and a rudiment of the third ridge, the second segment with only one ridge (not with two ridges, as given by Champion, 1927).

**MATERIAL EXAMINED.** Holotypus, female, W. Almora Divn., Sunderdhunga V, 8000-12000 feet, June 19, H. G. C. leg., 3087; deposited in collection The Natural History Museum, London. 2 males, Nepal, Kathmandu V, Burhanikanth, 1440-1650m, 16.6.1983, M. Brancucci leg.; 1 female, W. Nepal, Dhawalagiri, Kali G. Khola, Gasa-Kalopani, 2000-2500m, 20.6.1986, C. Holzschuh leg.; 1 female, Nepal, Lampokhari, 1200-2500m, 30.9.1979, B. Bhakta leg., all deposited in Naturhistorisches Museum, Basel (1 male deposited in author's collection).

#### Key to the species of *Mordellistena parvula* species-group from India and Pakistan

- 1(2) Pubescence of body quite black. Outer terminal spur of metatibia distinctly narrower and by two thirds shorter than the inner one. The upper of the two lateral ridges of metatibia longer than the lower one and reaching three fourths of the tibia width (Fig. 29). Nepal ... *M. nepalensis* sp. n.
- 2(1) Pubescence of elytra pale, golden-yellow to red brown.
- 3(4) Frons to a greater extent lighter anteriorly (male - Fig. 18). Pubescence of elytra brightly golden-yellow. Outer terminal spur of metatibia minute, reaching nearly one fifth of the length of the inner one, and very thin. Upper lateral ridge of metatibia running across almost entire width of the tibia. Pakistan ... *M. ghanu* Franciscolo, 1974.
- 4(3) Anterior portion of frons only indistinctly lighter than the rest (male - Fig. 25). Pubescence of elytra darker, brownish to red-brown. Outer terminal spur of metatibia but slightly thinner and by two thirds shorter than the inner one. The upper lateral ridge of metatibia reaching two thirds of the tibia width. India (Kumaon), Nepal ... *M. tenuimanus* Champion, 1927.

#### *Mordellistena* (s. str.) *nepalensis* sp. n.

Black, anteclypeus, anterior margin of labrum and tips of galeae yellowish; four basal segments of antennae and anterior femora black-brown. Pubescence brown-black with faint blue-green lustre.

Head flatly convex, prolonged in buccal portion. Width to length ratio as 4.8 : 4.5. Eyes comparatively small, ovate, moderately narrowed towards the insertions of antennae, finely faceted and pubescent. Temples not developed, only a moderately extended temporal border distinct. Second segment of maxillary palpus by one third wider than the third segment, terminal segment elongate securiform, its inner angle strongly rounded and situated within the distal fourth of the segment (Fig. 27). Antennae (Fig. 28) moderately long, segments 2. and 3. equal; 3. as wide as and by one fourth shorter than 4.; segment 5. 1.8 times longer than wide, by one fourth wider and longer than 4.; segments becoming gradually shorter distally, segment 10. only 1.5 times longer than wide; terminal segment oblong oval, by one third longer than 10. and twice as long as wide.

Pronotum moderately convex, wider than long (as 6 : 5), its anterior portion moderately prolonged anteriorly. Sides (lateral view) emarginate, posterior angles consequently rectangular.

Scutellum minute, triangular.

Elytra convex, only flatly rounded laterally, 2.4 times longer than their combined width, with rather coarse rasp-like punctures, especially in their basal portion.

Pygidium rather slender, conical, twice as long as hypopygium, without a ringlet of pale hairs at the base.

The fourth segments of both anterior and intermediate tarsi narrow and truncate at the distal end. Metatibia (Fig. 29), besides a short apical ridge, with two very long oblique lateral ridges, the upper one being distinctly longer and running across three fourths of the width of metatibia. The first segment of posterior tarsus with three ridges, the uppermost ridge rudimentary and

sometimes even missing in smaller specimens (Paratype); second segment with single ridge. Terminal spurs of metatibia black, the outer spur distinctly narrower than the inner one and reaching nearly one third of the latter.


Length from tips of mandibles to tips of elytra 3.3 - 4mm, to apex of pygidium 3.9 - 4.7mm.

Male unknown.

TYPE MATERIAL. Holotypus, female, C Nepal, Kathmandu - Valley, Balaju bei Kathmandu, 1440m, 3.6.1986, C Holzschuh leg. Paratypes: 1 female, Dhawalagiri, Myagdi Distr, Kali-Gandaki-Khola, Tatopani, 1100-1400m, 27.6.1986, C Holzschuh leg, 1 female, Kathmandu Valley, Godavari, 1500m, 15.-21.5.1987, C Holzschuh leg, all deposited in author's collection.

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS. The form of maxillary palpus, configuration of ridges on posterior legs and two terminal spurs on metatibia classify this species as a member of *M. parvula* species-group (Ermisch 1956). Rectangular posterior angles of pronotum and black pubescence suggest its relationship to the European species *M. falsoparvula* Ermisch, 1956.

NAME DERIVATION. Named according to the type locality, situated in Nepal.



Figs 26-29 *Mordellistena (s.str.) nepalensis* sp. n., Holotypus, female: 26. general view, 27. maxillary palpus; 28. antenna, 29. posterior tibia and tarsus. Scale: a - 27, b - 28; c - 29; d - 26


***Mordellistena* (s. str.) *humeronotata* Champion, 1922**

*Mordellistena humeronotata* Champion, 1922: 76.

Bicoloured, black to black-brown with yellow-brown humeral spot on each elytron. Pubescence bicoloured, too, the black-gray pubescence of the body being replaced with golden yellow hairs on the pale humeral spots.

Body rather short and stout, with long antennae and comparatively short pygidium. Each of the antennal segments 5. - 10. twice as long as wide in male, 1.4 - 1.5 times so in female. Maxillary palpi yellow, second segment in male distinctly wider than the third one, terminal segment narrowly securiform, its inner angle situated in the distal fourth of its length (in female somewhat wider and darker). Legs in male brown, posterior ones black-brown; in female anterior legs dark brown, remaining ones black. Both lateral ridges of metatibia oblique, equally long and only slightly reaching over the middle of the width of tibia. The first segment of posterior tarsus with three ridges, the uppermost ridge being often rudimentary or completely missing; second segment with two ridges. Terminal spurs of metatibia yellow-brown, the outer spur reaching nearly one third of the length of the inner one. Pygidium twice as long as hypopygium in male, 1.25 - 1.30 times so in female.

Body form, maxillary palpus, antenna, posterior leg and genitalia as figured (Figs 30 - 35).



Figs 30-35. *Mordellistena* (s. str.) *humeronotata*, Lectotypus, male: 30. general view; 31. maxillary palpus; 32. antenna; 33. posterior tibia and tarsus; 34. left and right paramere; 35. penis. Scale: a - 31; b - 34; c - 30; d - 33; e - 35; f - 32.

MATERIAL EXAMINED. Lectotypus, male, India, Kumaon, Raniket, H. G. C. leg.; Paratypes: 7 ex., the same data; 1 male and 1 female, West Bhatkot, Kumaon, 4000 Ft., May 20, H. G. C.; all deposited in The Natural History Museum, London. Additional very rich material from Nepal and India (W. Bengal) from my collection.

Classification of this species is rather difficult. According to the shape of lateral ridges of metatibia and maxillary palpus, it could best be placed in the *M. parvula* species-group, however, two ridges on the second segment of posterior tarsus (only one ridge being developed in species of *M. parvula* species-group) suggest its relationship to the west-palaearctic *M. reichei* Emery, 1876, from which it differs especially by the form of maxillary palpus. Thus *M. humeronotata* represents a link between the latter species and *M. parvula* species-group, differing at the same time from all hitherto known species by distinct humeral spots on elytra.

*Mordellistena* (s. str.) *altestriatoides* sp. n.

Black with yellow-brown spots on humera and at the base of elytra. Post- and anteclypeus yellow-brown, labrum, mandibles, four basal segments of antennae, anterior and intermediate legs as well as terminal spurs of metatibiae brown, posterior legs black-brown. Pubescence black-gray with reddish tinge, alternating with golden-yellow pubescence, which covers both basal and humeral spots and, moreover, makes up a narrow sutural band running from the apex of scutellum to the apical fourth of suture, as well as a small mediolateral spot at the midlength of each elytron (Fig. 36).

Head rather convex, as long as wide, at the mouth parts prolonged anteriorly. Eyes oblong oval, narrowed towards antennal pits. Temples and temporal angles absent. Maxillary palpus in male (Fig. 37) brown with black-brown terminal segment, second segment only a little wider than the third one, terminal segment narrowly securiform with inner angle situated at its distal third; palpus in female completely brown, inner angle situated at the distal fourth of its length. Two basal antennal segments of equal size, segment 3, slightly shorter than and almost as wide as 2., 4. slightly smaller than 3., 5. twice as long as and by one fifth wider than 4., each of the segments 5. - 10. 1.8 times longer than wide, terminal segment somewhat more than twice as long as wide and by one sixth longer than the penultimate segment (Fig. 38); in female of the segments 5. - 10. 1.4 times longer than wide and terminal segment 1.6 times longer than wide.

Pronotum semicircular, with moderate collar-shaped anterior prolongation, maximum width to maximum length ratio as 9 : 7. Anterior angles indistinct, sides (lateral view) only moderately emarginate, posterior angles rectangular and somewhat rounded. Pubescence golden-silvery.


Elytra rather convex, 2.1 times longer than their combined width.

Pygidium narrowly conical, three times as long as hypopygium and reaching somewhat more than one half of the length of elytra. Base of pygidium without the fringe of white hairs.

Protibia in male almost straight, not dilated at the base and without longer outstanding hairs. Metatibia (Fig. 39) besides apical ridge with two very oblique lateral ridges, the upper in male somewhat longer than the lower one and slightly exceeding one half of the width of tibia. First segment of posterior tarsus with three ridges, the uppermost ridge mostly rudimentary. Outer terminal spur of metatibia reaching less than one third of the length of the inner one.

Male genitalia as figured (Figs 40, 41, 42).

Length from tips of mandibles to tips of elytra 3.5 - 3.7mm, to tip of pygidium 4.2 - 4.3mm.



Figs 36-42. *Mordellistena* (s. str.) *altestriatoides* sp. n., Holotypus, male: 36 general view; 37 maxillary palpus, 38. antenna, 39. posterior tibia and tarsus, 40. left and right paramere, 41. phallobasis, 42. penis. Scale: a - 38, 41, 42, b - 40; c - 37; d - 36; e - 39.

TYPE MATERIAL. Holotypus, male, W Nepal, Dhawalagiri, Mustang distr, Kali-Gandaki-Khola, Kalopani, 2500-2800m, 21.-25.6.1986, C. Holzschuh leg.; Allotypus, female, W Nepal, Dhawalagiri, Ghar Khoia, Chorepani-Sikha, 2000-2800m, 12.6.1986, C. Holzschuh leg., Paratypes: 3 females, the same data as Holotypus, 1 female, Nepal, Kathmandu valley, Godavari, 18.5.1989, C. Holzschuh leg., all in author's collection, one paratype (female) in the Natural History Museum, London.

NAME DERIVATION. Derived from *Falsomordellistena altestriata* (Marseul, 1876), related species.

The two Indian species related to *M. reichei* can be distinguished according to the following key:


- 1(2) Elytra with red-brown, round and rather extensive humeral spot. Pubescence, apart from golden-yellow hairs on humeral spot, concolorous, grey-red. India (Kumaon, W Bengal), Nepal ... .. *M. humeronotata*
- 2(1) Elytra with small, red-brown humeral spot narrowly prolonged towards scutellum. Golden-yellow hairs, apart from covering the humeral spot, make up a narrow longitudinal band along suture as well as small mediolateral spot on each elytron. Nepal ... .. *M. altestriatoides* sp. n.

*Glipostenoda daturae* (Blair, 1922) **comb. n.**

*Mordellistena daturae* Blair, 1922: 296-297.

*Mordellistena* (*Pseudomordellistena*) *daturae*: Franciscolo, 1974: 81-83.

Examination of Lectotype of this species revealed that penultimate segments of both anterior and intermediate tarsi were deeply bilobed (Fig. 45). In view of this, the species can by no means be classified neither in *Mordellistena* Costa, 1854, nor in *Mordellina* Schilsky (as given on the Lectotype label by Franciscolo 1974). Further characters then suggest its accommodation in the genus *Glipostenoda* Ermisch, 1950: very large, coarsely faceted and pubescent eyes; form of maxillary palpus (Fig. 44); configuration of ridges and terminal tibial spurs on posterior



Figs 43-52. *Glipostenoda daturae*, Lectotypus, male: 43. general view; 44. maxillary palpus; 45. anterior tarsus. *Glipostenoda rufobrunnea*, Holotypus, female: 46. general view; 47. head (lateral view); 48. maxillary palpus; 49. antenna; 50. pronotum (lateral view); 51. anterior tarsus; 52. posterior tibia and tarsus. Scale: a - 48; b - 49, 51; c - 44; d - 52; e - 47, 50; f - 43; g - 45; h - 46.



legs; body form (Fig. 43); colour pattern and also the form of male genitalia. Otherwise is the species characterized by the particular pubescence of middle tarsi and by the shape of parameres (Franciscolo, 1974, Figs. 38-48).

Similarity of two generic names and those of their respective subgenera, namely *Mordellistena* (*Pseudomordellina*) Ermisch, 1952 and *Mordellina* (*Pseudomordellistena*) Ermisch, 1951, resulted in considerable nomenclatorial confusion in the paper by Franciscolo (1974), who repeatedly used the non-existing combination *Mordellistena* (*Pseudomordellistena*). This mistake can probably explain also the contradictions in the paragraph "Affinities" (p. 83), in which he gives "... 14 species of *Mordellistena* (*Pseudomordellistena*) from Africa ..." and later "... all the *Pseudomordellina* so far ...". Moreover, Lectotype is labelled as *Mordellina* (*Pseudomordellistena*) *daturae* and this determination agrees better with some features mentioned in the redescription. Nevertheless, the species must be placed in the genus *Glipostenoda*.

The original description and Franciscolo's (1974) redescription are complemented here with the description of the body form, anterior tarsus and maxillary palpus of male (Figs 43, 44, 45).

**MATERIAL EXAMINED.** Lectotypus, male, Zool. Surv. Ind. - F. H. Gravely - Barkuda Id., Chilka Lake, Genjam distr., Madras Pres., 3.-14.7.19, Ind. Mus. Coll., B. M. (N. H.); labelled as Lectotype of *Mordellina* (*Pseudomordellistena*) *daturae* (Blair) by Franciscolo; deposited in The Natural History Museum, London. It is considerably damaged, lacking both elytra, parts of legs, pygidium, etc.

#### *Glipostenoda rufobrunnea* (Champion, 1927) **comb. n.**

*Mordellistena rufobrunnea* Champion, 1927: 52.

Because of deeply bilobed fourth segments of anterior and intermediate tarsi (Fig. 51), pubescent and coarsely faceted eyes and narrow terminal segment of maxillary palpus belongs this species also to the genus *Glipostenoda*. It is completely red-brown and covered with golden-yellow pubescence. The fourth antennal segment slightly larger than the third one, each of the segments fifth to tenth 1.4 times longer than wide; terminal segment asymmetrical, with incurved outer margin (Fig. 49). Terminal segment of maxillary palpus (Fig. 48) narrow, its inner angle situated in the distal fourth of segment and strongly rounded. Posterior angles of pronotum broadly obtusely rounded. Elytra 2.3 times longer than their combined width. Metatibia (Fig. 52), besides the apical ridge, with there very oblique and long lateral ridges, the uppermost of them rudimentary. The first segment of posterior tarsus with four oblique ridges (the uppermost one rudimentary), second segment with two ridges, the third one without ridges. Outer terminal spur of metatibia reaching nearly one third of the length of the inner one.


Length (including pygidium) 4.5mm.

**MATERIAL EXAMINED.** Holotypus, female, India, Kumaon, Halwani Dist., H. G. Champion leg.; deposited in The Natural History Museum, London.

#### *Glipostenoda defectiva* (Walker, 1859) **comb. n.**

*Mordella defectiva* Walker, 1859: 260.

**ORIGINAL DESCRIPTION.** Nigra, longi-subfusiformis, femoribus tibiisque ferrugineis, abdomine foem. attenuato elytra superante. Long. 2 lin.



Figs 53-57 *Glipostenoda defectiva*, Holotypus, male 53 general view; 54 maxillary palpus, 55 antenna, 56 posterior tibia and tarsus; 57. left and right paramere Scale a - 54; b - 55, 56; c - 57; d - 53.

**REDESCRIPTION.** Body rather narrow and parallel-sided (Fig. 53). Basic colouration black-brown, only head and appendices red-brown. Pubescence uniform, golden-brown.

Head rather convex, wider than long (as 6.3 : 4.2), buccal area regularly rounded, not prominent. Eyes large and broad, rather coarsely faceted, with short and sparse hairs. Temples very narrow, with narrow and elongate temporal angle. Terminal segment of maxillary palpus black-brown with paler base, elongate securiform (Fig. 54), the strongly rounded inner angle situated at two thirds of its length; second segment by nearly one half wider than the third one. Antennae (Fig. 55) long, brown, segment 1. by one third longer than 2.; 3. minute, by one third shorter and by one fifth narrower than 2.; 3. and 4. subequal; 5. approximately three times as long as, and by one fifth wider than 4.; segments 5. - 10. cylindrical, each of them nearly 2.5 times longer than wide; terminal segment three times as long as wide, as wide as, and by one fourth longer than the preceding one.

Pronotum rather flatly convex, wider than long (as 7.7 : 6.2). Anterior margin of pronotum only with slight collar-shaped projection, anterior angles (dorsal view) hardly distinct. Sided (lateral view) straight, posterior angles obtuse, gently rounded at the apex.

Elytra rather parallel-sided in basal third, gradually narrowed behind it, 2.2 times longer than their combined width

Pygidium narrowly conical, twice as long as hypopygium

Anterior tibia gently curved inwards, without calf-like swelling and without longer outstanding hairs at the base. The first segment of anterior tarsus by one third longer than the second one, that by one third longer than the third one, fourth segment quadrante, deeply bilobed. Intermediate tarsus shorter than mesotibia. Metatibia (Fig. 56), besides apical ridge, with four short lateral ridges, which are parallel to the posterior edge of tibia and, in fact, as long as the apical ridge. The first segment of posterior tarsus with four, second one with three and the third segment with two very short ridges. Terminal spurs of metatibia red-brown, inner spur twice as long as the outer one.

Male genitalia as figured (Fig. 57)

Length from tips of mandibles to tips of elytra 4.7 mm, to tip of pygidium 6.0 mm

**MATERIAL EXAMINED** Holotypus, male, 63.52 (locality in original description given as "Ceylon" - A. M. - N. H., N. 16, 1859, p. 258) bearing manuscript label (not red) "*Mordella defectiva* n. sp." and the red designation "Type, H. T.", complemented with a later identification label "*Mordellistena defectiva*", deposited in collection The Natural History Museum, London

#### Key to known species of *Glipostenoda* from India

- 1(4) Lateral ridges on metatibia long and oblique, not parallel to the apical edge of tibia
- 2(3) Dorsal surface of segments of intermediate tarsi covered with long and dense hairs. Metatibia with two lateral ridges. Outer terminal spur of metatibia reaching one fourth of the length of the inner one. Terminal segment of antenna only slightly longer than the penultimate one. India (Orissa) *G. daturae*
- 3(2) Hairs on dorsal surface of segments of intermediate tarsi short and sparse, as usual. Metatibia with three lateral ridges (uppermost rudimentary). Outer terminal spur reaching one third of the inner one. Terminal segment of antenna almost twice as long as the preceding one. India (Uttarpradesh) *G. rufobrunnea*
- 4(1) Lateral ridges of metatibia short and parallel to the apical edge of tibia. Body bicoloured, black-brown with red-brown head. Metatibia, besides the apical ridge, with four lateral ridges, first segment of posterior tarsus with four ridges, second and third segment with three and two ridges respectively. Sri Lanka *G. defectiva*

#### A c k n o w l e d g e m e n t s

It is my pleasant duty to express my thanks to L. Jessop, M. D. Kerley and D. Kempster (all the Natural History Museum, London), who enabled me to study the material in their charge.

#### REFERENCES

- BLAIR K. G. 1922. The fauna of an Island in the Chilka lake. Fauna of Barkuda I. *Records of the Indian Museum* **24**: 290-297.
- CHAMPION G. C. 1922. Some Indian Coleoptera (7). *Entomol. Monthly Mag.* **58**: 68-76.
- CHAMPION G. C. 1927. Some Indian Coleoptera (22). *Entomol. Monthly Mag.* **63**: 49-57.
- ERMISCH K. 1956. Mordellidae. Pp. 269-328. In: HORION A. *Faunistik der mitteleuropäischen Käfer* **5**. Heteromera. Tutzing: A. Feyel, 321 pp.
- FRANCISCOLO M. 1974. New a little-known *Mordellistena* Costa from Pakistan and India (Coleoptera: Mordellidae). *Oriental Insects* **8**: 71-84.
- WALKER F. 1859. XXVII - Characters of some apparently undescribed Ceylon Insects. *Ann. Mag. Natur. Hist.* **3**(16): 258-265.

**Assessment of *Amblyseius barkeri* (Acarina: Phytoseiidae) as a control agent for thrips on greenhouse cucumbers**

Vojtěch JAROŠÍK<sup>1)</sup> & Josef PLÍVA<sup>2)</sup>

<sup>1)</sup> Department of Zoology, Charles University, Viničná 7, CZ-128 44 Praha 2, Czech Republic

<sup>2)</sup> P-Biocontrol, Čelakovského 389/II, CZ-389 01 Vodňany, Czech Republic

Received June 7, 1995, accepted October 5, 1995

Published December 27, 1995

**Biological control, cucumber growth, thrips population dynamic, predator release timing, aggregative response, *Amblyseius barkeri* (= *A. mckenziei*), *Thrips tabaci*, *Frankliniella occidentalis***

**Abstract.** Control of onion thrips, *Thrips tabaci*, and western flower thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis*, by the predatory mite *Amblyseius barkeri* (= *Amblyseius mckenziei*) was investigated on marked cucumber plants in the early and late phase of cultivation under production greenhouse conditions. Most trials did not demonstrate efficient biological control of thrips. For both thrips species, no differences between thrips population densities on treated and control plants were observed when the total introduction of predators was less than or equal to 200 individuals per plant. However, we ascertained a slower thrips population increase during the early vegetative growth phase of cucumber than at the later phase of fruit production. As a result of this, release of predators later in the production cycle failed to control thrips sufficient to prevent economic injury. Consequently, the predator appeared unreliable in spite of a rapid increase in density after introduction of a total of 1,000 predators per plant. The only satisfactory result was obtained with an early application of predators (total of 3,500 predators/plant) where both thrips species were present. An extremely low predatory ability to aggregate in areas of high prey density appeared both on the leaf and the plant scale. We conclude that weak aggregative response of the predator and changes in the rate of plant and thrips development during cultivation are crucial factors related to biocontrol unreliability even with high *A. barkeri* application rates.

#### INTRODUCTION

In spite of the efficient biological control of two key cucumber pests (two-spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* Koch, and glasshouse whitefly, *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* Westwood) under greenhouse conditions pest management programs in many production areas in central Europe must now be expanded to include formerly less important pests: onion thrips, *Thrips tabaci* Lindeman, and, recently, western flower thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis* Pergande, (Schliephake 1988, Jenser & Tusnadi 1989, Pelikán 1989, Jarošík 1991). Thrips are difficult pests to manage. Few effective and registered pesticides are available for control, and most pesticides are not compatible with biological control agents.

Two species of phytoseiid predators, *Amblyseius barkeri* Hughes (= *Amblyseius mckenziei* Schuster et Pritchard) and *Amblyseius cucumeris* Oudemans, are used as control agents. *Amblyseius barkeri* is the first commercially produced predatory mite (Ramakers & Lieburg, 1982). In former Czechoslovakia, the predatory mite mass production and preliminary control experiments started in 1986 (Moanas 1987, Táborský et al. 1988). Further results appeared controversial (Plíva & Jarošík 1991), as did reports from other countries (Stenseth 1986, Ravensberg & Altena 1987, Popov et al. 1987, Hansen & Geyeti 1987, Hansen 1988, 1989). The results showed a clear need for a better theoretical and experimental basis of experiments including more reliable sampling procedures (Steiner 1990), evaluation of the influence of climate,

increase rates and distributions of thrips populations (Hansen 1989), consideration of the interactions of thrips population dynamic with growing cucumber plants (Welter et al. 1990), and the examination of components of the predation process (Bakker & Sabelis 1989, Shipp & Whitfield 1991).

This paper is aimed at evaluating further aspect of predatory applications based on field investigation of the predator on marked plants. We examine biocontrol efficiency of predatory mite introductions at different phases of cucumber cultivation, and implications of the lack of predator aggregation in areas of high prey density.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Experiments were conducted over a four year period in greenhouse cucumber crops under biological control of key pests, at several places in central Bohemia (Czech Republic) (Table 1). The experimental plots were established in commercial greenhouses where biological control had been practised for several years, and where thrips the most serious pest management problem.

During the whole period of cucumber cultivation thrips species composition in the trial stands was monitored by taking weekly random samples of about fifty adult from leaves. To avoid edge effects end plants and rows were not sampled except in experiment F where an effect of migration from surrounding crops was examined. On both leaf surfaces of marked plants mobile stages of predatory mites and thrips were counted with the help of a 12x hand lens. Data were recorded either for individual leaves (experimental type L in Table 1) or for whole plants (experimental type P).

*Amblyseius barkeri* was produced using standard commercial-rearing procedures (Ramakers & Lieburg 1982). Before releases, numbers of predators were checked under stereo-microscope in repeated samples of wheat bran of constant volume containing the predator and its food.

The predators were distributed in bran on the leaves of every third plant in experiments A-E, and on each plant in experiment F. The total number of predators introduced per plant in individual experiments and the time of introductions are given in Table 2. In experiments B and F, and the first introduction in A, predator releases were made in the early cultivation period, corresponding to a phase with rapid vegetative growth before fruit production. Other releases (experiments C, D, E, late A) were made in the late growth period when plants had shifted to fruit production and reduced vegetative growth (Welter et al. 1990). In experiments A and C of predators before thrips infestation occurred the principle of pre-establishment (Ramakers 1983, Hansen 1988) was applied by making the just two releases eight predatory mite/plant in A, and the first release of 100 predators/plant in experiment C before thrips were apparent. The final release in experiments A and C, and all releases in B, D and F were made after observing the first symptoms of thrips damage on leaves. In experiment E application was carried out when the thrips population density reached about three thrips per leaf; in experiments B and D, thrips densities were about one/leaf. The densities were determined during weekly random samples aimed at monitoring of thrips species composition.

For experiments A-D with total predatory mite introductions to 200 predators per plant (Table 2) growth of thrips populations was compared with that in control plots without predators by assessing population densities on marked plants (Table 1). Population increases over time were evaluated by regressing of logarithms of the mean thrips counts (y) against time (x). Completed anova tables with regressions, tests for equality of slopes of several regression lines, and unplanned comparisons (T'-method) among experimental sets of regression lines were used for further analysis of differences among thrips densities on treated and control plants. Simple regressions of predators on prey numbers per leaf and plant in L and P experimental types, respectively, were carried out for assessment of predator aggregative response.

Assessments were made weekly in most cases, either until establishment of good thrips control, or until control had clearly failed. All statistical calculations were made according to Sokal & Rohlf (1981).

## RESULTS

### Efficiency of control

*Frankliniella occidentalis* infested some crops after 1989 (Table 2). At the beginning of the season both thrips species usually occurred together, but except in experiment F, either *Thrips tabaci* or *F. occidentalis* predominated after the trials started.

Where total introductions of predatory mite were less than or equal to 200 individuals per plant (experiments A-D in Table 2) no significant differences in rate of thrips increase were




Fig. 1 Comparison of rates of thrips population increases using slopes of regression lines of thrips numbers ( $\log \text{mean} + 1$ ) on time in A-D experiments. Mean rates whose 95% confidence intervals overlap do not differ significantly. Comparison of marked (dots and closed triangles) and control (circles and open triangles) plants for types with leaf (dots and circles) and plant (triangles) counting.

found between treated and control plots for either thrips species. The control remained unsuccessful even with application of 1,000 predatory mites per plant (experiment E). The only satisfactory biocontrol was reached at the highest total introduction rate of 3,500 predators per plant (experiment F).

Fig. 1 depicts the slope of the regression of the mean rates of thrips population increases on treated and control plants (experiments A-D) over time. In all cases, the log of thrips densities over calendar time increased linearly, though with concomitant significant deviations from linearity. We observed an increase in the rate of population growth between early season (A and B) and late season (C and D) experiments. Average common regression slopes of population increments on marked plants changed from 0.0021 and 0.0026 for A and B to 0.0065 and 0.0066

Table 1 Description of experimental plots and experimental types. Cucumber plants were grown in soil, under normal commercial growing conditions (range of temperatures 18-31 °C, > 85% mean relative humidity)

Experiment	plot (m <sup>2</sup> ) area	cultivar	growth period	experimental type	experimental period
A	2 400	Bella	March-July, 87	L, 3 exp. & 3 control plants	7.5.-4.6.
B	500	Sandra	April-July, 89	L, 2 exp. & 2 control plants P, 50, 50, 25 exp & 50, 50, 25 control plants	24.4.-1 6. 26 4.-26 5
C	1 000	Sandra	April-July, 89	L, 1 exp. & 1 control plant	13 6.-3 7.
D	5 000	Bella	March-July, 87	L, 1 exp. & 1 control plant	14.7.-28.7
E	5 000	Bella	February-July, 91	L, 2 experiment plants	30 4.-12 7
F	190 / 240	Sandra	May-August, 90	L, 4 experiment plants	25 5.-7 7

L: data recorded for individual leaves of marked plants

P: data recorded for whole marked plants




Fig. 2. Population dynamics of thrips (dots) and predatory mites (circles) on two marked plants in experiment E. Means  $\pm$  standard errors. Arrows indicate applications of predatory mite.

for C and D, respectively. No differences between treated and control plants were obtained either by counting thrips on single leaves (L-type experiment) or whole plants (P-type experiment), or for different timing of predator release, pre-establishment (experiment A and C), and low thrips density introductions (B and D).

The failure of different timing of introductions according to pest density corroborates the result of experiment E (Fig. 2). Three batches of 200, 400 and 400 predatory mites per plant were applied at the phase of fruit production in 6, 13, and 20 June (Table 1). The thrips population was well established by this time but had not reached suggested economic injury level of about 10 individuals per leaf (Hansen 1988, Steiner 1990). A very similar predator-prey pattern on both marked plants, with both predator and prey increase, was observed. We recorded the highest predatory mite densities in our experiments during the late phase of cultivation however the pest density was already too high to prevent plant damage.

In experiment F, two small neighbouring trial plots were surrounded by larger stands with different crops that were also infested by thrips. As a result of immigration into the experimental plots, a mixed infestation about 1:1 by *F. occidentalis* and *T. tabaci* occurred (Table 2). Fourteen days after the last predatory release in the two mid stand plants, the thrips were presumed exterminated because no pests and predators were found afterwards (Fig. 3). On both



edge marked plants low population densities of both predators and thrips persisted until the end of the experiment.

#### Aggregative response of predators

Ten days after the last random spreading of bran with predatory mites the regression relationships between the mean numbers of predators plotted against mean numbers of prey did not significantly differ neither among single leaves nor among whole plants. These relationships between numbers of predators and prey were considered a reliable estimate of aggregative response.

On whole plants, high prey density was usually related to relatively high predator density. On the other hand, there were often individual leaves with relatively high abundance of predatory mites and low abundance of thrips, and leaves where there was high abundance of thrips but no predators (Fig. 4). Consequently, the relationship between the number of thrips and predatory mites was much more significant per plant ( $p < 0.001$ ) than per leaf ( $0.05 < p < 0.1$ ). The former explained 26% of predator variability of occurrence while the latter 4% only. However, the slope of aggregation relationship did not differ significantly between the leaf and plant scale. The aggregative response was extremely weak but significant, with a common slope for both scales 0.0009.




Fig. 3. Population dynamics of thrips (dots) and predatory mites (circles) on four marked plants in experiment F. Means  $\pm$  standard errors. Arrows indicate applications of predatory mite.






Fig. 4. Relationship between the number of predatory mites (PM) and its thrips prey per cucumber leaf (above) and plant (below). Data based on experiment E for leaf and experiment B for plant scale.

#### DISCUSSION

##### Sampling procedure

The recorded predatory mite densities seem low in comparison with studies in which numbers of predators were determined in more precise way on detached leaves under the stereo-microscope, and where predatory eggs were also sometimes included (Ramakers 1980, 1983, Táborský et al. 1988). On the other hand, the data are comparable with other results where similarly mobile stages of the mites were counted in the field (Hansen 1988, 1989). Systematic errors probably originated in this way because this method is likely to underestimate actual predatory mite numbers. However, it should not influence the evaluation of predatory mite behaviour and predator impact on thrips population dynamics.

Table 2. Survey of introductions of *Amblyseius barkeri* against *Thrips tabaci* and *Frankliniella occidentalis* at individual (A-F) experiments

Experiment	predators per plant (total)	time of introductions	<i>Thrips</i> species
A	24	23 April, 13, 27 May	T
B	50	21 April	F
C	200	13, 20 June	F
D	200	14 July	T
E	1 000	6, 13 20 July	T
F	3 500	30 May, 14 July	T+F

T *Thrips tabaci*

F *Frankliniella occidentalis*

### Predator introductions and plant growth

No differences in population growth of pests on treated and control plants were found either for experiment B where 50 predators/plant were introduced or experiment C and D with introductions of 200 predatory mites/plant. However, the introductions in B were made in an early season plant growth with on average 18 leaves at the time of introduction. The introductions in experiments C and D were made in late season on plants with about 140 leaves. Thus a similar number of predatory mites/leaf was released in all experiments (approximately 0.4 predatory mite in B and 0.7 in C and D). In addition, in experiment B the early growth phase thrips populations per leaf were very low at a time of rapid growth of cucumber plants. In experiments C and D, thrips densities were in an accelerated phase of exponential growth, coinciding with a time of slow growth of mature plants. The differences in thrips population growth rate are clearly demonstrated in Fig. 1.

Therefore, a direct comparison of experiment B with C and D by release rates of control agents is of limited value. For such a comparison, records enabling the evaluation of interactions of thrips population dynamics and growing cucumber plants must be taken. Recommended release rates of predator mites/plant or m<sup>2</sup> should take into consideration the size and the developmental phase of the plants, as well as the initial thrips population density.

### Experiments

Experiments A-D. The higher rate of population increase of thrips was probably also related to higher day temperature accumulation in late experiments. To prevent the effect of higher temperature accumulation in warm late season it would be necessary to replace calendar time by the sums of effective temperatures above thrips developmental thresholds. However, the data on lower developmental thresholds of *F. occidentalis* and *T. tabaci* are controversial (Harris et al. 1936, Lewis 1973, Lublinkhof & Foster 1977, Edelson & Magaro 1988), and real values for greenhouse cucumbers are probably not known so far. The evaluation of treated and control plants in early and late season was carried out under the same climatic conditions and thus the change in rate of population increase between early and late experiments did not influence our comparisons of treated and control plants. However, the sums should increase preciseness of the results by elimination of thrips growth rate deviations from linearity. Because the population growth of both species may be expected to be exponential (cf. Kawai 1990) the growth

should change into linear without significant deviations from linearity by plotting log thrips density against time.

Experiment F. The successful inundative regulation of a mixed population of *F. occidentalis* and *T. tabaci* proved a biocontrol potential of predatory mite for both species. The presence of predators and thrips till the end of the observation period only on edge plants suggested an immigration of thrips from surrounding areas, and a possible role of predators on the stand edges as a buffer against thrips infestation. A similar role was considered for the predatory mite *Phytoseiulus persimilis* Athias-Henriot (19XX) after inundative releasing against *Tetranychus urticae* (Osborne et al. 1985, Jarošík & Plíva 1990).

#### **Aggregation behaviour**

Both *A. barkeri* and the other commercially produced thrips phytoseiid predator *A. cucumeris* are polyphagous. The distributions of *F. occidentalis* and *A. cucumeris* were found to be clumped (Steiner 1990). However, the aggregation was weak in comparison with the patchiness of the more specialized phytoseiid predator *P. persimilis* and its prey *T. urticae* (Nachman 1981). Jarošík (1990) ascertained for *P. persimilis* per cucumber leaf about 167x stronger aggregative response than was the value for *A. barkeri* in the present study. These findings correspond with the theory of a direct increase in degree of predator aggregation as polyphagy decreases (Hassell 1976).

In a highly aggregated population of a phytoseiid predator and its prey, dispersion and attraction between host plant, herbivore and predator are often directed by a system of semiochemicals (Dicke 1986). The predators may be adapted to search for prey which aggregate (Eveleigh & Chant 1982). In such cases, several searching levels corresponding to different scales may be specified (Sabelis & Dicke 1985), and the predators may differ in aggregation at the scales searched. The same slope of aggregative response of *A. barkeri* on leaf and plant scale may indicate that behavioral searching patterns did not differ for different searching levels, and that searching without chemical cues is more probable for this omnivorous species. Similar to congeneric *A. degenerans* (Berlese) (Eveleigh & Chang 1982), *A. barkeri* may not be adapted to search for prey which aggregate.

Because phytoseiid mites are not capable of killing large number of prey, in order to effectively suppress the explosive growth of pests they must show a high numerical response leading to aggregation if released inoculatively. The aggregative response, however, may not be so critical if it is feasible to rear and release them in large numbers (Sabelis 1985). Nevertheless, in the system under study, the ability of predators to aggregate in areas of high prey density may remain crucial, in spite of a mass rearing and release strategy. Gillespie (1989) found stronger correlation between predators and thrips for *A. cucumeris*, and the closer predator-prey relationship may coincide with more effective control of thrips on cucumbers exerted by this species (Elliott et al. 1987, Lindhagen & Nedstam 1988, Gillespie 1989).

#### **Implications for control**

Because of its lack of aggregation, a control strategy using *A. barkeri* to control *F. occidentalis* or *T. tabaci* may be efficient only using mass inundation. Hansen (1989) hypothesizes that the system is independent of introductory rates above a minimum of about 300-400 predators/m<sup>2</sup>, and the explanation of differences in biocontrol outcomes relates to different rates of thrips growth. We actually have demonstrated differences in thrips population growth rate during a season. It suggests that biocontrol using this mite may be unreliable even with high release rates when thrips population growth rates are high.

## A c k n o w l e d g e m e n t s

The research was funded by agricultural grant No R-329-160

## R E F E R E N C E S

- BAKKER F M & SABELIS M W 1989 How larvae of Thrips tabaci reduce the attack success of phytoseiid predators *Entomol Exp Appl* **50** 47-51
- DICKE M 1986 Volatile spider-mite pheromone and host-plant kairomone, involved in spaced-out gregariousness in the spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* *Physiol Entomol* **11** 251-262
- EDELSON J V & MAGARO J J 1988 Development of onion thrips, *Thrips tabaci* Lindeman, as a function of temperature *Southwestern Entomologist* **13** 171-176
- ELLIOTT D P, GILKESON L A & GILLESPIE D 1987 The development of greenhouse biological control in western Canadian vegetable greenhouses and plantscapes *Bull SROP/WPRS* **10** 52-56
- EVELEIGH E S & CHANT D A 1982 Experimental studies on acarine predator-prey interactions: the response of predators to prey distribution in an homogeneous area (Acarina: Phytoseiidae) *Can J Zool* **60** 639-647
- GILLESPIE D R 1989 Biological control of thrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) on greenhouse cucumber by *Amblyseius cucumeris* *Entomophaga* **34** 185-192
- HANSEN L S 1988 Control of *Thrips tabaci* [Thysanoptera: Thripidae] on glasshouse cucumber using large introductions of predatory mites *Amblyseius barkeri* [Acarina: Phytoseiidae] *Entomophaga* **33** 33-42
- HANSEN L S 1989 The effect of initial thrips density (*Thrips tabaci* Lind [Thysanoptera, Thripidae]) on the control exerted by *Amblyseius barkeri* (Hughes) (Acarina, Phytoseiidae) on glasshouse cucumber *J Appl Entomol* **107** 130-135
- HANSEN L S & GEYETI J 1987 Possibilities and limitations of the use of *Amblyseius mckenziei* Sch & Pr for biological control of thrips [*Thrips tabaci* Lind.] on glasshouse crops of cucumber Pp 145-150 In CAVALLORO R (ed) *Integrated and biological control in protected crops* Rotterdam: Balkema 315 pp
- HARRIS H M, DRAKE C J & TATE H D 1936 Observations on the onion thrips *Iowa Coll J Sci* **10** 155-172
- HASSELL M P 1976 Arthropod predator-prey systems Pp 71-93 In MAY R M (ed) *Theoretical ecology: principles and applications* Oxford: Blackwell 401 pp
- JAROŠÍK V 1990 *Phytoseiulus persimilis* A-H and its prey *Tetranychus urticae* Koch on glasshouse cucumbers and peppers: key factors related to biocontrol efficiency *Acta Entomol Bohemoslov* **87** 414-430
- JAROŠÍK V 1991 Thrips (Thysanoptera, Thripidae) on greenhouse cucumbers: species composition, population dynamics, and age structure *Proc XII Czech Plant Protect Conf Praha 17-19 September 1991* Praha: ČSVTS, 1991 329-330
- JAROŠÍK V & PLIVA J 1990 Efficient control of twospotted spider mite (*Tetranychus urticae* Koch) by *Phytoseiulus persimilis* A-H on glasshouse peppers *J Appl Entomol* **110** 270-274
- JENSER G & TUSNADI C K 1989 The appearance of *Frankliniella occidentalis* Pergande in Hungary *Novenyvedelem* **25** 389-393
- KAWAI A 1990 Life cycle and population dynamics of *Thrips palmi* Karny *JARQ* **23** 282-288
- LEWIS T 1973 *Thrips - their biology, ecology and practical importance* London: Academic Press, 297 pp
- LINDHAGEN M & NEDSTAM B 1988 Experiments with biological control of *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) by using the predatory mite *Amblyseius cucumeris* (Acarina: Phytoseiidae) *Vuxtskyddsnutiser* **52** 13-16
- LUBLINKHOFF J & FOSTER D E 1977 Development of reproductive capacity of *Frankliniella occidentalis* reared at three temperatures *J Kans Ent Soc* **50** 313-316
- MOANAS A M 1987 *Mass rearing study of the predatory mite Amblyseius mckenziei* Schuster et Pritchard (Acarina: Phytoseiidae) responses to pesticides and use in integrated control programs Ph.D. Thesis Praha: Agriculture University, 146 pp
- NACHMAN G 1981 Temporal and spatial dynamics of the functional relationship between density and spatial distribution of a population *J Anim Ecol* **50** 453-460
- OSBORNE L S, EHRLER L E & NECHOLS J R 1985 *Biological control of twospotted spider mite in greenhouses* *Bull 853 Agric Exp Stations IFAS* Gainesville: Univ Florida, 40 pp
- PELIKAN J 1989 A new imported pest of greenhouse plants, the western flower thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Pergande, 1985) *Ochr Rostl* **25** 271-278
- PLIVA J & JAROŠÍK V 1991 Biological control of thrips (Thysanoptera, Thripidae) on greenhouse cucumbers *Proc XII Czech Plant Protect Conf Praha 17-19 September 1991* Praha: ČSVTS, 1991 363-364
- POPOV N A, BELOUSOV Y V, ZABUDSKAYA I A, KHUDYAKOVA O A, SHEVCHENKO V B & SHUKO E S 1987 Biological control of glasshouse crop pests in the south of the USSR *Bull SROP/WPRS* **10** 155-157

- RAMAKERS P M J 1980 Biological control of *Thrips tabaci* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) with *Amblyseius* spp (Acari: Phytoseiidae) *Bull SROP/WPRS* 3 155-157
- RAMAKERS P M J 1983 Mass production and introduction of *Amblyseius mckenziei* and *A. cucumeris* *Bull SROP/WPRS* 6 203-206
- RAMAKERS P M J & LIEBURG M J VAN 1982 Start of commercial production and introduction of *Amblyseius mckenziei* Sch. & Pr [Acarina: Phytoseiidae] for the control of *Thrips tabaci* Lind [Thysanoptera: Thripidae] in glasshouses *Med. Fac. Landbouww. Rijksuniv. Gent* 47 541-545
- RAVENSBERG W & ALTENA K 1987 Recent developments in the control of thrips in sweet pepper and cucumber *Bull SROP/WPRS* 10 160-164
- SABELIS M W 1985 Life History: Capacity for population increase Pp 35-41 In HELLE W & SABELIS M W (eds) *Spider mites: Their biology, natural enemies and control. Vol. 1 B* Amsterdam: Elsevier, 506 pp
- SABELIS M W & DICKE M 1985 Predator-prey interaction: Long-range dispersal and searching behaviour pp 141-160 In HELLE W & SABELIS M W (eds) *Spider mites: Their biology, natural enemies and control. Vol. 1 B* Amsterdam: Elsevier, 506 pp
- SCHLIEPHAKE G 1988 Beitrag zur Unterscheidung mitteleuropäischer Arten der Gattung *Frankliniella* Karny, 1910 *Dtsch. Entomol. Ztschr. (N.F.)* 35 257-263
- SHIPP J L & WHITFIELD G H 1991 Functional response of the predatory mite, *Amblyseius cucumeris* (Acari: Phytoseiidae), on Western Flower Thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) *Environ. Entomol.* 20 694-699
- SOKAL R R & ROHLF F J 1981 *Biometry* San Francisco: Freeman 859 pp
- STEINER M Y 1990 Determining population characteristics and sampling procedures for the western flower thrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) and the predatory mite *Amblyseius cucumeris* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on greenhouse cucumber *Environ. Entomol.* 19 1605-1613
- STENSETH C 1986 Control of onion thrips on greenhouse cucumbers (Norwegian) *Forsk. For. Lanbr.* 37 15-22
- TABORSKY V, MOANAS A M & FATEH J 1988 Use of the predatory mite *Amblyseius mckenziei* Sch. and Pr. for control of thrips in glasshouse cucumbers *Agric. Trop. Subtrop. Univ. Agric. Praga* 21 98-111
- WELTER S C, ROSENHEIM J A, JOHNSON M V, MAU R F L & GUSUKUMA-MINUTO L R 1990 Effects of *Thrips palmi* and western flower thrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) on the yield, growth, and carbon allocation pattern in cucumbers *J. Econ. Entomol.* 83 2092-2101

**Review of Scorpionida from Thailand with descriptions of  
*Thaicharmus mahunkai* gen. et sp. n. and *Lychas krati* sp. n. (Buthidae)**

František KOVÁŘÍK

U Botiče 1/1389, CZ-140 00 Praha 4, Czech Republic

Received August 10, 1995; accepted October 5, 1995  
Published December 27, 1995

**Taxonomy, distribution, descriptions, new genus, new species, Scorpionida, Buthidae, *Thaicharmus* gen. n., *Lychas*, Thailand, Oriental region**

**Abstract.** A list of and a key for all species known and believed to occur in Thailand are given. *Thaicharmus* gen. n. with the type species *T. mahunkai* sp. n. is described. The new genus is related to the Indian genus *Charmus*, from which it differs by the presence of a subaculear tubercle, and to the African genus *Butheoloides*, from which it differs in having 12 (including apical row) cutting edges on the movable fingers of pedipalps. *Lychas krati* sp. n. is described and a checklist for all species of the genus *Lychas* is provided. *Lychas mucronatus* is for the first time recorded from South and North Vietnam and *Locheles australasiae* for the first time from North Vietnam.

**TAXONOMIC PART**

***Isometrus (Isometrus) maculatus* (De Geer, 1778)**

- <sup>2</sup> *Scorpio europaeus* Linnaeus, 1758: 625; Fabricius, 1793: 435.  
*Isometrus europaeus* Lönnerberg, 1898: 86; Pocock, 1899: 835; Pocock, 1900: 46; Pocock, 1902: 38.  
*Isometrus (Raddyanus [sic]) europaeus* Tikader & Bastawade, 1983: 286.  
*Scorpio americanus* Linnaeus, 1758: 624; Fabricius, 1775: 399 (syn. by De Geer, 1778: 346; Kraepelin, 1891: 245).  
*Scorpio americanus* Fabricius, 1793: 434; Herbst, 1800: 60 (syn. by Kraepelin, 1891: 245).  
*Lychas americanus* C. L. Koch, 1845: 2; Cambridge, 1869: 543.  
*Centrurus (Isometrus) americanus* Peters, 1862: 515.  
*Scorpio maculatus* De Geer, 1778: 346; Gervais, 1844: 57.  
*Lychas maculatus* C. L. Koch, 1845: 1; Cambridge, 1869: 543.  
*Isometrus maculatus* Thorell, 1876: 8; Pavesi, 1881: 537; L. Koch, 1885: 6; Thorell, 1888: 405; Oates, 1888: 250; Kraepelin, 1891: 245; Lönnerberg, 1897: 185; Kraepelin, 1899: 66; Simon, 1899: 120; Werner, 1902: 599; Kraepelin, 1916: 34; Glauert, 1925: 117; Roewer, 1943: 217; Mello-Leitao, 1945: 241; Glauert, 1963: 183; Probst, 1972: 1; Probst, 1973: 325; L. E. Koch, 1977: 152; Kovářík, 1992: 183.  
*Isometrus (Isometrus) maculatus* Vachon, 1972: 177; Vachon, 1976: 38; Kovářík, 1994: 202.  
*Scorpio dentatus* Herbst, 1800: 55 (syn. by C. L. Koch, 1845: 1).  
*Buthus (Isometrus) filum* Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828: pl. I fig. 3; Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1829: 352 (syn. Pavesi, 1881: 537).  
*Atreus filum* Gervais, 1844: 52.  
*Lychas paraensis* C. L. Koch, 1845: 6 (syn. by Kraepelin, 1891: 245).  
*Scorpio (Lychas) gabonensis* Lucas, 1858: 430 (syn. Pavesi, 1881: 537).  
*Scorpio (Lychas) guineensis* Lucas, 1858: 432 (syn. Pavesi, 1881: 537).  
<sup>2</sup> *Isometrus sordidus* Karsch, 1879: 116 (syn. by Kraepelin, 1891: 245).

**COMMENTS.** This is a cosmopolitan species more widespread than any other scorpion. It occurs in South America, the Antilles, USA (Florida), Costa Rica, Africa, Madagascar, Pakistan, India, Sri Lanka, China, Myanmar, Thailand, Laos, Cambodia, Vietnam, Malaysia, Indonesia, New Guinea, and Australia (e. g. Vachon 1972: 178).

I have not seen any specimens from Thailand, but Vachon (1972: 178, fig. 21) recorded this species from the Thailand - Myanmar and Thailand - Malaysia border regions.

***Isometrus (Reddyanus) vittatus* Pocock, 1900**

*Isometrus vittatus* Pocock, 1900: 50

*Isometrus (Reddyanus) vittatus* Vachon, 1972: 177, Vachon, 1976: 39; Kovarik, 1994: 202

*Isometrus (Raddyanus* [sic]) *vittatus* Tikader & Bastawade, 1983: 257

COMMENTS. This species has been recorded from India (Pocock 1900, Tikader & Bastawade 1983, Vachon 1972), Cambodia, and Laos (Fage 1933, 1936, Vachon 1972), and it is therefore assumed to occur in Myanmar and Thailand as well.

***Lychas* C. L. Koch, 1845**

*Pilumnus* C. L. Koch, 1837: 38 (nec Leach, 1815: Crustacea), = *Repucha* Francke, 1985: 12 nomen novum (syn. by Francke 1985: 12).

*Lychas* C. L. Koch, 1845: 1; Kraepelin, 1907: 184; L. E. Koch, 1977: 123; Francke, 1985: 9, Vachon, 1986: 835

*Lychas* [sic] Kraepelin, 1907: 193 (Francke 1985: 10).

*Lychas* [sic] Fage, 1936: 137 (Francke 1985: 9)

*Lycas* [sic] Hadley, 1974 (Francke 1985: 9)

*Lychas (Hemilychas)* Hirst, 1911: 464 (syn. by L. E. Koch 1977: 143)

*Lychas (Distotrichus)* Tikader & Bastawade, 1983: 41 (syn. by Vachon 1986: 848)

*Lychas (Alterotrichus)* Tikader & Bastawade, 1983: 52 syn. n.

*Lychas (Endotrichus)* Tikader & Bastawade, 1983: 71 syn. n.

*Archisometrus* Kraepelin, 1891: 217 (syn. by Pocock 1900: 35).

*Archisometrus* [sic] Stahnke, 1972: 128 (Francke 1985: 5)

TAXONOMIC POSITION. L. E. Koch (1977: 123) considered *Lychas* C. L. Koch, 1845 to be a synonym of *Isometrus* Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828 and 1829 (figured in 1828 and described in 1829). According to L. E. Koch (1977), the genus *Lychas* was described by C. L. Koch only in 1850.

It is true that C. L. Koch (1845) listed *Lychas maculatus* (= *Isometrus maculatus*) first, *Lychas americanus* (= *Isometrus maculatus*) second, and *Lychas scutillus* only third, although the latter was selected as the type species of the genus *Lychas* C. L. Koch, 1845 in accord with the rules of zoological nomenclature as then defined (Pocock, 1899: 834), and has been used as such since then (Pocock, 1900: 35; Vachon, 1985: 99; Vachon, 1986: 837). The taxonomic position of the genus *Lychas* has been worked out in detail by Vachon (1985).

The genus *Lychas* includes recently 34 species. Its vast distribution and attempts to better understand the relationships among the species have led to dividing the genus into several subgenera. Hirst (1911) erected the Australian subgenus *Hemilychas* with type species *L. (H.) alexandrinus* Hirst, 1911. L. E. Koch (1977) compared Hirst's characters with other species of the genus and concluded that they do not justify subgeneric status.

Tikader & Bastawade (1983) divided *Lychas* into the subgenera *Distotrichus*, *Alterotrichus*, and *Endotrichus*. In discord with the international rules of zoological nomenclature, none of their subgenera has been named *Lychas*. The subgenera are differentiated on distribution of the trichobothria dt, db, et, and est (Figs 1 and 2, Tikader & Bastawade 1983: 41, Vachon 1986: 847, figs 22 - 24). This distinction was doubted by Vachon (1986), because the distribution of these trichobothria varies even intraspecifically. Fig. 2 shows the distribution of trichobothria on the tibia in a male of *Lychas mucronatus* from Thailand (Samut), which would be placed in the subgenus *Distotrichus*. Fig. 1 shows distribution of the pertinent trichobothria on the tibia



in a male of *Lychas mucronatus* from Thailand, prov. Kanchanaburi near river Kwai, which would be placed in the subgenus *Alterotrichus* where the species was indeed placed by Tikader & Bastawade (1983). The figures make it clear that distribution of trichobothria dt, db, et, and est cannot be considered a subgeneric character for the genus *Lychas*.

In the following checklist of the genus *Lychas* the species are ordered alphabetically.

#### Checklist of the genus *Lychas* C. L. Koch, 1845

*albimanus* Henderson, 1919 India  
*alexandrinus* Hirst, 1911 Australia  
 = *Lychas myobergi* Kraepelin, 1916  
 = *Lychas truncatus* Glauert, 1925  
 = *Lychas annulatus* Glauert, 1925  
*asper* (Pocock, 1890) Congo, Zimbabwe, Tanzania, Mozambique  
*asper obscurus* (Kraepelin, 1913) Tanzania, Somalia, Zambia  
*biharensis* Tikader & Bastawade, 1983 India  
*braueri* (Kraepelin, 1897) Seychelles (Praslin Island)  
*burdoi* (Simon, 1882) Tanzania, Kenya, Zimbabwe, South Africa, Congo, Zambia, Malawi  
 = ? *Lychas emiliae* Werner, 1916  
*burdoi rhodesianus* Lawrence, 1938 Zimbabwe  
*burdoi regulosus* Birula, 1915 Kenya  
*decorata* Basu, 1964 India  
*feae* (Thorell, 1889) Myanmar  
*flavimanus* (Thorell, 1888) Indonesia (Sumatra)  
*gravelyi* Henderson, 1911 Myanmar  
*hendersoni* (Pocock, 1897) India  
<sup>1</sup> *hosei* (Pocock, 1891) Malaysia (Sarawak)  
*infuscatus* (Pocock, 1891) Philippines  
*kamshetensis* Tikader & Bastawade, 1983 India  
*kharpadi* Bastawade, 1987 India  
*krati* sp. n. Thailand  
*laevifrons* Pocock, 1897 India  
*marmoreus* (Koch, 1845) Australia, New Guinea  
 = *Isometrus biutuberculatus* Pocock, 1891  
 = *Lychas marmoreus obscurus* Kraepelin, 1916  
 = *Lychas marmoreus nigrescens* Kraepelin, 1916  
 = *Lychas marmoreus splendens* Kraepelin, 1916  
 = *Lychas jonesae* Glauert, 1925  
*mentaweius* Roewer, 1943 Sipora (Mentawai Island)  
*mucronatus* (Fabricius, 1798) China, India, Myanmar, Thailand, Laos, Cambodia, Vietnam, Philippines, Malaysia, Indonesia  
 = *Scorpio curvidigitus* Gervais, 1844  
 = *Tityus varius* C. L. Koch, 1845  
 = *Isometrus chinensis* Karsch, 1879  
 = *Isometrus atomarius* Simon, 1884  
*nigrimanus* (Kraepelin, 1898) Indonesia (Sumatra)  
*nigristeris* (Pocock, 1899) India  
*nucifer* Basu, 1964 India  
*obsti* Kraepelin, 1913 Tanzania, Somalia, Kenya  
*perfidus* (Keyserling, 1887) Fiji (Viti Levu Island)  
*rugosus* (Pocock, 1897) India  
*scaber* Pocock, 1893 India

<sup>1</sup> Kraepelin (1899) regarded *Lychas hosei* as a synonym of *Lychas flavimanus*, but according to Vachon & Lourenco (1985) it is a valid species.



*scutellus* C.L. Koch, 1845 (type species of the genus): Myanmar, Thailand, Malaysia, Indonesia, introduced into Tanzania and Congo  
 = *Lychas scutellus* C. L. Koch, 1845  
 = *Isometrus weberi* Karsch, 1882  
 = *Isometrus messor* Simon, 1884  
 = *Isometrus phupsoni* Oates, 1888  
*serratus* Pocock, 1890: Mauritius (Round Island)  
*shelfordi* (Borelli, 1904): Malaysia, Indonesia (Kalimantan)  
*shoplandi* (Oates, 1888): Myanmar  
*tricarinatus* (Simon, 1884): India, introduced into West Africa (Slave Coast)  
*variatus* (Thorell, 1876): Australia, New Guinea  
 = *Isometrus thorelli* Keyserling, 1885  
 = *Isometrus variatus papuanus* Thorell, 1888  
 = *Isometrus armatus* Pocock, 1890  
 = *Lychas marmoreus kimberleyanus* Kraepelin, 1916  
 = *Lychas spinatus* Kraepelin, 1916  
 = *Lychas spinatus besti* Glauert, 1925  
 = *Lychas spinatus pallidus* Glauert, 1925  
 = *Lychas lappa* Glauert, 1954  
*tweediei* Kopstein, 1937: Malaysia

### *Lychas králi* sp. n. (Figs 3-6, Table 1)

**TYPE MATERIAL.** Holotype - male and paratypes - 6 females (Nos 1-6) labelled Thailand, Umphang River, 16° 07' N 99° 00' E, 1000 m above sea level, 28.IV-4.V.1991, leg. David Král & Vít Kubáň. Three females (Nos 7-9) labelled Thailand, Lansang, 16° 48' N 98° 57' E, 500 m above sea level, 18.-24.IV.1991, leg. David Král & Vít Kubáň. Two females (Nos 10 and 11) labelled Thailand, Chiang Dao Mtns, 19° 25' N 98° 52' E, 17.-24.V.1991, leg. David Král & Vít Kubáň. One female (No 12) labelled Thailand, 56 km NW of Chiang Mai, 19° 05' N 99° 25' E, 7.-14.VI.1995, leg. M. Snížek. Holotype and paratypes Nos 6-11 mounted dry, paratypes Nos 1-5 and 12 preserved in 75 % alcohol. Female No. 4 is deposited in the Department of Invertebrate Zoology, National Museum (Natural History), Prague. Holotype and all other paratypes are in the author's collection.

**TYPE LOCALITY.** Thailand, Umphang River, 16° 07' N 99° 00' E. Individuals rest under bark and in fissures and hollows of trees, and at night emerge on tree trunks and branches. At two localities (Lansang and 56 km NW of Chiang Mai) *Lychas králi* sp. n. was found together with *Lychas mucronatus*.

**ETYMOLOGY.** Named after the Czech entomologist David Král, who jointly with Vít Kubáň collected most of the type material.

**DESCRIPTION.** The total length is 35.2 mm in the male holotype and 30.4-39.8 mm in the female paratypes. The habitus is shown in Fig. 6. Measurements of the carapace, telson, segments of the metasoma and segments of the pedipalps, and numbers of pectinal teeth are given in Table 1. There are 15 and 16 pectinal teeth in the male and 15-18 in the females. For the position and distribution of trichobothria on the pedipalps see Figs 3-5.

**Color.** The base color is yellow, with well marked black reticulation.

Chelicera is more markedly reticulated in the anterior portion, whereas posteriorly the reticulation is subdued and faint. Carapace is without keels but with large granules. Color is more yellow and black spots farther apart. Present is a black spot around the median eyes, which is characteristic of the genus *Lychas*. The margin of carapace has a black rim.


**Pedipalps.** Femur and patella are dorsally and laterally covered with dense black spots. Ventrally the spots have indistinct borders and colors blend more or less gradually. The lower and inner surfaces of the manus have dark spots in only some specimens (paratype No. 9), whereas in others (e. g. paratype No. 5) these surfaces are pale yellow. However, the dorsal and

lateral sides of the manus are as spotted as the patella. Fingers are light brown but darker than the manus.

The movable fingers of the pedipalps have 6 cutting edges. External lateral granules (Stahnke 1970: 303, No. 111) number 8 (Vachon 1986: 840, figs 2a and 4a).

Mesosoma is also spotted and becomes darker in older specimens. The hind margins of first through sixth segments each bear 6 nearly circular light yellow spots which are symmetrically distributed, with the second spot on each side always smaller than the others. The lower surface of the seventh segment bears 4 keels, but in some specimens only 2 are well developed and in others all the keels are barely discernible.

Legs have the same colors and patterns as the femur and patella of the pedipalps.



Figs 1-5, 7-11. Fig 1 *Lychas mucronatus* from Thailand (prov. Kanchanaburi, near river Kwai), Tibia. Fig 2 *Lychas mucronatus* from Thailand (Samut), Tibia. Figs 3-5. *Lychas krati* sp. n. (holotype) Fig 3 Femur, Fig 4 Tibia, Fig 5 Patella. Figs 7-11. *Thaicharmus mahunkai* gen. n., sp. n. (holotype) Fig 7 Tibia external, Fig 8 Tibia ventral, Fig 9 Femur, Fig 10 Patella dorsal, Fig 11 Patella ventral. Explanations: First letters: d, dorsal, e, external, i, internal. Second or second plus third letters: b, basal, sb, suprabasal, m, medial, st, subterminal, t, terminal. Numerals distinguish individual trichobothria of the same classification. Designation and description of trichobothria according to Vachon (1974). In Figs 2, 4, 7, and 8 the first capital letters denote trichobothria situated on the manus, the first lower-case letters (Figs 1-3, and 7) denote trichobothria situated on the fixed finger of the pedipalp.

Tibial spur is present on the third and fourth pairs of legs and is well developed. Its size ranges from 0.21 to 0.25 mm on the third legs and from 0.26 to 0.32 mm on the fourth legs.

**Metasoma.** The segments of the metasoma are yellow to reddish brown. Black spots are less pronounced. The first and second segments bear 10 keels, the third and fourth segments bear 8 keels, and the fifth segment bears only 4 keels. The subaculear tooth is pronounced, pointed, with one row composed of 2 granules in the upper midline and one granule at the tip.

**AFFINITIES.** The described features distinguish *Lychas kralli* sp. n. from all other species of the genus *Lychas*. Features separating the new species from others occurring in Thailand are given in the key below, whereas those separating it from species occurring in Myanmar, Malaysia, Indonesia, and the Philippines are discussed in the following paragraphs.

The length of adult specimens ranges between 30 and 40 mm and separates *Lychas kralli* sp. n. from *L. hosei* from Borneo (62 mm, Pocock 1891), *L. tweediei* from the Malay Peninsula (67.5 mm, of which 37.5 mm is the metasoma; Kopstein 1937) and *L. mentawaius* from Sipora (57 mm, Roewer 1943). *Lychas kralli* sp. n. differs from these 3 species also in the number of pectinal teeth, 20-21 in *L. hosei* (Pocock 1891), 18-20 in *L. tweediei* (Kopstein 1937), and 23 in *L. mentawaius* (Roewer 1943).

*Lychas nigrimanus* from Sumatra is 45 mm long and has 15-16 pectinal teeth, but whereas the first segment of the metasoma has 10 keels, the second through fourth segments have 8 keels (Kraepelin 1898). In *Lychas kralli* sp. n. the second segment of the metasoma has 10 keels. Another difference is in coloration, *L. nigrimanus* having the femur and patella pale yellow, the manus dark, and the finger pale (Kraepelin 1899).




Fig 6. *Lychas kralli* sp. n. (paratype No. 4). Dorsal aspect.

Table 1. Measurements in millimeters of *Lychas kralli* sp. n.. Line denoted "pectinal teeth" contains numbers of both left and right teeth separated by a colon

		<i>Lychas kralli</i> sp. n. holotype male	<i>Lychas kralli</i> sp. n. paratype (No. 5) female
Total	length	37.1	36.4
Carapace	length	4.7	5.3
	width	5	4.5
Metasoma	length	23.2	21.9
segment I	length	2.7	2.5
	width	2.3	2.3
segment II	length	3.3	3
	width	2	2
segment III	length	3.7	3.4
	width	1.9	1.9
segment IV	length	4.3	4.2
	width	1.8	1.9
segment V	length	5.3	5
	width	1.7	1.8
telson	length	4.2	3.8
Pedipalp			
femur	length	4.3	3.8
	width	1.2	1.1
patella	length	4.9	4.2
	width	1.7	1.6
tibia	length	7.5	6.5
manus	length	2.2	2.3
	width	1.3	1.2
finger movable	length	5.4	4.2
Pectinal teeth		16:15	16:16

*Lychas flavimanus* from Sumatra is about 42 mm long (Kraepelin 1899) and its coloration differs from *Lychas kralli* sp. n. The femur, patella, and finger of the pedipalps are black, and the manus is yellow or reddish brown (Kraepelin 1899).

*Lychas infuscatus* from the Philippines is about as long as *Lychas kralli* sp. n. but has only 10-11 pectinal teeth (Pocock 1900).

*Lychas shoplandi* from Myanmar differs from *Lychas kralli* sp. n. in having brownish-yellow legs, chelicerae without spots, and 22 pectinal teeth (Oates 1888). Pocock (1900) emphasized its long tibial spur.

*Lychas graveleyi* has only 12 pectinal teeth (Tikader & Bastawade 1983) and differs in coloration (Tikader & Bastawade 1983: 48), e. g. the metasoma is dark brown to black in contrast to yellow or reddish brown in *Lychas kralli* sp. n. Another difference is in the position of trichobothria d5 and e1 on the femur of the pedipalp and trichobothria on the patella of the pedipalp (Figs 3, 5; and Tikader & Bastawade 1983: 51, figs 125, 127). This species, which

occurs in Myanmar and Tikader & Bastawade (1983) do not exclude the possibility of its presence also in India, is the one most similar to *Lychas kralli* sp. n.

*Lychas feae* from Myanmar was characterized by Pocock (1900) as having a long tibial spur and black manus, carapace, and terga. The legs are usually also black. The fingers are yellow according to Pocock (1900) but black according to Kraepelin (1899).

### *Lychas mucronatus* (Fabricius, 1798) (Figs 1-2)

*Scorpio mucronatus* Fabricius, 1798: 294

*Tityus mucronatus* C. L. Koch, 1845: 29.

*Isometrus mucronatus* Simon, 1884: 363; Thorell, 1889: 566; Pocock, 1894: 85.

*Archisometrus mucronatus* Kraepelin, 1899: 46; Pocock, 1894: 85; Wu, 1936: 117

*Lychas mucronatus* Pocock, 1900: 36; Werner, 1935: 271; Vachon & Abe, 1988: 16

*Lychas* (*Alterotrichus*) *mucronatus*: Tikader & Bastawade, 1983: 53

? *Scorpio armillatus* Gervais, 1844: 48 (syn. by Kraepelin, 1891: 223).

*Scorpio curvidigitus* Gervais, 1844: 48 (syn. by Thorell, 1893: 368)

*Archisometrus curvidigitus* Kraepelin, 1891: 223

*Tityus varius* C. L. Koch, 1845: 29 (syn. Thorell, 1889: 566)

*Isometrus varius* Simon, 1884: 362.

*Isometrus chinensis* Karsch, 1879: 116 (syn. by Kraepelin, 1891: 223)

*Isometrus atomarius* Simon, 1884: 363 (syn. by Kraepelin, 1891: 223).

**MATERIAL.** Thailand, Chiang Mai, 15 VI 1987, 2 females, leg. C. M. Brandsdetter; Kanchanaburi, prov. Kanchanaburi near river Kwai, 5.IV.1991, 2 males, 3 females, 1 juv., leg. J. Farkač, Lansang, 16° 48' N 98° 57' E, 500 m above sea level, 18-24.IV.1991, 1 female, leg. D. Král & V. Kubán, Palong near Fang, 19° 55' N 99° 06' E, 750 m above sea level, 27.V.1991, 1 male, 2 females, leg. D. Král & V. Kubán, Samut, 1 male, 12.II.1993 [collector unknown], Sai Buri, 23-27.IV.1993, 3 females, leg. J. Strnad; Mae Hong Son, Nupa Ah, 30.VI.1993, 1 male, 9 females, leg. J. Schneider; Chiang Dao env. 21.V-4.VI.1995, 1 male, 2 females, leg. M. Snížek; 56 km NW of Chiang Mai, 19° 05' N 99° 25' E, 7-14.VI.1995, 6 females, 22 juvs before 1st ecdysis, leg. M. Snížek. Vietnam, Saigon, 1988, 1 male, 1 immature female, leg. Jansa; near Binh-Chan, 23.IV.1989, 1 female, leg. M. Snížek; Hanoi, X-XI.1991, 13 males, 22 females, leg. R. Hanzal, in the author's collection. Malaysia, Bah [collector unknown], 1 male deposited in the Department of Invertebrate Zoology, National Museum (Natural History), Prague. Laos, Dong Doh, 20.III.1990, 1 juv after 3rd ecdysis, leg. Kondorosy, deposited in the Department of Zoology, Magyar Természettudományi Museum in Budapest

**COMMENTS.** *Lychas mucronatus* was characterized by Roewer (1943) as having 10 keels on the second caudal segment, 2 keels on the underside of the seventh segment of the mesosoma, total length of 50-58 mm, and 21 pectinal teeth. Pocock (1900) gave a total length of 58 mm for the female and 53 mm for the male, and also about 21 pectinal teeth.

Upon examination of a number of specimens I found the keels on the underside of the seventh segment of the mesosoma to be often indistinct, indicated only by several widely spaced granules.

The largest specimens in my collection come from Thailand (Chiang Dao) and are about 55 mm (female) and 62 mm (male) long. Females from Nupa Ah are about 57 mm long. Other specimens from Thailand range from 45 to 50 mm in length. Only one female from Lansang is 40 mm long. Specimens from Vietnam (Hanoi) are 43-55 mm (female) and 43-53 mm (male) long. A small immature male from Saigon is 35 mm long.

There are usually 21 and rarely 23 pectinal teeth in the males and 19-22 (most frequently 20) in the females. Only one female from Vietnam (Hanoi) has 18 pectinal teeth and a female from Lansang (Thailand) has 19 and 24 pectinal teeth.

**DISTRIBUTION.** China (Wu 1936: 117), India, Cambodia (Tikader & Bastawade 1983: 60), Myanmar (Kraepelin 1913: 132), Thailand (Vachon & Abe 1988: 26), Laos (Fage, 1933: 26),

Philippines (Vachon & Abe 1988: 26), Malaysia (Fage, 1933: 26), and Indonesia (Kraepelin 1899: 47). This species is recorded for the first time from Vietnam. In Thailand it is the dominant species of scorpion.

*Lychas scutillus* C. L. Koch, 1845

*Lychas scutillus* C. L. Koch, 1845: 3; Pocock, 1900: 37.

*Isometrus scutillus*: Pocock, 1891: 435.

*Lychas scutatus* C.L.Koch, 1845: 163 (syn. by Pocock, 1900: 37).

*Archisometrus scutatus*: Kraepelin, 1899: 44.

*Isometrus weberi* Karsch, 1882: 184 (syn. by Pocock, 1891: 435).

*Isometrus messor* Simon, 1884: 371 (syn. by Pocock, 1891: 435).

*Isometrus phipsoni* Oates, 1888: 248 (syn. by Pocock, 1891: 435).

**MATERIAL.** **Thailand**, Betong, 4 males, 6 females, IV.1993, leg. J. Strnad & J. Horák. **Malaysia**, Pangkor Island, 2 females, 5.II.1995, leg. S. Bečvář; Kedah, Langkawi Island, 1 male, 4 females, 1 juv., 15.-17.VI.1995, leg. S. & E. Bečvář in the author's collection.

**COMMENTS.** Length was given by Roewer (1943) as 65 mm and by Pocock (1900) as 65 mm (metasoma 37) in the female and 81 mm (metasoma 57) in the male. According to Roewer (1943) there are 16 and more pectinal teeth.

Males from Thailand (Betong) are 62 mm (metasoma 43 mm) to 85 mm (metasoma 60 mm) long, and females are 48 mm (metasoma 28 mm) to 65 mm (metasoma 39 mm) long. There are 16-19 pectinal teeth in the males and 16-17 in the females.

Males from Malaysia (Kedah) are 71 mm (metasoma 49 mm) long and females are about 60 mm (metasoma 35 mm) long. There are 19 pectinal teeth in the males and 15-18 in the females.

On the underside of the seventh segment of the mesosoma are 4 pronounced keels. The second segment of the metasoma has 8 keels.

**DISTRIBUTION.** Myanmar, Thailand, Malaysia, Indonesia. Introduced into Tanzania and Congo (Kraepelin 1899: 45). In Thailand and Myanmar this species appears to be confined to the southern regions.

*Thaicharmus* gen. n. (Figs 7-17, Table 2)

**TYPE SPECIES.** *Thaicharmus mahunkai* sp. n.

**ETYMOLOGY.** Denotes affinity to the genus *Charmus* and the geographic distribution.

**DESCRIPTION.** A combination of characters differentiates this genus from all other genera of the family Buthidae. The basic trichobothrial pattern is alfa (Fig. 9 and Sissom 1990: 70, fig. 3.3), legs III and IV have well developed tibial spurs (Fig. 16), the sternum is subpentagonal (Fig. 13), and the pedipalp manus has 3 Eb trichobothria on the palm (Fig. 7). This complex of characters is exhibited only by the genera *Butheoloides* Hirst, 1925 from northern Africa and *Charmus* Karsch, 1879 from India and Sri Lanka (Sissom 1990: 94). *Thaicharmus* gen. n. shares with *Butheoloides* telson with subaculear tubercle and with *Charmus* a similar habitus (Fig. 12), similar proportions, the same structure of lateral eyes, and coloration; many other similar but also differing features can be seen on the metasoma.

*Thaicharmus* gen. n. is also characterized by the number and distribution of trichobothria on the pedipalps (Figs 7-11), chiefly by a shift of trichobothrium em toward trichobothria est and et (Fig. 11 and Vachon 1974: fig. 24), 12 (including apical row) cutting edges on the movable

fingers of pedipalps (Fig. 17), and other features included in the description of *Thaicharmus mahuankai* sp. n. below.

**AFFINITIES.** The most closely related genus *Charmus* is easily distinguished from *Thaicharmus* gen. n. by having only 8 cutting edges on the movable fingers of pedipalps, by the absence of subaculear tubercle on the telson, and by the distribution of trichobothria on the pedipalps, chiefly the position of trichobothria em, est, and et on the patella (Fig. 11 and Sreenivasa-Reddy 1966: fig. 3, Vachon 1982: fig. 5, and Tikader & Bastawade 1983: figs 393, 413). The fifth segment of the metasoma terminates in a pronounced, broad process that overlaps the telson (Fig. 12). This process is absent in both *Charmus* and *Butheoloides*.

The genus *Butheoloides* is easily distinguished from *Thaicharmus* gen. n. by having 9 (including apical row) cutting edges on movable fingers of pedipalps (Vachon 1950: 173) and by the distribution of trichobothria on the pedipalps, chiefly position of the above noted trichobothria em, est, and et on the patella (Fig. 11 and Vachon 1950: fig. 2). The genus *Butheoloides* occurs in Morocco, Senegal, Mauretania, Republic of Mali, and Ivory Coast.




Fig. 12 *Thaicharmus mahuankai* gen. n., sp. n. (holotype) Dorsal aspect.




*Thaicharmus* gen. n. differs from other scorpion genera occurring in Thailand by features given in the key below. Inclusion in the key of genera of the family Buthidae in Sissom (1990: 94) is as follows:

- Pedipalp chela with 3 Eb trichobothria on palm:  
 Telson with distinct subaculear tubercle, carapace granular but lacking distinct carinae ..... 1  
 - Telson lacking subaculear tubercle, carapace possessing distinct carinae ..... *Charmus*  
 I. Cutting edges of movable fingers of pedipalps number 9 (including apical row) ..... *Butheoloides*  
 - Cutting edges of movable fingers of pedipalps number 12 (including apical row) ..... *Thaicharmus* gen. n.

***Thaicharmus mahunkai* sp. n. (Figs 7-17, Table 2)**

**TYPE MATERIAL.** Holotype and paratype - 2 females preserved in 75% alcohol, labelled: Thailand, Kaeng Krachan (Phetchaburi), Kaeng Krachan National Park, Reservior, 7.II.1994, leg. Mahunka Sándor & L. Mahunka-Papp. Locality No. 59 in Mahunka & Mahunka (1994: 267). The holotype is deposited in the Department of Zoology, Magyar Természettudományi Museum in Budapest. The paratype is in the author's collection.

**TYPE LOCALITY.** Thailand, Kaeng Krachan (Phetchaburi), Kaeng Krachan National Park. Collected under rocks.



Figs 13-17. *Thaicharmus mahunkai* gen. n., sp. n. (holotype). Fig. 13. Ventral aspect, Fig. 14. Chelicera dorsal, Fig. 15. Chelicera ventral, Fig. 16. Fourth right leg, Fig. 17. Cutting edges of movable fingers.



AFFINITIES. Differential diagnosis of the new species is included in the generic diagnosis, and differentiation from other Thailand scorpions can be found in the key below.

ETYMOLOGY. Named after Sándor Mahunka (Magyar Természettudományi Museum in Budapest), who collected the type material.

DESCRIPTION. The length is 28.9 mm in the holotype and 29.2 mm in the paratype. The habitus is shown in Fig. 12. Measurements of the carapace, telson, segments of metasoma and segments of pedipalps, and numbers of pectinal teeth (Fig. 13) are given in Table 2. There are 14 and 15 pectinal teeth in the holotype and 14 in the paratype. For the position and distribution of trichobothria on the pedipalps see Figs 7-11.

Color. The base color is black. Femur of pedipalp is black, patella of pedipalp is light brown of varying shade, manus of pedipalp is pale yellow, and both fingers are light brown but darker than the manus.

Chelicerae (Figs 14, 15) are yellow, with black reticulation which is better defined in the anterior third.

Table 2. Measurements in millimeters of *Thaicharmus mahunkai* gen. n., sp. n.. Line denoted "pectinal teeth" contains numbers of both left and right teeth separated by a colon

		<i>Thaicharmus mahunkai</i> sp. n. holotype	<i>Thaicharmus mahunkai</i> sp. n. paratype
Total	length	28.9	29.2
Carapace	length	3.5	3.4
	width	3.5	3.4
Metasoma	length	16.5	16.2
segment I	length	2.3	2.2
	width	2.5	2.4
segment II	length	2.7	2.3
	width	2.5	2.4
segment III	length	2.7	2.5
	width	2.5	2.4
segment IV	length	2.9	2.6
	width	1.5	2.4
segment V	length	3.7	3.4
	width	2.5	2.4
telson	length	3.3	3.2
Pedipalp			
femur	length	3	2.8
	width	0.9	0.9
patella	length	3.6	3.6
	width	1.3	1.2
tibia	length	5.6	4.9
manus	length	2	1.8
	width	1.2	1.2
finger movable	length	3.6	3.1
Pectinal teeth		14:15	14:14

Carapace is black, without keels, and granulated. The granulation is subdued in the anterior portion and around median eyes. In the posterior portion are two larger, oval, symmetrically situated elevated areas separated by a median groove. Four pairs of lateral eyes are situated in a row near the carapace margin.

Legs are pale yellow except for the femur which is always dark gray to black and the patella whose part adjacent to the femur is deep to dark yellow. Most legs have faint black spots on the inner sides. Legs III and IV have well developed tibial spurs (Fig. 16).

Mesosoma has only one median keel. The tergites of the mesosoma are black with a yellowish-brown pattern.

Metasoma is black and telson is reddish brown. The segments of the metasoma have only two dorsal keels which are well developed but sparsely granulated. Only in the anterior portions of the keels on segments 3-5 is a row of several irregular granules which are larger on the fourth and largest on the fifth segment. There are 4 such granules on the third segment, 5 on the fourth segment, and 6 on the fifth segment. The dorsal keels are separated by a median groove which is granulated and black, in contrast to the lateral areas which are brown. In all segments the groove opens anteriorly to form a ledge that takes the entire segment width, whereas on the posterior margins the widening of the groove is at first minor and gradually attains a larger area caudad. On the fifth segment this area takes one-half of the surface and its granulation diminishes toward the posterior margin. The lateral and ventral parts of the segments are rounded, lack keels, and are sparsely pitted. Pits are present also on the telson, which has a small and blunt subaculear tubercle located below the aculeus. The fifth segment of the metasoma terminates in a large, broad process that partially overlaps the telson (Fig. 12). The metasoma is sparsely covered with hairs which are longer on the sides and ventrum than on the dorsum. The ventral surface of the telson is more densely hirsute than the preceding segments.

#### *Scorpiops (Scorpiops) farkaci* Kovařík, 1993

*Scorpiops (Scorpiops) farkaci* Kovařík, 1993. 111

**MATERIAL.** Thailand, prov. Mae Hong Son, Ban Huai Po, 1600-1700 m above sea level, 3 males, 6 mature females, 4 immature females, 4 juvs before the first ecdysis, 2 juvs after the first ecdysis, 9 juvs after the second ecdysis (holotype, paratypes nos 1-27), 10 V 1991, leg. J. Farkač. Female no. 4 is deposited in the Department of Invertebrate Zoology, National Museum (Natural History), Prague. Holotype and all other paratypes are in the author's collection.

**COMMENTS.** This species is known only from the type material collected in a xeric clearing of a virgin mountain forest at elevations 1600-1700 m; all specimens were found beneath dry buffalo faeces.

#### *Scorpiops (Euscorpiops) binghamii* Pocock, 1893

*Scorpiops binghami* Pocock, 1893: 327, Pocock, 1900: 74

*Scorpiops longimanus binghami* Kraepelin, 1913: 161

*Scorpiops longimanus binghami* Vachon, 1974: 942

*Scorpiops (Euscorpiops) longimanus binghami* Vachon, 1988: 155

*Scorpiops (Euscorpiops) binghami* Tikader & Bastawade, 1983: 470

*Scorpiops (Euscorpiops) binghami* Kovařík, 1993: 113

**MATERIAL.** Thailand, Mae Hong Son distr., Nupa-ah, 1 immature female, 7.-9 V 1992, leg. J. Strnad, in the author's collection.

COMMENTS. This specimen has 19 trichobothria on the external surface of the patella (5 eb, 2 esb, 2 em, 5 est, 5 et), 9 pectinal teeth, and 13 trichobothria on the lower surface of the patella. Vachon (1974 and 1980) regarded this species as a subspecies of *Scorpiops (Euscorpiops) longimanus*. Kraepelin first (1899: 180) considered it a synonym of *Scorpiops montanus* Karsch, 1879, but in 1913 placed it as a subspecies in *Scorpiops longimanus*.

*S. (E.) binghamii* has been known from Tenasserim Mts. (Myanmar). Its discovery in Thailand (Kovářík 1993) therefore is not surprising.

***Scorpiops (Euscorpiops) longimanus* Pocock, 1893 (Figs 18-19)**


*Scorpiops longimanus* Pocock, 1893: 326; Kraepelin, 1894: 191; Kraepelin, 1899: 180, Pocock, 1900: 72; Kraepelin, 1913: 160

*Scorpiops (Euscorpiops) longimanus longimanus* Vachon, 1980: 155

*Scorpiops (Euscorpiops) longimanus* Tikader & Bastawade, 1983: 464; Kovářík, 1993: 113.

MATERIAL. Thailand, prov. Chiang Mai, Doi Suthep, Pul, 1 male, 1 female, 20.IV.1991, leg. J. Farkač; Doi Chiang Dao, 1800 m above sea level, 1 juv., V. 1991, leg. D. Král & V. Kubáň, in the author's collection.

COMMENTS. These specimens have 19 trichobothria on the external surface of the patella (5 eb, 2 esb, 2 em, 5 est, 5 et) (Fig. 18), and 11 or 12 trichobothria on the lower surface of the patella (Fig. 19). Tikader & Bastawade (1983) found 10 or 11 trichobothria on the lower surface of the patella in specimens from India. Pectinal teeth number 6-8.



Figs 18-20. Figs 18-19 *Scorpiops (Euscorpiops) longimanus* from Thailand. Fig. 18 Patella external, Fig. 19 Patella ventral, Fig. 20 *Locheles australasiae* from Thailand, Patella ventral. Explanations: First letters: e, external, v, ventral. Second or second plus third letters: b, basal, sb, suprabasal, st, subterminal, t, terminal. Numerals distinguish individual trichobothria of the same classification. Designation and description of trichobothria according to Vachon (1974).

This species is known from India, Bangladesh (Tikader & Bastawade 1983: 470), and Thailand (Kovářik 1993: 113). It is therefore likely that it occurs also in Myanmar.

### *Liocheles* Sundevall, 1833

*Scorpio* (*Liocheles*) Sundevall, 1833: 31

*Sisyphus* [sic] C. L. Koch, 1837: 37 (L. E. Koch, 1977: 160; Francke, 1985: 13).

*Ischnurus* C. L. Koch, 1837: 37 (syn. by Thorell, 1876: 251; Francke, 1985: 9).

*Hormurus* Thorell, 1876: 14 (syn. by Karsch, 1880; Francke, 1985: 9)

**TAXONOMIC POSITION.** Sundevall (1833) described the genus *Liocheles* as a subgenus with the type species *Scorpio australasiae* Fabricius, 1775. C. L. Koch (1837) described the genus *Ischnurus* with the type species *Sisyphus* [lapsus calami = *Ischnurus*] *complanatus* C. L. Koch, 1837 (= *Liocheles australasiae* Fabricius, 1775). Even recent publications (e. g. Tikader & Bastawade 1983: 499) often incorrectly use the generic name *Hormurus* erected by Thorell (1876) with the type species *Ischnurus caudicula* L. Koch, 1867 (= *Liocheles waigiensis* (Gervais, 1844)).

### *Liocheles australasiae* (Fabricius, 1775) (Fig. 20)

*Scorpio australasiae* Fabricius, 1775: 399; Fabricius, 1793: 433.

*Scorpio* (*Liocheles*) *australasiae* Sundevall, 1833: 31.

*Ischnurus australasiae* C. L. Koch, 1837: 71

*Hormurus australasiae* Thorell, 1876: 251; L. Koch, 1885: 22; Thorell, 1888: 419; Pocock, 1894: 96; Simon, 1893: 328; Thorell, 1894: 1; Kraepelin, 1894: 133; Kraepelin, 1897: 1; Kraepelin, 1899: 154; Simon, 1899: 120; Pocock, 1900: 79; Kraepelin, 1901: 272; Werner, 1902: 603; Kraepelin, 1913: 163; Kraepelin, 1914: 328; Werner, 1916: 91; Kopstein, 1921: 135; Kopstein, 1923: 185; Kopstein, 1926: 111; Giltay, 1931: 9; Fage, 1933: 27; Wu, 1936: 121; Tikader & Bastawade, 1983: 501

*Liocheles australasiae* Simon, 1887: 113; Takashima, 1945: 95; Takashima, 1948: 86; Takashima, 1950: 17; L. E. Koch, 1977: 160; Vachon & Abe, 1988: 27

*Hormurus australasiae suspectus* Thorell, 1888: 419; Kraepelin, 1899: 154; Kraepelin, 1913: 163 (syn. by L. E. Koch, 1977: 161).

*Ischnurus complanatus* C. L. Koch, 1837: 73 (syn. by Thorell, 1876: 254).

*Scorpio gracilicauda* Guérin-Méneville, 1843: 11 (syn. by Kraepelin, 1899: 154).

*Scorpio cumingu* Gervais, 1844: 69 (syn. by Kraepelin, 1899: 154).

*Ischnurus pistaceus* Simon, 1877: 93 (syn. by Kraepelin, 1899: 154)

*Buthus brevicaudatus* Rainbow, 1897: 107 (syn. by Kraepelin, 1899: 154).

*Hormurus boholtiensis* Kraepelin, 1914: 333 (syn. by L. E. Koch, 1977: 161)

*Hormurus caudicula boholtiensis* Giltay, 1931: 12 (syn. by L. E. Koch, 1977: 161)

**MATERIAL.** **Thailand**, prov. Mae Hong Son, Ban Huai Po, 1800 m above sea level, 1 female, 10.V.1991, leg. J. Farkač, prov. Mae Hong Son, Ban Si Lang, 1600-2000 m above sea level, 1 male, 17.-23.V.1991, leg. J. Horák; Doi Chuang Dao Mts., 19° 25' N 98° 52' E, 1000 m above sea level, 17.-24.VI.1991, 1 female, leg. D. Král & V. Kubán, prov. Mae Hong Son, Huai Sue Tao, 1 male, 1 female, 11.-17.V.1992, leg. J. Strnad; Betong, 2 males, 3 females, IV.1993, leg. J. Horák & J. Strnad. **Vietnam**, Ha Long, 1 female, 6.-7.XI.1988, leg. S. Bečvář, in the author's collection; 18 km S of Da Lat, 19.X.1988, 2 females, 1 juv., leg. Mahunka & Vásárhelyi (Locality No. 332), 35 km NE of Bao Loc, Tung Rieng River, 23.X.1988, 1 female, 3 juvs., leg. Mahunka & Vásárhelyi (Locality No. 366); Bao Loc, 27.X.1988, 1 female, 1 juv., leg. Mahunka & Vásárhelyi (Locality No. 402), in the Department of Zoology, Magyar Természettudományi Museum in Budapest. **Malaysia**, Cameron Highlands, 36 females, 18 males, 1992 [collector unknown]; Perak Taiping, 3 juvs., 11.I.1995, leg. S. Bečvář; Kedah, Langkawi Island, 1 female, 15.-17.VI.1995, leg. S. & E. Bečvář; Sarawak, Sebong, 2 males, 7 females, 14 juvs., 9.-20.III.1994, leg. P. Bílek; Sarawak, Rumah Ugap, 14 juvs., 3.-9.III.1994, leg. P. Bílek. **Indonesia**, Borneo, Nanga Sarawai env., Tontang, 1 female, 24.VII.-2.VIII.1993, leg. J. Schneider, in the author's collection.

COMMENTS According to Vachon & Abe (1988) this is a small scorpion not exceeding 30 mm in total length. Specimens from the Malay Peninsula (Cameron Highlands) reach only 22-26 mm. A female from Malaysia (Kedah) is 33 mm long, and a female from Vietnam is 29 mm long. The largest female in the author's collection, 33.5 mm long, is from Thailand (Ban Huai Po).

The largest specimens, 35 mm, are recorded by L. E. Koch (1977) from Australia. Wu (1936) recorded lengths of 26-31 mm from China.

The number of pectinal teeth is given by Vachon & Abe (1988) as 4-7, by Wu (1936) as 6, and by L. E. Koch (1977) as 8-9 in the male and 4-8 in the female. A female from North Vietnam (Ha Long) has, like most other specimens from Thailand, 6 pectinal teeth. Only the largest female from Thailand (Ban Huai Po) has 4 and 5 pectinal teeth.

Details on South Vietnam localities (Nos 332, 366, and 402) can be found in Mahunka, Oláh & Vásárhelyi (1989).

DISTRIBUTION China, Korea, India, Myanmar, Thailand, Cambodia, Laos, Vietnam, Philippines, Malaysia, Indonesia, Polynesia, Micronesia, and Australia (e.g. L. E. Koch 1977: 161, Tikader & Bastawade 1983: 505, Fage 1933: 27).

The species has been so far recorded for Vietnam only by L. E. Koch (1977), from southern South Vietnam. The new specimens introduced here are from North Vietnam.

#### *Liacheles nigripes* (Pocock, 1897)

*Hormurus nigripes* Pocock, 1897: 117, Kraepelin, 1899: 155, Pocock, 1900: 80, Kraepelin, 1913: 163, Fage, 1944: 72, Tikader & Bastawade, 1983: 506.

COMMENTS This species is known from India (Pocock 1900, Tikader & Bastawade 1983) and Laos (Fage 1944). It is therefore reasonable to assume its presence also in Myanmar, Thailand, and Cambodia.

Scorpionidae Peters, 1862

#### *Heterometrus (Heterometrus) laoticus* Couzijn, 1981

*Heterometrus (Heterometrus) laoticus* Couzijn, 1981: 88.

MATERIAL Thailand, Ban Saen near Chonburi, 1 immature male, 3 VI 1991, leg. D. Král & V. Kubaň, breeding F. Kovarik, 6th ecdysis 12 X 1991, Khorat, 180 km NE of Bangkok [purchase from Bangkok], 4 males, 3 females, V 1991, 3 males, 3 females, V 1994, Cambodia, Takeo, 1 female, 1984, in author's collection.

COMMENTS Couzijn (1981) gave the length of both sexes as up to 117 mm. Specimens purchased from Bangkok are 88-122 mm long. In contrast to most other species of *Heterometrus* there is no apparent difference between the male and female in the shape and size of the pedipalps.

DISTRIBUTION Vietnam, Laos, Cambodia, Thailand (Couzijn 1981: 94). Couzijn (1981) regarded this species as common in Laos and more rare in Thailand, Cambodia, and South Vietnam. For Thailand he recorded only one male from Siam. However, *H. laoticus* must be quite common at suitable localities around Bangkok, because in the city it is sold to tourists in large numbers, with dozens of specimens crowded in each container. Unfortunately only one immature male has been so far collected in nature, from a dry cultivated field.

***Heterometrus (Heterometrus) spinifer spinifer* (Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828)**

*Buthus (Heterometrus) spinifer* Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828 pl. 1, fig. 2, Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1829: 352.  
*Heterometrus (Heterometrus) spinifer spinifer* Couzijn, 1981: 89.  
*Palamnaeus laevigatus* Thorell, 1876: 221 (syn. by Couzijn, 1981: 89).  
*Heterometrus longimanus* (part) Kraepelin, 1894: 41; 1899: 111, Giltay, 1931: 4, Takashima, 1945: 90 (Couzijn, 1981: 89).  
*Palamnaeus oatesi* Pocock, 1900: 98; Giltay, 1931: 4; Takashima, 1945: 94 (syn. by Couzijn, 1981: 89).

**MATERIAL.** Thailand, Betong, 1 male, IV.1993, leg. J. Štrnad; Trang-Kao Čong, 1 juv., 10.IX.1993, leg. Veselý, Thaleban, 30 km SW of Satun, 1 male, 20.IX.1993. Malaysia, Cameron Highlands, 4 females, 2 juvs., 1992; Jelawang jungle near Dabong, 1 female, 29.VI.1995, leg. S. Bečvář; Pahang/Johor, Endau Rompin n. park, Salendang, 100 m above sea level, 1 male, 28.II-12.III.1995, leg. M. Štrba & R. Hergovits, in author's collection.

**COMMENTS.** According to Couzijn (1981), females reach lengths up to 125 mm. The above listed males from Thailand are 115 mm long. Couzijn (1981) described also the subspecies *H. spinifer solitarius* from Sri Lanka.

Because of the differences between specimens from Malaysia (Cameron Highlands) and Thailand, chiefly in the shape of the manus of pedipalps, it is likely that the subspecific taxonomy will need to be further modified. More material from diverse localities is needed, however, for such studies to commence.

**DISTRIBUTION.** South Vietnam, Cambodia, Thailand, Malaysia.

In Thailand the species apparently occurs only in the south, and in Malaysia it has been recorded only from the mainland. It is absent in Borneo.

**Key to species of Scorpionida from Thailand**

- A mature, black specimen over 10 cm long ..... *Heterometrus* ..... 1
- Total length up to 9 cm ..... 2
- 1 Manus slightly longer than wide. Surface of manus nearly smooth, without keels. Patella and femur of pedipalps the same in males and females. Telson black ..... *Heterometrus laoticus* ..... 1
- Manus much longer than wide. Surface of manus with keels. Patella and femur longer in male than in female. Telson often pale yellow ..... *Heterometrus spinifer spinifer* ..... 2
- 2 Pedipalp femur with 10 or more trichobothria, of which 4 or 5 are on the internal aspect (Fig. 9). Telson with subaculear tooth (Fig. 6) or tubercle (Fig. 12) ..... Buthidae ..... 3
- Pedipalp femur with 9 or fewer trichobothria, of which only 1 is on the internal aspect. Telson without subaculear tooth or tubercle ..... 8
- 3 Telson with a small, blunt subaculear tubercle (Fig. 12). Cutting edges of movable fingers of pedipalps number 12 (including apical row - Fig. 17) ..... *Thaicharmus mahunkai* gen. n., sp. n. ..... 4
- Telson with a pointed subaculear tooth (Fig. 6). Cutting edges of movable fingers of pedipalps number 6 ..... 5
- 4 Tibial spur (Fig. 16) present on legs III and IV ..... *Lychas* ..... 5
- Legs without tibial spur ..... *Isometrus* ..... 7
- 5 Second segment of metasoma with 8 keels. Ventral surface of seventh segment of mesosoma with 4 keels. Legs, pedipalps, and metasoma without spots. Metasoma much longer in male than in female. Telson in male very long and slender ..... *Lychas scutillus* ..... 6
- Second segment of metasoma with 10 keels. Legs and pedipalps spotted (Fig. 6). Metasoma of approximately the same length in both sexes ..... 6
- 6 Total length 30-40 mm. Pectinal teeth number 15-18. Manus of pedipalps of the same color as patella and femur of pedipalps ..... *Lychas krati* sp. n. ..... 7
- Total length 40-60 mm. Pectinal teeth number 18-24 (most frequently 20-21). Manus of pedipalps bright yellow (with sparse, minute black spots) ..... *Lychas mucronatus* ..... 8
- 7 Total length 40 mm or more. Pectinal teeth number 17-19 ..... *Isometrus maculatus* ..... 9
- Total length 23 mm. Pectinal teeth number 12-13 ..... *Isometrus vittatus* ..... 10

- 8 Number of trichobothria on the lower surface of the patella is 9-13 (Fig. 19) *Scorpiops* 9  
 - Number of trichobothria on the lower surface of the patella is 3 (Fig. 20) *Liocheles* 11  
 9 Number of trichobothria on the external surface of the patella is 17 (5 eb, 2 esb, 2 em, 4 est, 4 et) Number of trichobothria on the ventral surface of the patella is 9 *Scorpiops (Scorpiops) farkaci*  
 - Number of trichobothria on the external surface of the patella is 19 (Fig. 18) Number of trichobothria on the ventral surface of the patella is 10-13 (Fig. 19) 10  
 10 Number of trichobothria on the ventral surface of the patella is 10-12 (Fig. 19) *Scorpiops (Euscorpiops) longimanus*  
 - Number of trichobothria on the ventral surface of the patella is 13 *Scorpiops (Euscorpiops) binghami*  
 11 Carinae on patella and manus distinct and granular, anterior or inner surface of patella armed with a strong, tuberculate denticle *Liocheles australasiae*  
 - Carinae on patella and manus not very distinct, weakly granular to obsolete, anterior or inner surface of patella armed with few very weakly tuberculate granules *Liocheles nigripes*

## List of Scorpionida from Thailand

Buthidae Simon, 1879

*Isometrus (Isometrus) maculatus* (De Geer, 1778)

? *Isometrus (Reddyanus) vittatus* Pocock, 1900

*Lychas krati* sp. n.

*Lychas mucronatus* (Fabricius, 1798)

*Lychas scutillus* C. L. Koch, 1845

*Thaicharmus mahunkai* gen. n., sp. n.

Scorpiopsidae Kraepelin, 1905

*Scorpiops (Scorpiops) farkaci* Kovařík, 1993

*Scorpiops (Euscorpiops) binghami* Pocock, 1893

*Scorpiops (Euscorpiops) longimanus* Pocock, 1893

Ischnuridae Pocock, 1893

*Liocheles australasiae* (Fabricius, 1775)

? *Liocheles nigripes* (Pocock, 1897)

Scorpionidae Peters, 1862

*Heterometrus (Heterometrus) laoticus* Couzaj, 1981

*Heterometrus (Heterometrus) spinifer spinifer* (Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1828)

## Acknowledgements

I thank the following entomologists Petr Bílek, Jan Farkač, Roman Hanzal, Jan Horák, David Král, Vít Kubáň, Jan Schneider, Miroslav Snížek, Jan Strnad, Milan Veselý (Czech Republic), and Milan Štrba (Slovakia) for providing me with specimens collected by them in Thailand, Malaysia, and Vietnam. I would like to express my thanks to Jiří Zidek (New Mexico Tech, Socorro, USA) for helping me with this paper, and Balázs Farkas (Magyar Természettudományi Museum in Budapest) for loans of specimens and valuable information.

## REFERENCES

- CAMBRIDGE O. P. 1869. Notes on some spiders and scorpions from St. Helena, with descriptions of new species. *Proc. Zool. Soc. London* **35**: 531-544.  
 COUZIN H. W. C. 1981. Revision of the genus *Heterometrus* Hemprich & Ehrenberg (Scorpionidae, Arachnidea). *Zool. Verh. Rijksmus. Nat. Hist. Leiden* **184**: 1-196.  
 DE GEER C. 1778. *Mémoires pour servir à l'histoire des Insectes (tome 1-7 1752-1778)*. Stockholm, 456 pp.  
 FABRICIUS J. CH. 1775. *Systema entomologiae*. Flensburg et Leipzig. In officina Libraria Kortii, 832 pp.



- FABRICIUS J. CH. 1793: *Entomologia systematica emendata et aucta secundum classes, ordines, genera, species adjectis synonymis, Locis, observationibus, descriptionibus. Tom II.* Hafniae: Impensis Christ. Gottl. Proft, 519 pp.
- FABRICIUS J. CH. 1798 *Entomologiae Systematicae. Supplementum.* Hafniae. Apud Proft et Storch, 572 pp.
- FAGE L. 1933. Les Scorpions de l'Indochine Française leurs affinités, leur distribution géographique. *Ann. Soc. Entomol. France* **102**: 25-34
- FAGE L. 1936 Nouvelle contribution à l'étude des Scorpions de l'Indochine Française. *Bull. Soc. Entomol. France* **41**: 179-181
- FAGE L. 1944. Scorpions et Pédipalpes de l'Indochine Française. *Ann. Soc. Entomol. France* **113**: 71-81.
- FRANCKE O. F. 1985 Conspectus genericus scorpionorum 1758-1982 (Arachnida, Scorpiones). *Occas. Pap. Mus. Texas Tech. Univ.* **98**: 1-32.
- GERVAIS P. M. 1844 Scorpions Pp 14-74 In: WALCKENAER C. W. & GERVAIS P. M. (eds.): *Histoire naturelle des Insectes.* Paris. Lib. Encyclop. Roret, Tome 3, 418 pp
- GILRAY L. 1931 Scorpions et Pédipalpes. Résultats scientifiques du voyage aux Indes Orientales néerlandaises. *Mém. Mus. Royal Hist. Nat. Belg.* **3**(6). 1-28.
- GLAUERT L. 1925 Australian Scorpionidea. Part 1 *J. Proc. Royal Soc. West. Austral.* **11**: 89-118.
- GLAUERT L. 1963: Check list of Western Australian scorpions. *West. Austral. Natur.* **8**: 181-185
- GUÉRIN-MENEVILLE F. E. 1843: *Iconographie du Règne Animal de G. Cuvier, ou représentation d'après nature de l'une des espèces les plus remarquables et souvent non encore figurées, de chaque genre d'animaux.* Paris. **3**: 11.
- HEMPRICH F. G. & EHRENBERG CH. G. 1828: *Symbolae physicae seu icones et descriptiones Animalium evertebratorum sepositis insectis quae ex itinere per Africam Borealem et Asiam Occidentalem.* Decas Prima. Berolini: Officina Academica, Tab. I and II
- HEMPRICH F. G. & EHRENBERG CH. G. 1829: Vorläufige Uebersicht der in Nord-Afrika und West-Asien einheimischen Skorpione und deren geographischen Verbreitung, nach den eigenen Beobachtungen. *Gesells. Natur. Freunde Verh.* **1**: 348-362
- HERBST J. F. W. 1800 *Naturgeschichte der Skorpionen.* *Natursystem der Ungeflügelten Insekten.* Berlin: Bei Gottlieb August Lange, 86 pp.
- HIRST A. S. 1911 Descriptions of new scorpions *Ann. Mag. Natur. Hist.* **8**: 462-473
- KARSCH F. 1879 Skorpionologische Beiträge II. *Mitt. Münch. Entomol. Ver.* **3**: 97-136.
- KARSCH F. 1880: Arachnologische Blätter. *Ztschr. Gesam. Naturw.* **53**: 373-409.
- KARSCH F. 1882: Ein neuer Skorpion von Salanga *Berliner Entomol. Ztschr.* **26**: 184.
- KOCH C. L. 1837: *Übersicht des Arachnidensystems. Erstes Heft.* Nürnberg: C. H. Zeh'schen Buchhandlung., 39 pp
- KOCH C. L. 1837. Scorpions. Viertes Heft. Pp 69-74, Fig. 293-295 In: KOCH. C. L.: *Die Arachniden. Vierter Band.* Nürnberg. C. H. Zeh'schen Buchhandlung (1837-1838), 144 pp
- KOCH C. L. 1845: *Die Arachniden. Zwölfter Band.* Nürnberg: C. H. Zeh'schen Buchhandlung, 166 pp
- KOCH L. 1885. *Die Arachniden Australiens nach der Natur beschrieben und abgebildet.* Nürnberg, Part 2, 51 pp.
- KOCH L. E. 1977. The taxonomy, geographic distribution and evolutionary radiation of Australo-Papuan scorpions. *Rec. West. Austral. Mus.* **5**: 83-367.
- KOPSTEIN F. 1921 Die Skorpione des Indo-Australischen Archipels mit Grundlage der in holländischen Sammlungen, vornämlich des Rijks-Museums in Leiden, vorhandenen Arten. *Zool. Meded. Leiden* **6**: 115-144
- KOPSTEIN F. 1923 Liste der Skorpione des Indo-Australischen Archipels im Museum zu Buitenzorg. *Treubia* **3**: 184-187.
- KOPSTEIN F. 1926. De Schorpioenen van Java. *Trop. Natuur* **7**: 109-118.
- KOPSTEIN F. 1937 A new scorpion from the Malay Peninsula. *Bull. Raffles Mus.* **13**: 175-176.
- KOVAŘÍK F. 1992: A check list of scorpions (Arachnida: Scorpiones) in the collections of the Zoological Department, National Museum in Prague. *Acta Soc. Zool. Bohemoslov.* **56**: 181-186.
- KOVAŘÍK F. 1993. Two new species of the genus *Scorpiops* (Arachnida: Scorpiones: Vaejovidae) from south-east Asia. *Acta Soc. Zool. Bohem.* **57**: 109-115
- KOVAŘÍK F. 1994 *Scorpiops irenae* sp. n. from Nepal and *Scorpiops hardwicki jendeki* subsp. n. from Yunnan, China (Arachnida: Scorpionida: Vaejovidae) *Acta Soc. Zool. Bohem.* **58**: 61-66
- KOVAŘÍK F. 1994 *Isometrus zideki* sp. n. from Malaysia and Indonesia, and a taxonomic position of *Isometrus formosus*, *I. thurstoni* and *I. sankariensis* (Arachnida: Scorpionida: Buthidae) *Acta Soc. Zool. Bohem.* **58**: 195-203
- KRAEPELIN K. 1891 Revision der Skorpione I Die Familie des Androctonidae. *Jahrb. Hamburg. Wissensch. Anst.* **8**(1890): 144-286
- KRAEPELIN K. 1894: Revision der Skorpione. II Scorpionidae und Bothriuridae. *Jahrb. Hamburg. Wissensch. Anst.* **11**(1893): 1-248
- KRAEPELIN K. 1897 Scorpione und Thelyphoniden. *Abh. Senckenb. Naturforsch. Ges.* **23**: 1
- KRAEPELIN K. 1898: Neue Pedipalpen und Scorpione des Hamburger Museums *Jahrb. Hamburg. Wissensch. Anst.* **15**: 39-44



- KRAEPELIN K 1899 Skorpiones und Pedipalpi *Das Tierreich* 8 1-265
- KRAEPELIN K 1901 Catalogue des Scorpions des collections du Muséum d'Histoire naturelle de Paris *Bull Mus Hist Natur Paris* 7 265-274
- KRAEPELIN K 1907 Die sekundären Geschlechtscharaktere der Skorpione, Pedipalpen und Solifugen *Jahrb Hamburg Wissensch Anst* 25 181-225
- KRAEPELIN K 1913 Neue Beiträge zur Systematik der Gliederspinnen. A. Bemerkungen zur Skorpionenfauna Indiens *Mitt Natur Mus Hamburg* 30 123-167
- KRAEPELIN K 1914 Die Skorpione und Pedipalpen von Neu-Caledonien und den benachbarten Inselgruppen. Pp 327-337 In SARASIN F & ROUX J (eds) *Nova Caledonia (Zool)* Wiesbaden
- KRAEPELIN K 1916 Results of Dr E Mjöberg's Swedish scientific expeditions to Australia 1910-1913 4 Scolopendriden und Skorpione *Ark. Zool* 10 1-43
- LINNAEUS C 1758 *Systema naturae per Regna tria Naturae, secundum Classes, Ordines, Genera, Species, cum Characteribus, Differentiis, Synonymis, Locis. Ed X, reformata, Tomus I* Holmiae 821 pp
- LÖNNBERG E 1897 Skorpioner och pedipalper Upsala Universitets Zoologiska Museum *Entomol Tidskr* 18 175-192
- LÖNNBERG E 1898 A revision of the Linnean type specimens of scorpions and pedipalps in the Zoological Museum of the Royal University of Upsala *Ann Mag Natur Hist* 7(1) 82-89
- MAHUNKA S, OLAH J & VÁSÁRHELYI T 1989 Report on a collecting trip to Vietnam in 1988 *Folia Entomol Hung* 50 61-65
- MAHUNKA S & MAHUNKA-PAPP L 1994 A report on the first Hungarian zoological collecting trip to Thailand *Folia Entomol Hung* 55 263-270
- MELLO-LEITAO C 1945 Escorpiones sud-americanos *Arq Mus Nac Rio de Janeiro* 40 1-468
- OATES E W 1888 On the Indian and Burmese scorpions of the genus *Isometrus*, with descriptions of three new species *J Bombay Soc* 3 244-249
- PAVESI P 1881 Studi sugli aracnidi africani. II. Aracnidi d'inhabane raccolti da Carlo Fornasini e considerazioni sull'aracnofauna del Mozambico *Ann Mus Civ Stor Natur Giacomo Doria* 16 536-560
- PETERS W 1862 Über eine neue Eintheilung der Skorpione und über die von ihm in Mossambique gesammelten Arten von Skorpionen *Monatsber Akad. Wiss Berlin* 1861 507-520
- POCOCK R I 1891 On some Old-World species of scorpions belonging to the genus *Isometrus* *J Linn Soc Zool* 23 433-447
- POCOCK R I 1893 Notes on the classification of scorpions, followed by some observations upon synonymy, with descriptions of new genera and species *Ann Mag Natur Hist* 6(12) 303-331
- POCOCK R I 1894 Scorpions from the Malay Archipelago Pp 84-99 In WEBER M (eds) *Zool Ergeb einer Reise in Niederländisch Ost Indien*, 3 Leiden Verlag von E J Brill
- POCOCK R I 1897 Descriptions of some new species of scorpions from India *J Bombay Soc* 11 102-117
- POCOCK R I 1899 On the scorpions, pedipalps and spiders from tropical West Africa represented in the collection of the British Museum *Proc Zool Soc London* 1899 833-885
- POCOCK R I 1900 *The Fauna of British India, including Ceylon and Burma: Arachnida* London Taylor and Francis, 279 pp
- POCOCK R I 1902 *Biologia Centrali-Americana Arachnida Scorpiones, Pedipalpi, and Solifugae* London Taylor and Francis, 71 pp
- PROBST P J 1972 Zur Fortpflanzungsbiologie und zur Entwicklung der Giftdrüsen beim Skorpion *Isometrus maculatus* (De Geer, 1778) (Scorpiones Buthidae) *Acta Trop* 29 1-87
- PROBST P J 1973 A review of the scorpions of East Africa with special regard to Kenya and Tanzania *Acta Trop* 30 312-335
- RAINBOW W J 1897 The atoll of Funafuti, Ellice Group Its zoology, botany, ethnology, and general structure. V The arachnid fauna *Mem Austral Mus* 3 105-124
- ROEWER C F 1943 Über eine neuerworbene Sammlung von Skorpionen des Natur-Museums Senckenberg *Senckenbergiana* 26 205-244
- SIMON E 1877 Arachnides recueillis aux îles Philippines par MM G-A Baer et Laglaise *Ann Soc Entomol Fr* 7 93-95
- SIMON E 1884 Arachnides recueillis en Birmanie par M le chevalier J B Comotto et appartenent au Musée Civique d'Histoire Naturelle de Gènes *Ann Mus Civ Stor Natur Genova* 20 37(361)-48(372)
- SIMON E 1887 Etude sur les Arachnides de l'Asie méridionale faisant partie des collections de l'Indian Museum (Calcutta) I Arachnides recueillis à Tavoy (Tenasserim) par Moti Ram *J Asiat Soc Beng* 56 101-117
- SIMON E 1893 Arachnides de l'Archipel Malais recueillis par MM M Bedot et C Pictet *Rev Suisse Zool* 1893 319-328
- SIMON E 1899 Contribution à la faune de Sumatra Arachnides recueillis par M J L Weyers, à Sumatra *Ann Soc Entomol Belg* 43 78-125

- SISSOM W D 1990 Systematics, biogeography and paleontology Pp 64-160 In POULS G A (ed) *The Biology of Scorpions* Stanford Stanford University press, 587 pp
- SREENIVASA-REDDY R P 1966 Contribution à la connaissance des Scorpions de l'Inde 1 *Charmus indicus* Hirst, 1915 *Bull Mus Nat Hist Natur Paris* **38** 247-256
- STAHNKE H L 1970 Skorpion nomenclature and mensuration *Entomol News* **81** 297-316
- STAHNKE H L 1972 A key to the genera of Buthidae (Scorpionida) *Entomol News* **83** 121-133
- SUNDEVALL C J 1833 *Conspectus Arachnidum* Londini Gothorum 39 pp
- TAKASHIMA H 1945 Scorpions of eastern Asia *Acta Arachnol Tokyo* **9** 68-106
- TAKASHIMA H 1948 Scorpions of New Guinea *Acta Arachnol Tokyo* **10** 72-92
- TAKASHIMA H 1950 Notes on the scorpions of New Guinea *Acta Arachnol Tokyo* **12** 17-20
- THORELL T 1876 On the classification of scorpions *Ann Mag Natur Hist* **4(17)** 1-15
- THORELL T 1876 Etudes scorpologiques *Atti Soc Ital Sci Natur* **19** 75-272
- THORELL T 1888 Pedipalpi e scorpioni dell'Archipelago Malesi conservati nel Museo Civico de Storia Naturale di Genova *Ann Mus Civ Stor Natur Giacomo Doria* **26** 327-428
- THORELL T 1889 Aracnidi Artrogastri Birmani raccolti da L. Fea nel 1885-1887 *Ann Mus Genova* **27** 521-729
- THORELL T 1893 Scorpiones exotici R. Musei Historiae Naturalis Florentini *Boll Soc Entomol Ital* **25** 356-387
- THORELL T 1894 Scorpiones exotici R. Musei Historiae Naturalis Florentini *Boll Soc Entomol Ital* **25** 356-387
- TIKADER B K & BASTAWADE D B 1983 Scorpions (Scorpionida Arachnida) In *The Fauna of India, Vol 3* (Edited by the Director) Calcutta Zoological Survey of India, 671 pp
- VACHON M 1950 Quelques remarques sur le peuplement en Scorpions du Sahara à propos d'une nouvelle espèce du Sénégal *Butheoloides monodi* *Bull Soc Zool Fr* **75** 170-176
- VACHON M 1972 Remarques sur les Scorpions appartenant au genre *Isometrus* H et E. (Buthidae) à propos de l'espèce *Isometrus maculatus* (Geer) habitant l'Île de Pâques *Cah Pac* **16** 169-180
- VACHON M 1974 Étude des caractères utilisés pour classer les familles et les genres de Scorpions (Arachnides) *Bull Mus Nat Hist Natur Paris* **140** 857-958
- VACHON M 1976 *Isometrus* (Raddyanus) heimi, nouvelle espèce de Scorpions Buthidae habitant la Nouvelle-Calédonie *Cah Pac* **19** 29-45
- VACHON M 1980 Essai d'une classification sous-générique des Scorpions du genre *Scorpiops* Peters, 1861 (Arachnida, Scorpionida, Vaejovidae) *Bull Mus Nat Hist Natur Paris* **2** 143-160
- VACHON M 1982 Les Scorpions de Sri Lanka (Recherches sur les Scorpions appartenant ou déposés au Muséum d'Histoire naturelle de Genève III) *Rev Suisse Zool* **89** 77-114
- VACHON M 1986 Étude de la denture des doigts des pédipalpes chez les Scorpions du genre *Lychas* *Bull Mus Nat Hist Natur Paris* **8** 835-850
- VACHON M & ABE T 1988 Colonization of the Krakatau Islands (Indonesia) by scorpions *Acta Arachnol* **37** 23-32
- VACHON M & LOURENCO W R 1985 Scorpions cavernicoles du Sarawak (Borneo) *Chaerilus chapmani* n. sp. (Chaerilidae) et *Lychas hosei* (Pocock, 1890) (Buthidae) *Mém Biospéol* **12** 9-18
- WERNER F 1902 Die Scorpione, Pedipalpen und Solifugen in der zoologisch-vergleichend-anatomischen Sammlung der Universität Wien *Verh Zool-Bot Ges Wien* **52** 595-608
- WERNER F 1916 Über einige Skorpione und Ghederspinnen des Naturhistorischen Museum in Wiesbaden *Jahrb Nass Ver Natur Wiesbaden* **69** 79-97
- WERNER F 1935 Scorpiones, Pedipalpi *Das Tierreich* **5(IV)** **8** 1-490
- WU H W 1936 A review of the scorpions and whip-scorpions of China *Sinensia* **7** 113-127

## Mammalian fauna of the Svjatoj Nos peninsula and isthmus, the Baikal Lake, Russia

Antonín REITER<sup>1)</sup>, Michal ANDREAS<sup>2)</sup>, Petr BENDA<sup>3)</sup>, Martin LÍPA<sup>4)</sup> & Petr WOLF<sup>5)</sup>

<sup>1)</sup> South Moravian Museum, Přemyslovců 6, CZ 669 01 Znojmo, Czech Republic

<sup>2)</sup> Institute of Applied Ecology, Czech Agriculture University Prague,  
CZ-268 31 Kostelec nad Černými lesy, Czech Republic

<sup>3)</sup> Institute of Anatomy Charles University, U nemocnice 3, CZ 128 00 Praha 2, Czech Republic

<sup>4)</sup> Brigádnická 710/2, CZ-363 01 Ostrov, Czech Republic

<sup>5)</sup> Department of Zoology, University of Palacky, CZ 790 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic

Received July 5, 1995, accepted October 5, 1995

Published December 27 1995

### Distribution, mammals, Baikal Lake

**Abstract.** In 1991-1993, 32 species of wild mammals were recorded in the Svjatoj Nos peninsula and isthmus including *Myotis brandti* and *Myotis ikonnikovi*, that are new for the studied area. The list of mammals known from the Svjatoj Nos peninsula and isthmus (43 wild species) is presented. Species identity of *Sorex minutus*, *Sorex caecutiens*, *Sorex isodon*, *Sorex daphaenodon* and *Sorex araneus* obtained from the studied area was confirmed by karyologic analysis.

### INTRODUCTION

The Zabajkal'skij National Park was founded on Eastern bank of the Baikal Lake, Siberia, in 1989. An important part of NP is area of the Svjatoj Nos peninsula and isthmus. We realised a long-term research work there and received numerous faunal data. There is a lot of interesting faunal data in many publications and especially in unpublished research reports. The aim of present study is a complete review of previous references and our original data.

The first references concerning mammals of the Svjatoj Nos area are those by Doppel'mair (1926), Turov (1924, 1936) and by the staff of the Barguzinskij reserve after its foundation in 1916. Besides of further studies (cf. Lípa & Reiter 1992) the recent reports by Moložnikov (1970, 1974a, 1974b) are worth of a mentioning as a detailed recent source of data on the large and game species. The first list of mammals of the Svjatoj Nos peninsula was presented by Moložnikov (1974a) but as small mammals are concerned, it is to be looked upon as a superficial. The species of probably presented small mammals and large mammals probably migrating through the area were supplemented into the faunal list presented by Švecov & al. (1974), but without a new research work. After a brief study in 1988 a faunal list of mammals of the Zabajkal'skij National Park was presented by Jumov & al. (1989) and Jumov (1990). Faunal lists by Moložnikov (1974a), Švecov & al. (1974), Jumov & al. (1989) and Jumov (1990) are limited to simple enumeration of species without providing sources of the data and/or more details of faunal statuses of individual species. This concerns also data on localities and methods of recording and identification of small mammals too. There is no way to distinguish from which part of the National Park area the species included in papers by Jumov & al. (1989) and Jumov (1990) were recorded. That is why we can not use most of the records presented by Jumov & al. (1989) and Jumov (1990) in our report. We obtained some more concrete data

about species recorded by L. N. Kalinina and B. O. Jumov in 1988 only by personal communication with authors.

The first paper providing detailed faunal data was presented by Lípa & Reiter (1992), then for the Svjatoj Nos isthmus only. New records of bats were reported by Reiter & Benda (1994). The present paper contains the faunal list of mammals of the Svjatoj Nos peninsula (where a detailed investigation begun in 1992) and isthmus based on as well our own records as a critical review of the literary data.

Faunal record from the neighbouring regions considered here as a comparison with the present ones were taken particularly from papers by Bojčenko (1980), Bojčenko & al. (1988), Černikin (1988), Gagina & Tugarin (1977), Litvinov (1982), Ljamkin (1977), Malyšev (1986) and Maturova (1982). These source include all the basic information for separate regions. The monographies by Švecov (1977) and Švecov & al. (1984) provide an overall information on the whole Baikal region and also refers to the primary authors, the later paper (Švecov & al. 1984) being also the most complete literature reference of the region and a summary of their unpublished data. That is why we accept most of general information from this book and do not quote more authors in such a case.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Large and game mammals were observed directly. Footprints, droppings and skeletal remains were registered too. Our observations were not suitable to describe exactly distribution and the population status of these species. That is why we used the unpublished material from censuses of game species by staff of the national park (Ovdin & al. 1989, 1990a, 1990b, Frolov & al. 1991a, 1991b, 1992a, 1992b, 1992c, 1993a, 1993b, 1993c). The census method included:

1) Counting of footprints of mammals on winter transects. 142 km transects distributed in different parts of the national park area were investigated regularly in the late February and early March each year. The numbers observed were converted to the total number of individuals on the national park territory. The number of individuals recorded for different parts of the national park (for example for the Svjatoj Nos peninsula) is presented only in several cases. In these cases, we used this the numbers in faunal list in the other cases we advanced the total number of individuals evaluated for the whole national park area and we looked at noticed whether they found this species on the Svjatoj Nos peninsula or not. The described method was applied in censusing of *Canis lupus*, *Vulpes vulpes*, *Martes zibellina*, *Gulo gulo*, *Mustela erminea*, *Mustela sibirica*, *Lutra lutra*, *Lynx lynx*, *Sus scrofa*, *Cervus elaphus*, *Capreolus pygargus*, *Alces alces*, *Rangifer tarandus*, *Sciurus vulgaris* and *Lepus timidus*.

2) Counting of footprints of *Ursus arctos*. Footprints were counted on the Kedrovka beach in late April, when all the bears from the Svjatoj Nos peninsula are concentrated in this beach to eat the carcasses of Baikal seal there (Ovdin & al. 1989). Distinguishing between individuals was based on the measurements of their footprints.

3) Censusing of the abundance of *Ondatra zibethicus*. Two methods were used, both in the summer. Censusing of the lodges on several 100 ha comparative areas and counting of channel cuts through the aquatic vegetation on 70 km of the shore of the Arangatuj Lake and the Čivirkuj bay. The total numbers of muskrats for the Svjatoj Nos isthmus were evaluated from these results. The last method was based on methodical comments described by Plotnikov & al. (1977).

Small ground mammals were caught in snap traps (normal type 5\*10 cm and a big one 10\*20 cm), live traps and pitfall traps. Snap traps were set in grids, lines, and in the human living places dispersally. Grids of 11\*11 points (Šťastný & al. 1989) were used. The distance between points was 10 m. At each point, two normal snap traps were located at the distance about 2 m 3 m from each other. Half of these grids (6\*11 points) were used several times. Lines of 10-300 normal snap traps with the distances about 5 m, or big snap traps with the distances about 10 m were used. Both live and pitfall traps were set in lines of 5-30 traps with the distances about 10 m. Snap traps, and in most cases live and pitfall traps, were baited with wick pieces soaked with fat and browned wheat flour. Bats were mistnetted and in addition directly observed when on flight or in their shelters.

Field work was carried out by Antonín Reiter between July 6 and 29, 1991, by Martin Lípa between July 29 and August 30, 1991, by Michal Andreas and Antonín Reiter between June 21 and July 14, 1992, by Petr Benda and Martin Lípa between August 20 and September 9, 1992, by Michal Andreas between June 20 and July 15, 1993, by Martin Lípa between August 3 and 5, 1993 and by Antonín Reiter and Petr Wolf between August 30 and September 22, 1993. The records obtained personally by authors of this paper are given without a mark of the concrete author.




Fig. 1 Map of the Svjatoj Nos peninsula and isthmus with survey of localities where mammals were trapped. For locality descriptions see Appendix



Additional records obtained from other members of the expedition are marked. FF = Frederic Forget, JM = Jiří Mlíkovský, PM = Pavel Munclinger, RP = Richard Peška, PP = Pavel Pešout, PSk = Petr Skřivan, PSl = Pavel Slunečko, DS = David Storch, MŠ = Miroslav Šálek, AT = Aleš Toman.

For complete list of trapping localities see Appendix, geographic relations shows the map in Fig. 1

All the collected mammals were weighted and measured and from selected specimens chromosome sets were prepared. The mammals were identified after external characters with the help of the keys by Gromov & al. (1963), Krivošeev (1984) and Judin (1989). In taxonomically complicated groups additional methods were used. In shrews (genus *Sorex*), keys by Judin (1989) and Sergeev & Haritonova (1987) were used for nonmetric skull identification. Comparisons with the skulls from the Buryat Scientific Centre in Ulan-Ude were also helpful. Finally, karyotypes prepared from selected specimens were used to confirm their identification. The last method was used for *Mus musculus* too. Bats, especially the complicated group of *Myotis* (*Selysius*), were identified using the key by Kuzjakín (1950), for consultation were used the papers by Hanák (1970), Strelkov (1983), resp. Strelkov & Buntova (1982). For general taxonomic reference and nomenclature we followed Wilson & Reeder (1993).

For a general geographical description of the Svjatoj Nos isthmus see Mlíkovský & al. (1992), a detailed geobotanical description was given by Chytrý & Pešout (1992). Other parts of the Svjatoj nos are described by Imethenov & al. (1990).

Following abbreviations will be used in the text: Svjatoj Nos peninsula = Sv. Nos pen., Svjatoj Nos isthmus = Sv. Nos ist., Zabajkal'skij National Park = ZNP.

#### SYSTEMATICAL SURVEY OF RECORDED MAMMAL SPECIES

##### *Sorex araneus* Linnaeus, 1758

Collected at the localities 2a (2 specimens), 2d (1 spec.), 8 (1 spec.), 10a (2 spec.), 10b (6 spec.), 10d (2 spec.), 10f (3 spec.), 10h (1 spec.), 10i (1 spec.), 27 (1 spec.), 31b (1 spec.), 31f (5 spec.), 32d (1 spec.), 35a (1 spec.), 36b (1 spec.). Common in the wet light taiga, in the forest ecotones and succesional stages. Karyotypes of one male and one female from the Sv. Nos. ist. and of three males and one female from near west slopes of the Barguzin Mts., Bolšaja Čeremšanaja river, were prepared. Species identity of *Sorex araneus* was confirmed for sure this way. Chromosomal polymorfism was found  $2N = 29-30$ ,  $2Na = 26-28$  (Zima & al. 1994). It is interesting that prior to our investigations, *Sorex araneus* was caught rarely in the area of the Barguzin Mts. and the Sv. Nos pen. (Švecov & al. 1984). There was only one specimen caught recently on the west slopes of the Barguzin Mts. by Švecov & al. (1980) and one specimen on the Sv. Nos pen. (Švecov & al. 1984). The index of dominance (Id) was evaluated in percents as a quotient of the number of specimens *Sorex araneus* from all the specimens of *Sorex* genus. The highest Id = 32.5% on the eastern bank of Baikal Lake was found by Bojčenko (1980) on the northern slopes of the Hamar-Daban Mts. Id was evaluated by Maturova (1982) for a more northern locality, the Ulan-Burgasy Mts. too. The limites were evaluated from 4.5% to 14.5% for different biotopes, 7.9% generally (Maturova 1982). *Sorex araneus* has Id = 19.5% in our material from the Sv. Nos pen. and ist., Id = 21% in our unpublished data from Bolšaja Čeremšanaja river, west slopes of the Barguzin Mts. Towards the east and the north, this species was collected rarely and Id was very low (Švecov & al. 1984, Malyšev 1986). Judin (1989) and Švecov & al. (1984) comment it as an effect of the border of the areal.

##### *Sorex minutus* Linnaeus, 1766

Collected at the localities 10a (4 spec.), 13d (5 spec.), 14 (1 spec.), 16 (1 spec.), 36b (1 spec.). Most of the localities were wet places on marches edge, in taiga there were collected subadult specimens only. Karyotypes of 2 spec. were prepared,  $2N = 42$ , no abnormalities recorded (Zima in litt.). A common species around all the Baikal Lake (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Sorex caecutiens* Laxmann, 1788**

Collected at the localities 2c (3 spec.), 2d (1 spec.), 8 (10 spec.), 9 (3 spec.), 10a (1 spec.), 10b (4 spec.), 10c (1 spec.), 10d (2 spec.), 10f (10 spec.), 10g (2 spec.), 10h (12 spec.), 10i (4 spec.), 11 (6 spec.), 12a (1 spec.), 13d (1 spec.), 16 (1 spec.), 27 (6 spec.), 30 (1 spec.), 31b (10 spec.), 31c (1 spec.), 31e (1 spec.), 31f (2 spec.), 32d (2 spec.), 35a (2 spec.). Recorded in all wooded areas, forest successional stages and ecotones of the studied area. Karyotypes of 3 spec. were prepared, 2N = 42, no abnormalities recorded (Zima in litt.). The most abundant and frequent species of shrews in Sv. Nos pen. and in all the Baikal region (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Sorex isodon* Turov, 1924**

Collected at the localities 2a (1 spec.), 2d (1 spec.), 8 (8 spec.), 9 (4 spec.), 10a (6 spec.), 10f (8 spec.), 10i (1 spec.), 11 (5 spec.), 12d (1 spec.), 29 (1 spec.), 31a (5 spec.), 31b (1 spec.), 31c (1 spec.), 32d (5 spec.), 35a (3 spec.), 35b (3 spec.), 36a (4 spec.), 38 (1 spec.), 40 (1 spec.). Common in the wooded parts of studied area and in subalpine belt. Karyotypes of 4 spec. were prepared, 2N = 42, no abnormalities recorded (Zima in litt.). A widespread species of the Baikal region (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Sorex tundrensis* Merriam, 1900**

Not recorded by us. From the Sv. Nos pen. reported by Moložnikov (1974a) as a common species in the wooded areas. This species was not recorded by Maturova (1982) at the Ulan-Burgasy Mts., by Gagina & Tugarin (1977) near the Kotokel' Lake, by Ljamkin (1977) at the Barguzin valley and by Černikin (1988) at the Barguzin reserve. Švecov & al. (1984) comments this species as practically absent in all the area. That is why we are not convinced that this species is present on the studied area.

***Sorex daphaenodon* Thomas, 1907**

Collected at the localities 10f (1 spec.), 13b (3 spec.), 13d (7 spec.) and 16 (2 spec.) on the edge of marches and near the light taiga. Karyotypes of two males and one female were prepared, 2N in males = 29, in females = 28, 2Na = 26 (Zima in litt.). A frequent but not abundant species in the Baikal basin (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Neomys fodiens* (Pennant, 1771)**

Collected at the localities 20 (1 spec.), 31d (3 spec.), 31f (3 spec.), 35b (3 spec.), 36a (2 spec.) and 36b (1 spec.) on the edge of the marches at Kedrovka (Lípa & Reiter 1992) and on the bank of the Burtuj creek. Directly observed 1 individual. foraging in the creek at Kordon, Arangatuj Lake (Goša, national park worker, in verb.). Widespread in the all the Baikal region (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Myotis brandti* (Eversmann, 1845)**

Collected at the localities 4b (1 male and 1 female), 4c (1 male and 3 females), 25b (1 male and 1 female), 32b (1 female), 32e (3 males) and 32g (2 males). In the ZNP territory it has been recorded for the first time (Jumov 1990). This species is included in the Red Data Book of Buryatia (Pronin 1988). By Švecov & al. (1984) reported as a probably widespread, but not well known species.

***Myotis ikonnikovi* Ognev, 1912**

Collected at the localities 4c (1 male and 1 female), 18c (1 male), 25b (2 males), 32b (1 male and 2 females), 32e (2 males and 1 female) and 32g (2 males and 1 female). In the ZNP



territory it has been recorded for the first time (Jumov 1990). This species is included in the Red Data Book of Buryatia (Pronin 1988). By Švecov & al. (1984) reported as a common species of the north part of the Baikal region.

***Myotis daubentoni* (Kuhl, 1817)**

Collected at the localities 4b (2 males and 2 females), 4c (1 male), 18c (1 male and 3 females) - JM, 23c (3 males and 1 female), 25b (1 male), 32b (2 males and 3 females), 32e (2 males and 2 females), 32f (2 males and 1 female) and 32g (1 male). Breeding colony about 50 individuals recorded in a destroyed wooden building on a small island in the Arangatuj Lake (August 27, 1992, DS and PM). Two males mistnetted at Kulinoe on August 20, 1991, 1 male and 1 female mistnetted at Kedrovka on August 22 and 23 1991 and 3 males and 3 females mistnetted at Monahovo on August 27, 1991 by FF. Some of these localities are mentioned by Lípa and Reiter (1992). This species is included in the Red Data Book of Buryatia (Pronin 1988). By Švecov & al. (1984) it is reported as the most frequent species of bats of the Baikal region.

***Eptesicus nilssoni* (Keyserling et Blasius, 1839)**

Collected at the localities 4b (1 female), 4c (1 male and 1 female), 18c (1 female) - JM, 25a (2 males and one specimen with unidentified sex), 32b (5 females), 32f (3 females). One female mistnetted at Kedrovka on August 23, 1991 - FF. Reported by Lípa & Reiter (1992), too. This species is included in the Red Data Book of Buryatia (Pronin 1988). By Švecov & al. (1984) reported as a common species of the Baikal basin.

***Plecotus auritus* (Linnaeus, 1758)**

Collected at the localities 18c (1 male) - JM and 23c (2 males and 1 female). Mistnetted at Monahovo on August 27 and 28, 1991 (2 males) by FF. Mentioned by Lípa and Reiter (1992) from Kulinoe, too. This species is included in the Red Data Book of Buryatia (Pronin 1988). By Švecov & al. (1984) reported as a widespread species of the Baikal region.

***Canis lupus* Linnaeus, 1758**

One couple directly observed on the marches edge on isthmus near the mainland taiga near the Čivirkujskij bay; footprints of the other couple found at the same place - between June 29 and July 1, 1993, MŠ and AT. Voice of two individuals was heard at Kordon on September 21, 1993. 2-5 individuals overcrossed the ZNP area during winter censusing in 1993 (Frolov & al. 1993a). A widespread but decreasing species of the Baikal region (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Vulpes vulpes* (Linnaeus, 1758)**

We observed two juveniles on the road near Kordon (30 June, 1992). One individual observed on the edge of marches of isthmus near the mainland taiga near the Čivirkujskij bay on July 1, 1993 - MŠ and AT. A skull found near Kulinoe at the locality 25 on September 8, 1993. Jumov (1990) found den at Kovrižka. Censusing for the whole ZNP area: 1990 - 22 ind., 1992 - 51 ind., 1993 - 43 ind. (Ovdin & al. 1990a, Frolov & al. 1992a, 1993a). A widespread species of the Baikal basin (Švecov & al. 1984)

***Lynx lynx* (Linnaeus, 1758)**

Footprints of 1 ind. recorded on the halfway on the road Glinka - Burtuj creek bridge on August 31, 1992. We think we recorded an accidental migration. 2 ind. recorded during the winter censusing on the whole ZNP area in 1990 (Ovdin & al. 1990a). Overcrossings of 2 ind.

- 4 ind. recorded in 1993 (Frolov & al. 1993a). A common species of the Baikal basin but not frequent in the north (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Lutra lutra* (Linnaeus, 1758)**

One individual directly observed by PSI and RP swimming in Baikal Lake near Golaja Gora on July 18, 1993. 18 ind. always censused on the ZNP territory in 1990 (Ovdin & al. 1990a), 1992 and 1993 (Frolov & al. 1992a, 1993a). This species is included in the Red Data Book of Buryatia (Pronin 1988). A rare species, 150-200 ind. survive in the whole Buryatia (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Martes zibellina* (Linnaeus, 1758)**

Observed near Čivirkujskij bay in forest near the edge of the marches of isthmus near mainland - 1 ex on 30 June 1993, MŠ and AT. Exterminated at Sv.Nos pen. area in the past; the first new record was in 1932, about 15-20 ind. in 1974 (Moložnikov 1974b). Ovdin (1991) shows a rapid increase of abundance during 1988-1991 period from 80 ind. to 750 ind. on whole ZNP area. *Martes zibellina* is censused by staff of the ZNP. Totals for the ZNP area: 1990 - 551 ind., 1992 - 525 ind., 1993 - 505 ind. (Ovdin & al. 1990a, Frolov & al. 1992a, 1993a). Present practically in all districts around the Baikal Lake, populations increase in protected areas towards the natural abundance (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Gulo gulo* (Linnaeus, 1758)**

Not recorded by us. Recorded by Moložnikov (1974b) and Švecov & al. (1974) as a rare migrant. Two individuals censused in the whole ZNP in 1990 (Ovdin & al. 1990a). ZNP territory overcrossed by 2 or 3 ind. in 1993 (Frolov & al. 1993a). A rare species but present in the wooded mountain areas of the Baikal basin (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Mustela altaica* Pallas, 1811**

Not recorded by us. One skull found at Kovrižka on 22 July 1991 (Lípa & Reiter 1992). We don't exclude that it is only an accidental migrant or the skull was transported by a bird of prey or an owl. The nearest locality is reported by Ljamkin (1977) from the Barguzin valley. Lives in the steppe and forest-steppe districts, is present in the many mountain ranges and river vallies but everywhere rare (Švecov 1980).

***Mustela nivalis* Linnaeus, 1766**

Not recorded by us. Reported by Moložnikov (1974a). Occures in the whole Baikal region but wery low densities are reported (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Mustela erminea* Linnaeus, 1758**

Not recorded by us. Reported by Moložnikov (1974a) and Švecov & al. (1984). This species is censused by staff of the ZNP. Total numbers for whole the ZNP area: 1992 - 48 ind., 1993 - 46 ind. (Frolov & al. 1992a, 1993a). A widespread species in the Baikal region (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Mustela sibirica* Pallas, 1773**

One male caught by dogs at marches edge near Kordon on June 24, 1992. Censused by staff of the ZNP, totals for the whole ZNP area: 1990 - 6 ind. (Ovdin & al. 1990a), 1992 - 64 ind., 1993 - 86 ind. (Frolov & al. 1992a, 1993a). A widespread species in the Baikal region (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Phoca sibirica* Gmelin, 1788**

Frequently found dead on beaches of the Baikal Lake at Kedrovka in 1991 (Lípa & Reiter 1992), in summer 1992, 1993 at the same place and on the west shore of the Sv. Nos pen. in July 1993. Ivanov (1938) in Pastuhov (1974) noticed the beaches of Sv. Nos and the Uškan'e islands to be the last mating places of *Phoca sibirica*, because it was exterminated in the other parts of Baikal Lake. The Barguzinskij and the Čivirkujskij bays are reported as the meeting places of seals during the winter period (Pastuhov 1974). Since the water level of Baikal Lake rose in 1962, seals are known to frequently visit the Arangatuj Lake in the autumn. Several hundred individuals were observed in the autumn 1973 (Pastuhov 1977). About 65 000 individuals live in the Baikal Lake in recent years (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Ursus arctos* Linnaeus, 1758**

We noticed faeces, footprints, damaged ant-hills, voice, smell and skeletal remains, or directly observed bears in all parts of the Sv. Nos pen. and ist. Recorded in the alpine and subalpine belts, all types of taiga, in marches, on beaches of Baikal and around the settled places (Kordon, base camp, Kulinoe). Censused by staff of the ZNP. Results for the Sv. Nos pen.: 1991 - 80 ind., 1992 - 70 ind. and 1993 - 54 ind. (Frolov & al. 1991b, 1992b, 1993b). Moložnikov (1974b) found a bear population at the Sv. Nos pen. endangered between 1967 and 1973 (10 ind.). A widespread and abundant species of the Baikal region (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Moschus moschiferus* Linnaeus, 1758**

Not recorded by us. Observed at the Sv. Nos area (Ustinov 1961). The other data are absent. Švecov & al. (1974, 1984) admit rare individual migrations. Occurs dispersally in the wooded parts of the mountains around whole the Baikal Lake.

***Cervus elaphus* Linnaeus, 1758**

Not recorded by us. Turov (1924) in Moložnikov (1970) reports this species as a common. Švecov & al. (1974) consider this species extinct at Sv. Nos and report rare migrations only. Ovdin & al. (1990) report 18 ind. for the whole ZNP in 1990 (Sv. Nos - 0 ind.). Censused 32 ind. in 1992 and 1993 for the whole ZNP territory (Frolov & al. 1992a, 1993a). A common species of the mountain forests (10000 ind. in the Baikal basin) - Švecov & al. (1984).

***Alces alces* (Linnaeus, 1758)**

The young individual was observed on the road Glinka - Kurbulik about 1 km far from Glinka on June 18, 1993 - PSk. Droppings found in taiga 700 m upstream from the bridge across the Burtuj creek in June 1993 - PSk. Adult male was observed at the edge of marches of isthmus near mainland near the Čivirkujskij bay on June 29, 1993 - MŠ and AT

Footprints of young individual were found on the road 1 km far from Kordon on September 19, 1993. Footprints of several individuals registered around feeding place 3 km north from Kordon in September 1993. Ovdin (1991) reports that the density of Elk's population on the ZNP territory increased from 25 ind. to 40 ind. between 1988-1991. 10 ind. recorded in the Sv. Nos pen. in 1990 (Ovdin & al. 1990a). Totals for whole the ZNP territory are 41 ind. in 1992 and 42 ind. in 1993 (Frolov & al. 1992a, 1993a). A widespread species of the the Baikal region (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Capreolus pygargus* (Pallas, 1771)**

Commonly noticed voice and droppings and directly observed at Kordon (June, August and September 1992, June 1993) Droppings and footprints observed in all the wooded parts of both slopes of the Sv. Nos pen. (July and September 1993). Only 2 ind. recorded during the winter

cenusing in 1990 in the Sv. Nos pen. (Ovdin & al. 1990a).

A common species

in the most of the Baikal region (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Rangifer tarandus* (Linnaeus, 1758)**

Not observed by us. An extinct species in the Sv. Nos pen. (Moložnikov 1974b, Švecov & al. 1974). Regularly observed in the Sv. Nos pen. in twentieth of this century, but were exterminated by man; the last individual was shot in 1964 (Moložnikov 1974b).

***Sciurus vulgaris* Linnaeus, 1758**

Several times observed in taiga at the Sv. Nos pen. We have never seen this species in a subalpine belt. *Sciurus vulgaris* is cenused by staff of the ZNP. Reported from the peninsula and the isthmus in 1990, 1992 and 1993; total numbers of individuals for the whole ZNP area: 1990 - 507 ind., 1992 - 522 ind., 1993 - 414 ind. (Ovdin & al. 1990a, Frolov & al. 1992a, 1993a). A common species of all wooded areas of the Baikal basin (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Tamias sibiricus* (Laxmann, 1769)**

Observed in taiga at Kordon and at the Sv. Nos pen. Many times seen in a subalpine belt in the Sv. Nos pen. Common in a light taiga in all parts of the isthmus (Lípa & Reiter 1992); also observed there in 1992, 1993. A widespread species in the whole Baikal region; absent only in steppe parts, open areas and marches (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Pteromys volans* (Linnaeus, 1758)**

Not recorded by us. From the Sv. Nos pen. reported by Moložnikov (1974a). The lack of records may be caused by a hidden way of life; it is considered to be a widespread species of taiga in the whole Baikal region (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Sicista betulina* (Pallas, 1779)**

Collected at the localities 12a (1 spec.), 35b (1 spec.) and 40 (1 spec.). Accidentally killed on the bottom of a bouldered valley above Makarovo in height about 1100 m a.s.l. on June 29, 1992 (1 spec.) - PP. Found dead near the base camp at Kedrovka on June 18, 1993 - PSk (1 spec.). Found dead on the road near Kordon on September 18, 1993 (1 spec.). The north-eastern border of the distribution range lies in the western Zabajkal'e region (the region eastwards from the Baikal Lake) - Švecov & al. (1984). The most eastern localities are: Verhnaja Angara (Malyšev 1986) and the Barguzin river valley (Ljamkin 1977). This species is absent in the Barguzin reserve (Černikin 1988). A rapid decrease in maximum altitudes recorded for *Sicista betulina* towards the northern margin of its distribution range is worth of interest (Ljamkin & al. 1984): the Altai and Sayan Mts. - 2000 m a.s.l. (Judin & al. 1979 in Ljamkin & al. 1984); the Hamar-Daban Mts. - 1800 m a.s.l. (Švecov 1977); the Ulan-Burgasy Mts. - 1200 m a.s.l. (Maturova 1982); the Sv. Nos pen. - 1100 m a.s.l. (our observation) and north-east Verhnaja Angara river basin at 600-700 m a.s.l. (Ljamkin & al. 1984).

***Clethrionomys rufocanus* (Sundevall, 1846)**

Collected at the localities 2a (7 spec.), 2b (5 spec.), 2c (1 spec.), 3 (14 spec.), 4a (3 spec.), 6 (1 spec.), 10a (7 spec.), 10b (13 spec.), 10c (2 spec.), 10f (5 spec.), 10g (13 spec.), 10h (6 spec.), 12a (26 spec.), 12b (1 spec.), 12c (46 spec.), 12d (31 spec.), 12e (21 spec.), 13c (1 spec.),

31a (1 spec.), 31b (9 spec.), 31e (1 spec.), 38 (1 spec.), 43 (3 spec.) and 44 (4 spec.). A common species in taiga, clearings and synanthropic habitats surrounded by taiga. Also frequent in the successional stage after fire of light taiga (loc. 12) and a subalpine belt. Besides of *C. rutilus* this is the most abundant and frequent ground mammal of the studied area. A widespread species in all wooded biotopes in the Baikal region (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Clethrionomys rutilus* (Pallas, 1779)**

Collected at the localities 2a (4 spec.), 8 (1 spec.), 10a (3 spec.), 10b (5 spec.), 10d (1 spec.), 10f (16 spec.), 10h (25 spec.), 10i (1 spec.), 12a (3 spec.), 12c (4 spec.), 12e (2 spec.), 13a (2 spec.), 13b (4 spec.), 13c (1 spec.), 16 (9 spec.), 17 (14 spec.), 18a (4 spec.), 18b (8 spec.), 19a (3 spec.), 19b (4 spec.), 20 (2 spec.), 23a (1 spec.), 27 (3 spec.), 29 (3 spec.), 31a (6 spec.), 31b (19 spec.), 31d (5 spec.), 31e (10 spec.), 31f (31 spec.), 35b (2 spec.), 39 (15 spec.), 40 (8 spec.), 45b (1 spec.), 46 (2 spec.), 47a (1 spec.), 48 (1 spec.) and 49 (1 spec.). The most frequent mammalian species of the studied area. A common species in taiga, clearings and successional stages after fire of taiga. Frequently collected in a subalpine belt, sandy dunes on the edge of marches and settled places near forest. Also occurs in the top parts of the peninsula in an alpine belt. Seems to be more frequent in unwooded habitats than *C. rufocanus*. A widespread species in the Baikal region (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Ondatra zibethicus* (Linnaeus, 1766)**

Droppings observed at whole Kedrovka and in marches of the isthmus. Introduced to the Sv. Nos wetlands in 1936 (Moložnikov 1974b). Abundant species in marches, regularly censused by staff of the ZNP: 1990 - 7000 ind., 1991 - 4370 ind., 1992 - 5100 ind., 1993 - 5460 ind. (Ovdin & al. 1990b, Frolov & al. 1991b, 1992c, 1993c). In recent years approximately 2000 ind. are culled by hunters in the Sv. Nos wetlands (V. S. Mel'nikov and A. K. Beketov in verb.). A frequent species in all suitable places of the Baikal region (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Microtus oeconomus* (Pallas, 1776)**

Collected by us in a shore vegetation of the creek Burtuj, Arangatuj Lake and in the edges of marches at the localities 7 (1 spec.), 8 (1 spec.), 10a (1 spec.), 12c (1 spec.), 13a (3 spec.), 13c (14 spec.), 13d (10 spec.), 14 (1 spec.), 18a (2 spec.), 20 (1 spec.), 26 (5 spec.), 35b (1 spec.) and 36b (2 spec.). In the Baikal region recorded in wet habitats along rivers and creeks and in the marches of forest-steppe and forest zones and a subalpine belt (Švecov & al. 1984).

***Microtus gregalis* (Pallas, 1779)**

Not recorded by us. 3 spec. were collected at Kulinoe in 1988 (B. O. Jumov et L. N. Kalinina in verb., Jumov 1990). This is the first record of the species in the region. The nearest previously known locality of *M. gregalis* was 200 km to the south of the Sv. Nos near Zyrjansk (Švecov & al. 1984). The record from Kulinoe is to be looked upon as doubtful, because authors did not state an exact place of catching, and they themselves say that it is possible the voles were brought Kulinoe with a big transport of hay (B. O. Jumov et L. N. Kalinina in verb.). *M. gregalis* has never been collected at Kulinoe any more (localities 22, 23a, 23b and 26). Typical burrows were not recorded too. A large distance from other localities was noticed before.

***Apodemus peninsulae* (Thomas, 1907)**

Commonly collected by us in many biotopes: light taiga - loc. 10a (1 spec.), 10c (2 spec.), 10e (3 spec.), 10f (15 spec.), 10h (5 spec.), 10i (1 spec.), 17 (9 spec.), 31b (3 spec.), 31e (1



spec), sand dunes at Kedrovka - loc 18b (14 spec), a subalpine belt - loc 40 (3 spec), 42a (2 spec), 44 (2 spec), 45a (1 spec), successional stages and ecotones of light taiga - loc 12c (1 spec), 12e (3 spec), 38 (2 spec), settled places - loc 19a (9 spec.), 23b (1 spec.) A frequent species of the whole Baikal region (Švecov & al 1984)

***Mus cf. musculus* Linnaeus, 1758**

Not recorded by us in 1992 and 1993. One subadult female collected at the entrance of the ZNP on July 13, 1991 - loc 1 (Lípa & Reiter 1992). The chromosomal analysis on this specimen was also undertaken, revealing  $2N = 40$ , no abnormalities being recorded, thus, this individual belonged most probably to *Mus musculus* s. str. (Zima in litt). *M. musculus* been reported by Jumov (1990) from all settled points on the ZNP area. In recent years, it is a common species of all settled places of the Baikal basin including its on the islands (Švecov & al 1984).

***Rattus norvegicus* (Berkenhout, 1769)**

Collected at the locality 15 - the base camp at Kedrovka (17 spec). One adult couple with fifteen youngs. Observed in the log hut at Kulinoe (1 spec) - RS in August 1993, droppings were noticed at the same place in July 1992. Also reported from all settled points of the ZNP territory (Jumov 1990, local people, in verb). A common species in most of settled points of the Baikal region (Švecov & al 1984).

***Ochotona hyperborea* (Pallas, 1811)**

Collected at the locality 41 (2 spec). Observed in all vertical zones where are suitable scree slopes (bouldered patches in taiga 100 m above level of Lake Baikal i.e. about 550 m a.s.l. to ones on the top of the peninsula i.e. 1877 m a.s.l.). A common species in all habitats around the Baikal Lake where are scree slopes present (Švecov & al 1984).

***Lepus timidus* Linnaeus, 1758**

Droppings frequently recorded in taiga, subalpine and alpine belts. The skeletal remains of 2 spec. were found at the locality 31. One individual directly observed on the road between the Burtuj creek and Kulinoe in August 1992 (E. D. Ovdin in verb). *Lepus timidus* is censused by ZNP workers. Reported from the Sv. Nos pen. and isth. in years 1990, 1992, 1993, totals for the whole ZNP are: 1990 - 234 spec, 1992 - 890 spec and 1993 - 1130 spec (Ovdin & al 1990a, Frolov & al 1992a, 1993a). A widespread species of the Baikal region (Švecov & al 1984).

## DISCUSSION

The present list of mammal species registered in the Sv. Nos isthmus and peninsula includes 43 wild species. Our own observations include 32 of them. Previous authors reported 31 species for the Sv. Nos pen. (Moložnikov 1974a), 34 species for the Svjatoj Nos peninsula (Švecov & al 1974) and 26 species for the Svjatoj Nos isthmus (Lípa & Reiter 1992). For comparison see Table 1.

In bats, two species new for the Zabajkal'skij National Park area were recorded - *Myotis brandti* and *Myotis ikonnikovii* (Jumov 1990).

We did not record three species of rare migrants (*Moschus moschiferus*, *Cervus elaphus*, *Gulo gulo*). As for *Mustela nivalis*, *Mustela erminea* and *Pteromys volans*, we didn't hunt them purposefully (trailing, trapping, etc.), important part or whole their activity is nocturnal.

Tab. 1. Comparison of lists of mammals recorded in the Svjatoj Nos peninsula and isthmus. Legends: + confirmed regular occurrence; (+) recorded migrant individuals, recorded isolated skeletal remains or isolated individuals of species unknown from near territories; - not recorded. 1-4 - previous surveys of records [1 - Moložnikov (1974a); 2 - Švecov & al. (1974); 3 - B. O. Jumov and L. N. Kalmina - unpublished table of recorded species in 1988. Small mammals were collected in snap traps and pitfall traps; 4 - Ljpa & Reiter (1992)]; 5 - our observations without those reported by Ljpa & Reiter (1992).

species	1	2	3	4	5	species	1	2	3	4	5
<i>Sorex minutus</i>	-	-	+	+	+	<i>Lynx lynx</i>	-	(+)	-	-	(+)
<i>Sorex caecutiens</i>	+	+	+	+	+	<i>Phoca sibirica</i>	+	+	-	+	+
<i>Sorex isodon</i>	+	+	+	+	+	<i>Moschus moschiferus</i>	-	(+)	-	-	-
<i>Sorex araneus</i>	+	+	-	+	+	<i>Cervus elaphus</i>	-	(+)	-	-	-
<i>Sorex tundrensis</i>	+	+	-	-	-	<i>Capreolus pygargus</i>	+	(+)	-	+	+
<i>Sorex daphnoides</i>	+	+	+	+	+	<i>Alces alces</i>	+	+	-	+	+
<i>Neomys fodiens</i>	+	+	-	+	+	<i>Rangifer tarandus</i>	(+)	(+)	-	-	-
<i>Myotis brandti</i>	-	-	-	-	+	<i>Pteromys volans</i>	+	+	-	-	-
<i>Myotis ikonnikov</i>	-	-	-	-	+	<i>Sciurus vulgaris</i>	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Myotis daubentonii</i>	+	+	-	+	+	<i>Tamias sibiricus</i>	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Eptesicus nilssonii</i>	-	-	-	+	+	<i>Sicista betulina</i>	-	-	+	-	+
<i>Plecotus auritus</i>	+	+	-	+	+	<i>Clethrionomys rufocanus</i>	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Canis lupus</i>	-	-	-	-	+	<i>Clethrionomys rutilus</i>	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Vulpes vulpes</i>	+	+	+	+	+	<i>Ondatra zibethicus</i>	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Ursus arctos</i>	+	+	-	+	+	<i>Microtus oeconomus</i>	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Martes zibellina</i>	+	+	-	-	(+)	<i>Microtus gregalis</i>	-	-	(+)	-	-
<i>Gulo gulo</i>	-	(+)	-	-	-	<i>Apodemus peninsulae</i>	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Mustela altaica</i>	-	-	-	(+)	-	<i>Mus cf. musculus</i>	+	(+)	-	+	-
<i>Mustela nivalis</i>	+	+	-	-	-	<i>Rattus norvegicus</i>	+	+	+	-	+
<i>Mustela erminea</i>	+	+	-	-	-	<i>Lepus timidus</i>	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Mustela sibirica</i>	+	-	-	-	(+)	<i>Ochotona hyperborea</i>	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Lutra lutra</i>	+	+	-	-	(+)						

so a possibility to meet them in taiga accidentally is a very low. On the contrary, it is very easy to record these animals on the snow but we didn't work here during a snow period.

There are three potential candidates for an accidental meeting in the studied area: *Mustela eversmanni* Lesson, 1827, lives in the Barguzin valley (Ljamkin 1977, Švecov & Polovinkina 1982), *Meles meles* (Linnaeus, 1758), is recorded from this area too (Švecov & al. 1984), *Sus scrofa* Linnaeus, 1758, four individuals recorded in the Malyj Čivirkuj valley (Barguzin Mts.) on the Zabajkal'skij National Park territory in the winter 1993 (Frolov & al. 1993).

The major problem in study of small ground mammals is a low number of localities we investigated in the western slope of the Svjatoj Nos peninsula, that is due to inaccessibility of that region and related technical constraints. The coast of the Svjatoj Nos peninsula with mainland was established during the Quaternary period by a sandy isthmus only (Lamakin 1968). In agreement with Forman & Gordon (1986) we presuppose that diversity of the ground vertebrates decreases from the mainland to the distal parts of peninsula. That is why we are convinced that in the eastern slope it is possible to record most of ground mammalian species living in the peninsula and that some species living on mainland need not occur in the peninsula.



at all. We guess it may concern some species of shrews and rodents [*Sorex roboratus* Hollister, 1913, *Sorex minutissimus* Zimmerman, 1780, *Alticola macrotis* (Radde, 1862), and *Myopus schisticolor* (Lilljeborg, 1844)] that until now have not been recorded in the studied area. As concerns *S. minutissimus* and *M. schisticolor*, very low densities of their populations and a complicated trapability are to be taken in account (Maturova 1982, Švecov & al. 1984).

Several species living in the Barguzin valley were not recorded in the Svjatoj Nos peninsula and isthmus, sensu Ljamkin (1977): *Spermophilus undulatus* (Pallas, 1778), *Cricetulus barabensis* (Pallas, 1773), *Microtus fortis* Buchner, 1889, *Microtus mongolicus* (Radde, 1861) and *Micromys minutus* (Pallas, 1771). Out of them, *M. minutus* seems to be the hottest candidate for entering the study area - anyhow, until now, in suitable habitats we did not succeed to record either a specimen or a nest (Moložnikov 1974, Švecov & al. 1974, Jumov 1990, Lípa & Reiter 1992, our observations). For the other species there are no suitable conditions in this area (Švecov & al. 1984, Lípa & Reiter 1992).

#### A c k n o w l e d g e m e n t s

The research at the Svjatoj Nos peninsula and isthmus were conducted in the frame of the Svjatoj Nos 1991, 1992 and 1993 expeditions. Additional observations especially of large and game species were obtained from F. Forget, J. Mlíkovský, P. Munclinger, P. Pešout, M. Šálek, P. Skřivan, D. Storch, P. Stýblo, A. Toman and M. Vavřík. We also obtained additional observations and were assisted in the field by Š. Horáček, A. Kočí, M. Konvička, R. Peška, P. Slunečko and K. Šulová. We thank them all.

We also thank A. M. Frolov, the chief forester of the Zabajkal'skij N P, who gave us unpublished results of censuses of large and game mammals by the national park staff, B. O. Jumov and L. N. Kalinina (Buryat Scientific Centre, Ulan-Ude, Russia) who informed us about unpublished details of their field work in the Svjatoj Nos peninsula area, and J. Zima (Laboratory of Genetics and Experimental Embryology, Institute of Animal Physiology and Genetics ASCR, Brno, Czech Rep.) for the laboratory work and providing us with results of chromosomal analysis. Helpful comments on the earlier drafts of manuscript were supplied by I. Horáček (Charles University, Prague, Czech Rep.). We thank him too.

#### R E F E R E N C E S

- BOJČENKO V. S. 1980. Naselenie melkih mlekopitajuščih lesnogo pojasa hrebta Hamar-Daban [Distribution of small mammals in forest zone in Hamar-Daban Range]. In: ŠARGAEV (Ed.) *Fauna i resursy pozvonočnyh bassejna ozera Bajkal* [Fauna and resources of vertebrates in the Baikal basin]. Ulan-Ude: AN SSSR, 169 pp. (In Russian).
- BOJČENKO V. S., GALKINA L. I., SUBOTIN A. M., ŠVEC OV JU. G. & JUDIN V. S. 1988. Fauna Bajkal'skogo zapovednika [Fauna of Baikal reserve]. In: KORNEEVA T. M. (Ed.) *Flora i fauna zapovednikov SSSR* [Flora and fauna of reserves in the USSR]. Moskva: VINITI, 41 pp. (In Russian).
- ČERNIKIN E. M. 1988. Fauna Barguzinskogo zapovednika [Fauna of Barguzinskij reserve]. In: KORNEEVA T. M. (Ed.) *Flora i fauna zapovednikov SSSR* [Flora and fauna of reserves in the USSR]. Moskva: VINITI, 41 pp. (In Russian).
- CHYTRÝ M. & PEŠOUT P. 1992. Plant communities of the Svjatoj Nos isthmus, Lake Baikal. pp. 183-217. In: Mlíkovský J. & STYBLO P. (Eds.) *Ecology of the Svjatoj Nos wetlands, Lake Baikal*. Praha: Ninox press, 242 pp.
- DOPPEL'MAIR G. G. 1926. *Sobolinyj promysel na severo-vostočnom poberež'e Bajkala* [Sable hunting on the north-eastern coast of Baikal]. Verhneudinsk. (In Russian).
- FORMAN R. T. T. & GORDON M. 1986. *Landscape ecology*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 583 pp.
- FROLOV A. M., OVDIN E. D. & MEL'NIKOV V. S. 1991a. [The report about censusing of Brown Bear in Zabajkal'skij National park]. Unpubl. res. report, Zabajkal'skij Nat. Park. (In Russian).
- FROLOV A. M., OVDIN E. D. & MEL'NIKOV V. S. 1991b. [The report about censusing of Muskrat in Zabajkal'skij National park]. Unpubl. res. report, Zabajkal'skij Nat. Park. (In Russian).
- FROLOV A. M., OVDIN E. D. & MEL'NIKOV V. S. 1992a. [The report about censusing of wild animals in Zabajkal'skij National park]. Unpubl. res. report, Zabajkal'skij Nat. Park. (In Russian).
- FROLOV A. M., OVDIN E. D. & MEL'NIKOV V. S. 1992b. [The report about censusing of Brown Bear in Zabajkal'skij National park]. Unpubl. res. report, Zabajkal'skij Nat. Park. (In Russian).
- FROLOV A. M., OVDIN E. D. & MEL'NIKOV V. S. 1992c. [The report about censusing of Muskrat in Zabajkal'skij National park]. Unpubl. res. report, Zabajkal'skij Nat. Park. (In Russian).

- FROLOV A M, OVDIN E D & MEL'NIKOV V S 1993a [The report about censusing of wild animals in Zabajkal'skij National park] Unpubl res report, Zabajkal'skij Nat Park (In Russian)
- FROLOV A M, OVDIN E D & MEL'NIKOV V S 1993b [The report about censusing of Brown Bear in Zabajkal'skij National park] Unpubl res report, Zabajkal'skij Nat Park (In Russian)
- FROLOV A M, OVDIN E D & MEL'NIKOV V S 1993c [The report about censusing of Muskrat in Zabajkal'skij National park] Unpubl res report, Zabajkal'skij Nat Park (In Russian)
- GAGINA T N & TUGARIN V I 1977 Rasprostranenie i čislennost' melkih mlekopitajuščih v okrestnostjah ozera Kotokel' [Distribution and abundance of small mammals around Kotokel' Lake] In ŠARGAEV (Ed.) *Nasekomye i pozvonočnye Zabajkal'ja* [Insects and vertebrates of Transbaikalia] Ulan-Ude AN SSSR, 132 pp (In Russian)
- GROMOV I M, GUR'EV A A, NOVIKOV G A, SOKOLOV I I, STRELKOV P P & ČAPSKI K K 1963 *Mlekopitajuščie fauny SSSR Čast 1* [Mammals of fauna of the USSR Part 1] Moskva-Leningrad Izdatelstvo Akademii Nauk SSSR, 640 pp (In Russian)
- HANÁK V 1970 Notes on the distribution and systematics of *Myotis mystacinus* Kuhl, 1819 *Bydr Tot de Dierk.* 40: 40-44
- IMETHENOV A B, BOJKOV T G, CYBEITOV C H, JUMOV B O & MATVEJČUK S A 1990 *Priroda Zabajkal'skogo nacional'nogo parka* [Nature of Zabajkal'skij National Park] Ulan-Ude AN SSSR, 193 pp (In Russian)
- IVANOV T B 1938 Bajkal'skaja nerpa, ee biologija i promysel [Baikal seal, its biology and industry] *Izv Biol - geogr III pri Irkut univ*, Irkutsk, 8 (1-2): 3-15 (In Russian)
- JUDIN B S 1989 *Nasekomojadnye mlekopitajuščie Sibiri* [Insectivorous mammals of Siberia] Novosibirsk Nauka, 360 pp (In Russian)
- JUDIN B S, GALKINA L I & POTAPKINA A F 1979 *Mlekopitajuščie Altae-Sajanskoj gornoj strany* [Mammals of Altai Mts and Sayan Mts] Novosibirsk Nauka (In Russian)
- JUMOV B O 1990 Životnyj mir [Animal world] Pp 67-87 In TULOHONOV A K & DORSJEV C Z (Eds.) *Priroda Zabajkal'skogo nacional'nogo parka* [Nature of the Zabajkal'skij National Park] Ulan-Ude AN SSSR (In Russian)
- JUMOV B O, KALININA L N, BADMAEV B B, EŠEEV V E & NIHILEEVA T P 1989 *Nazemnye pozvonočnye Zabajkal'skogo nacional'nogo parka* [Land vertebrates of the Zabajkal'skij National Park] Ulan-Ude AN SSSR, 49 pp (In Russian)
- KRIVOŠEEV V G (Ed.) 1984 *Nazemnye mlekopitajuščie Dal'nego Vostoka* [Land mammals of the Far East] Moskva Nauka, 358 pp (In Russian)
- KUZIČAKIN A P 1950 *Letučie myši* [Bats] Moskva Sovetskaja nauka, 444 pp (In Russian)
- LAMAKIN V V 1968 *Neotektonika Bajkal'skoj vpadiny* [Neotectonic of Baikal basin] Moskva Nauka, 245 pp (In Russian)
- LIPA M & REITER A 1992 Mammals of the Svjatoj Nos wetlands, Lake Baikal Pp 105-117 In MLÍKOVSKÝ J & STYBLA P (eds.) *Ecology of the Svjatoj Nos wetlands, Lake Baikal* Praha Ninox Press, 242 pp
- LITVINOV N I 1982 *Fauna ostrovov Bajkala (Nazemnye pozvonočnye)* [Fauna of Baikal islands (Land vertebrates)] Irkutsk, 132 pp (In Russian)
- LJAMKIN V F 1977 Zoogeografija mlekopitajuščih i ptic Barguzinskoj kotloviny [Zoogeography of mammals and birds in the Barguzin valley] Pp 115-117 In BELOV A V (ed.) *Regionalnye biogeografičeskie issledovaniya v Sibiri* [Regional biogeographic investigations in Siberia] Irkutsk AN SSSR (In Russian)
- LJAMKIN V F, MALYŠEV Ju S & MUZANOV V M 1984 Lesnaja myšovka na severo-vostočnoj okončennosti areala [Northern Birch mouse on the north east border of areal] In BELOV A V & LJAMKIN V F (Eds.) *Biogeografičeskie issledovaniya v rayonah zony BAM* [Biogeographic researches in the districts of BAM zona] Irkutsk, 156 pp (In Russian)
- MALYŠEV Ju S 1986 Biotopičeskoe raspredeleenie melkih mlekopitajuščih Verhneangarskoj kotloviny [Habitat distribution of small mammals in the valley of Verhnaja Angara river] In *Biogeografičeskie issledovaniya v bassejme ozera Bajkal* [Biogeographic researches in Baikal basin] Irkutsk, 171 pp (In Russian)
- MATUROVA R T 1982 *Melkie mlekopitajuščie hrebta Ulan-Burgasy (Vostočnoe Pribajkal'e)* [Small mammals of the Ulan-Burgasy Mts (Eastern Pribajkal'e)] Novosibirsk Nauka, 100 pp (In Russian)
- MLÍKOVSKÝ J, CHYTRÝ M & STYBLA P 1992 The Svjatoj Nos wetlands: general description Pp 23-30 In MLÍKOVSKÝ J & STYBLA P (Eds.) *Ecology of the Svjatoj Nos wetlands, Lake Baikal* Praha Ninox Press, 242 pp
- MOLOŽNIKOV V N 1970 [On the question of the distribution and numbers of wild ungulates in the Svjatoj Nos peninsula] *Barguzinskij Gosudarstvennyj zapovednik, Trudy* 6: 57-63 (In Russian)
- MOLOŽNIKOV V N 1974a Poluostrov Svjatoj Nos i Čivirkujskoe semiostrvo (Sostojanie ekosistem i voprosy ochrany ih životnyh komponentov) [Svjatoj Nos peninsula and Čivirkuj archipelago (Composition of ecosystems and questions of protection of its animal components)] Pp 254-267 In *Priroda Bajkala* [Nature of Baikal] Leningrad (In Russian)

- MOLOŽNIKOV V N 1974b. Vlijanie antropogennogo faktora na čislennost' i rospetrostranenie mlekovpitajuščih poluostrova Svjatoj Nos [Influence of antropogenic faktor to the density and distribution of mammals on the Svjatoj Nos peninsula]. Pp.: 189-192. In: KURBATSKIJ N P & PETRENKO E. S. (eds.) *Racional'noe ispol'zovanie biologičeskikh resursov Sibiri* [Rational exploitation of the biological resources of Siberia]. Krasnojarsk: Nauka (In Russian)
- OVDIN E. D. 1991. Učet i ocenka životnogo mira Zabajkal'skogo gosudarstvennogo prirodnogo nacional'nogo parka [Censuring and appretiation of animal life in Zabajkal'skij National Park]. Pp.: 92-93. In: KORSUNOV V N, BOJKOV T G, DORŽEV C Z & PRONIN N M. (eds.) *Biologičeskie resursy i vedenie gosudarstvennykh kadastrov Burjatskoj SSR* [Biological resources and leading of state districts of the Buryat SSR. Materials of the research symposium] Ulan-Ude BNC SO AN SSSR, 164 pp (In Russian).
- OVDIN E. D., FROLOV A. M. & MEL'NIKOV V. S. 1989. [The report about censuring of Brown Bear in the Zabajkal'skij National Park]. Unpubl. res. report, Zabajkal'skij Nat. Park. (In Russian)
- OVDIN E. D., FROLOV A. M. & MEL'NIKOV V. S. 1990a. [The report about censuring of wild animals in Zabajkal'skij National park]. Unpubl. res. report, Zabajkal'skij Nat. Park. (In Russian).
- OVDIN E. D., FROLOV A. M. & MEL'NIKOV V. S. 1990b. [The report about censuring of Muskrat in Zabajkal'skij National park]. Unpubl. res. report, Zabajkal'skij Nat. Park. (In Russian).
- PASTUHOV V. D. 1974. Nekotorye itogi i zadači issledovanij populacii bajkal'skoj nerpy [Some results and impositions of research of the Baikal seal's population]. Pp.: 235-249. In: *Priroda Bajkala* [Nature of Baikal]. Irkutsk (In Russian)
- PASTUHOV V. D. 1977. Urovennyj režim i populacija bajkal'skoj nerpy [Waterlevel regime and the population of the Baikal seal]. Pp. 289-300. In: FLORENSOV N A. (ed.) *Limnologija priberežno-sorovoj zony Bajkala* [Limnology of the coastal zone of Baikal]. Novosibirsk: Nauka (In Russian).
- PLOTNIKOV D. I., METEL'SKIJ A. P. & KORSAKOV T. K. 1977. *Metodičeskie ukazaniya po učetu ondatry* [Methodical comments about censuring of Muskrat]. Moskva, 32 pp. (In Russian).
- PRONIN N M. (Ed.) 1988: *Krasnaja kniga Burjatskoj ASSR* [Red Data Book of the Buryat ASSR]. Ulan-Ude: Burjatskoe knižnoe izdatel'stvo, 416 pp (In Russian)
- REITER A. & BENDA P 1994. New records of bats in the Baikal region. *Bat Res. News* 35 (1): 41
- SERGEEV V E. & HARITONOVA N. A. 1987: Morfologičeskij analiz nižnečeljustnoj kosti zemlerok (Soricidae) fauny Zapadnoj Sibiri [Morfological analysis of lower jaw-bone of shrews (Soricidae) of West Siberian fauna]. In: JUDIN B. S. (Ed.) *Fauna, taksonomija, ekologija mlekovpitajuščih i ptic* [Fauna, taxonomy, ecology of mammals and birds]. Novosibirsk, Nauka, 223 pp. (In Russian).
- STRELKOV P. P. 1983: *Myotis mystacinus* and *Myotis brandti* in the USSR and interrelations of these species. Part 2. *Zool. Žurnal* 62 (2). 259-270. (In Russian, Engl. summ.)
- STRELKOV P. P. & BUNTOVA E. G. 1982: *Myotis mystacinus* and *M. brandti* (Chiroptera, Vespertilionidae) and interrelationships of these species. Part 1. *Zool. Žurnal* 61 (8). 1227-1241 (In Russian, Engl. summ.)
- ŠTAŠTIN K., BEJČEK V. & PIVNIČKA K. 1989. Metody studia obratlovců [Methods of animal research]. Pp.: 464-473. In: NEUHAUS R. (Ed.) *Metody studia ekosystémů* [Methods of ecosystem research] Praha: Academia (In Czech)
- ŠVECOV Ju. G. 1977. *Meikie mlekovpitajuščie Bajkal'skoj kotloviny* [Micromammals of the Baikal basin]. Novosibirsk: Nauka, 258 pp. (In Russian)
- ŠVECOV Ju. G. 1980. Fauna i naselenie mlekovpitajuščih bassejna ozera Bajkal [Fauna and distribution of mammals in the Baikal basin]. In: *Problemy zoogeografii i istorii fauny* [Problems of zoogeography and faunal history] Novosibirsk: Nauka. (In Russian)
- ŠVECOV Ju. G., LITVINOV N. I. & MOLOŽNIKOV V. N. 1974: Teriofauna (Mammalia) Bajkal'skoj kotloviny i ee rajonirovanie [Teriofauna (Mammalia) of the Baikal basin and its geographical classification]. In: *Sistematika, fauna, zoogeografija mlekovpitajuščih i ih parazitov* [Systematics, fauna, zoogeography of mammals and their parasites]. *Trudy biologičeskogo instituta SO AN SSSR* 23: 104-126. Novosibirsk: Nauka (In Russian)
- ŠVECOV Ju. G. & POLOVINKINA R. A. 1982: Naselenie mlekovpitajuščih v verhov'jah r. Barguzin [Distribution of mammals in upper part of Barguzin river]. In: *Mlekovpitajuščie SSSR* [Mammals of the USSR]. III s"ezd VTO, tez. dokl., t. 1. Moskva: Nauka, 142 pp (In Russian) (ex Švecov & al. 1984)
- ŠVECOV Ju. G., PUTAPKINA A. F. & ŽAROV V. R. 1980. Meikie mlekovpitajuščie zapadnogo makrosklona Barguzinskogo hrebta [Small mammals of Barguzin Range west slope]. In: *Fauna i ekologija pozvonočnyh Sibiri* [Fauna and ecology of vertebrates in Siberia]. Novosibirsk: Nauka. (In Russian)
- ŠVECOV Ju. G., SMIRNOV M. N. & MONAHOV G. I. 1984. *Mlekovpitajuščie bassejna ozera Bajkal* [Mammals of the basin of the Baikal Lake]. Novosibirsk: Nauka, 260 pp. (In Russian).
- TUROV S. S. 1924. [On the vertebrate fauna of the north-eastern coast of Baikal]. *Doklady Rossijskoj Akademii Nauk* (A) 1924 (7-9). 109-112. (In Russian).
- TUROV S. S. 1936. [Materials on mammals of the north-eastern coast of Baikal and of the Barguzinskij Range]. *Sbornik Trudov Gosudarstvennogo Zoologičeskogo Muzeja*, Moskva 3. 25-40. (In Russian).

- USTINOV S. K. 1961 [Territorial and habitat distribution of Musk deer in Baruzin Range] *Trudy Barguzinskogo zapovednika*, Ulan-Ude 3: 155-168. (In Russian). (ex Moložnikov 1970)
- WILSON D. E. & REEDER D. M. (eds) 1993 *Mammal species of the world. A taxonomic and geographic reference. Second edition*. Washington and London: Smithsonian Inst. Press, 1206 pp.
- ZIMA J., MACHOLAN M., FILIPPUCI M. G., REITER A., ANDREAS M., LIPA M. & KRYŠTUFEK B. 1994: Karyotypic and biochemical status of certain marginal populations of *Sorex araneus*. *Folia Zool.* 43 (suppl. 1): 43-51.

#### APPENDIX. List of trapping localities

This list contains all the localities where we trapped mammals in snap, live and pitfall traps and mistnetted bats. There are described all the localities studied in 1991 (some of them published by Lipa & Reiter 1992, they are marked with \*), 1992 and 1993. Description of each locality contains the number of the locality, a short geographical and habitat characterization, the date of trapping; the number of used traps; the type of traps - upper letter - S = normal snap trap, B = big snap trap, L = live trap, P = pitfall trap and N = net; the form in which the traps were set - lower letter - l = line, q = grid or half of grid, d = dispersal, the number of nights of trapping. Other used abbreviations: N = north, northward; S = south, southward; W = west, westward; E = east, eastward.

LOCALITIES 1 The entrance of the ZNP, synanthropic habitat (the check point hut, litter baskets), Jul. 12-13, 1991 10 L 1, 1. 2 E shore of Arangatuj Lake, Kordon, 300 m N of the hut, clearing in light taiga and successional stage about 20 years after fire. A rich cover of high herbs and young trees, a) \* Aug. 18-24, 1991 242 S q, 6 b) Jun. 25-27, 1992 30 L 1, 2 c) Aug. 21-26, 1992 6 P 1, 5. d) Sep. 17-20, 1993 10 P 1, 3. 3 E shore of Arangatuj Lake, Kordon, synanthropic habitat, Jun. 22-27, 1992 20 L d, 5. 4 E shore of Arangatuj Lake, Kordon, a spring near the hut and its shore vegetation, highly unhomogenous ecotonal plant community without trees, a) Jun. 25-28, 1992 5 L 1, 3. b) Jun. 22-29, 1992 2 N, 5 c) Jul. 7-9, 1992 2 N, 2. d) Sep. 20-22, 1993 10 P 1, 2. 5 E shore of Arangatuj Lake, Kordon, 100 m W of hut, clearing with high herbs and bushes, Jun. 21-24, 1992 7 L 1, 3. 6 E shore of Arangatuj Lake, Kordon, 200 m W of hut, wet thin forest on peatland, Jul. 9-11, 1991 20 L 1, 2. 7 E shore of Arangatuj Lake, Kordon, 1500 m W of the hut, a vicinity of boarden path on marches near the lakeshore, Jul. 28-29, 1992 20 S 1, 1. 8 E shore of Arangatuj Lake, Kordon, 1500 m SSW of the hut, ecotone of dark taiga near a road, unhomogenous, varied vegetation, Aug. 21-26, 1992 6 P 1, 5. 9 E shore of Arangatuj Lake, Kordon, about 500 m SW of the hut, lawny clearing in light taiga, Sep. 6-9, 1992 10 P 1, 3. 10 E shore of Arangatuj Lake, Kordon, about 1 km SSW of the hut, light taiga, a) \* Aug. 16-23, 1991 19 L 1, 7. b) Jun. 24-29, 1992 242 S q, 5 c) Jun. 27-29, 1992 35 L 1, 2. d) Jun. 29 - Jul. 6, 1992 11 P 1, 7. e) Jul. 8-11, 1992 15 L 1, 3. f) Aug. 20-25, 1992 242 S q, 5. g) Jun. 22-27, 1993 242 S q, 5. h) Sep. 17-22, 1993 242 S q, 5. i) Sep. 19-22, 1993 11 P 1, 3. 11 E shore of Arangatuj Lake, Kordon, about 1500 m SSW of the hut, dark taiga, high humidity, an overshadowed habitat, Aug. 21-26, 1992 6 P 1, 5. 12 E shore of Arangatuj Lake, Kordon, 100-500 m S of the hut, successional stage of light taiga after fire in 1976, a) Jun. 27-30, 1992 150 S 1, 4. b) Jun. 21-23, 1992 10 L 1, 2. c) Aug. 21-25, 1992 150 S 1, 4. d) Jun. 22-27, 1993 150 S 1, 5. e) Sep. 17-22, 1993 150 S 1, 5. 13 NE coast of the Barguzin bay, E of the basecamp, an ecotone between sandy dunes and a wet bent grassland, a) Jul. 6-9, 1991 20 L 1, 3. b) \* Aug. 26-29, 1991 242 S 1, 3. c) Aug. 2-4, 1993 50 S 1, 2. d) Aug. 30 - Sep. 2, 1993 13 P 1, 3. 14 NE coast of the Barguzin bay, 250 m NE of the base camp, bent grassland with dead trees, \* Jul. 28 - Aug. 3, 1991 121 S q, 6. 15 NE coast of the Barguzin bay, the base camp, a synanthropic habitat, Sep. 1-3, 1993 10 B d, 2. 16 NE coast of the Barguzin bay, 2 km NW of the base camp, dry light taiga with dense undergrowth, \* Aug. 6-12, 1991 242 S q, 6. 17 NE coast of the Barguzin bay, 2 km NW of the base camp, dry light taiga almost without undergrowth, \* Jul. 20 - 26, 1991 242 S q, 6. 18 NE coast of the Barguzin bay, NW of the basecamp, sandy dunes, mosaic of bent grassland, sandy patches without vegetation and patches of xerophilous vegetation on the tops of dunes, a) \* Jul. 7-11, 1991 242 S q, 4. b) \* Jul. 30 - Aug. 9, 1991 19 L 1, 9. c) Jun. 7 - Aug. 31, 1993 2-4 N, 30. 19 NE coast of the Barguzin bay, 1 km NW of the basecamp, vicinity of the fishers' hut, a) Jul. 20-22, 1991 19 L d, 2. b) Jul. 26-27, 1991 10 L d, 1. 20 NE coast of the Barguzin bay, 1 km N of the basecamp, ecotone of light taiga and bent wetland, Jul. 13-17, 1991 20 L 1, 4. 21 NE coast of the Barguzin bay, W shore of Samovo Lakes, ecotone of light taiga and wetland, Jul. 5-7, 1992 90 S 1, 2. 22 E foot of the Sv. Nos pen. Mts., Kulinoe, the edge of the pastureland, ruderal vegetation, Jul. 3-5, 1992 20 S 1, 2. 23 E foot of the Sv. Nos pen. Mts., Kulinoe, huts, synanthropic habitats, a) Jul. 5-7, 1992 14 L d, 2. b) Jun. 29-30, 1993 5 S d, 1. c) Sep. 7-9, 1993 2 N, 2. 24 E foot of the Sv. Nos pen. Mts., Kulinoe, the edge of the pastureland and taiga, Sep. 7-9, 1993 2 N, 2. 25 E foot of the Sv. Nos pen. Mts., Kulinoe, above a road on the edge of taiga, a) Jul. 1-2, 1993 1 N, 1. b) Sep. 9 and 13, 1993 3 N, 2. 26 E foot of the Sv. Nos pen. Mts., Kulinoe, pastureland, - Sep. 7-9, 1993 45 S 1, 2. 27 E foot of the Sv. Nos pen. Mts., Kulinoe, 500 m NW of the hut, humid light taiga, - Sep. 10-14, 1993 10 P 1, 4. 28 E foot of the Sv. Nos pen. Mts., Kulinoe, 350 m NW of the hut, dry light taiga, - Sep. 12-14, 1993 10 P 1, 2. 29 E foot of the Sv. Nos pen. Mts., 3 km NW of Samovo Lakes, humid light taiga with dense undergrowth and many broad leaf trees, \* Jul. 15-20, 1991 121 S q, 5. 30 E foot of the Sv.

Nos pen Mts, 300 m NE of the Glinka cross, ecotone of light taiga and wetland, Jul 5-7, 1992 90 S I, 2 31 E foot of the Sv Nos pen Mts, Burtuj creek, 100 m-350 m of the bridge in the direction to Monahovo, W of road, light taiga, a) Jul 1-6, 1992 242 S q, 5 b) Aug 27 - Sep 1, 1992 242 S q, 5 c) Aug 26-31, 1992 6 P I, 5 d) Aug 28-31, 1992 50 S I, 3 e) Jun 28 - Jul 3, 1993 242 S q, 5 f) Sep 9-14, 1993 242 S q, 5 32 E foot of the Sv Nos pen Mts, the Burtuj creek bridge, shore surrounding vegetation in closed taiga, a) Jun 29 - Jul 4, 1992 20 L I, 5 b) Jul 1-6, 1992 2 N, 4 c) Jul 2-6, 1992 8 P I, 4 d) Aug 27-31, 1992 11 P I, 4 e) Aug 27-29, 1992 2 N, 2 f) Jun 30 - Jul 3, 1993 1 N, 2 g) Sep 10-11, 1993 3 N, 1 33 E foot of the Sv Nos pen Mts, the Burtuj creek bridge, the place for parking, a synantropic habitat, Jul 4-7, 1992 10 L d, 2 34 E foot of the Sv Nos pen Mts, Burtuj creek, 800 m downstream from the bridge, humid birch forest, Jun 29 - Jul 1, 1993 100 S I, 2 35 E foot of the Sv Nos pen Mts, Burtuj creek, about 1 km downstream from the bridge, wet birch forest with high grass undergrowth, a) Aug 31 - Sep 3, 1992 9 P I, 3 b) Aug 31 - Sep 3, 1992 50 S I, 3 36 E foot of the Sv Nos pen Mts, Burtuj creek, about 1300 m downstream from the bridge, peatbog with cranberries, a) Aug 31 - Sep 3, 1992 9 P I, 3 b) Aug 31 - Sep 3, 1992 50 S I, 3 37 E foot of the Sv Nos pen Mts, Burtuj creek, 500 m S of the bridge, leafy forest, Jul 1-4, 1992 50 S I, 3 38 E slopes of the Sv Nos pen Mts, the first valley N of Glinka, compressed valley of the creek, varied vegetation of humid habitats, Jul 11-12, 1992 50 S I, 1 39 E slopes of the Sv Nos pen Mts, the first valley N of Glinka, the bottom of the valley on upper limit of forest about 1000 m a s l, varied plant community with the elements of shore, forest and subalpine vegetation, Jul 9-12, 1992 50 S I, 3 40 E slopes of the Sv Nos pen Mts, the first valley N of Glinka, S oriented avalanche slope about 1000 m a s l, varied herb community, Jul 9-12, 1992 50 S I, 3 41 E slopes of the Sv Nos pen Mts, the first valley N of Glinka, scree slope on the upper limit of forest about 1000 m a s l, boulders without vegetation, Jul 9-12, 1992 10 B I, 3 42 E slopes of the Sv Nos pen Mts, the first valley N of Glinka, the bottom of the valley with boulders about 1100 m a s l, herb growth with dominant grasses, a) Jul 11-12, 1992 35 S I, 1 b) Sep 4-6, 1993 30 S I, 2 43 E slopes of the Sv Nos pen Mts, the first valley N of Glinka, N oriented fine-grained scree slope about 1300 m a s l, varied herb growth with the edge of prostrate pine scrub, Jul 11-12, 1992 15 S I, 1 44 E slopes of the Sv Nos pen Mts, the first valley N of Glinka, the bottom of the valley covered with boulders about 1300 m a s l, varied alpine meadow community, Jul 9-12, 1992 50 S I, 3 45 E slopes of Sv the Nos pen Mts, the first valley N of Glinka, the bottom of the valley covered with boulders about 1500 m a s l, low herb vegetation, a) Jul 3-4, 1992 10 S d, 1 b) Sep 5-6, 1993 25 S I, 1 46 E slopes of the Sv Nos pen Mts, the first valley N of Glinka, the avalanche slope about 1700 m a s l with varied herb and low bush growth, Sep 4-6, 1993 22 S L, 2 47 The Sv Nos pen Mts, the top plateau about 1850 m a s l, 300 m W of the highest point of peninsula, humid mountain tundra, a) Sep 4-11, 1993 50 S I, 2 b) Sep 4-11, 1993 20 P I, 2 48 The Sv Nos pen Mts, the top plateau about 1850 m a s l, 500 m NW of the highest point of peninsula, dry mountain tundra, Sep 4-11, 1993 25 S I, 2 49 W coast of the Sv Nos pen, estuary of the creek, high humid larch taiga with rich moss undergrowth, Jul 8-11, 1993 300 S I, 3



## Ecological preferences of epigeic spiders (Araneida) in the systems of many biotopes

Jiří ŠMAHA<sup>1, 2)</sup> & Petr CHYTRÝ<sup>3)</sup>

<sup>1)</sup> Czech Union for Nature Protection (ČSOP), Local Organization at Křivoklát, Lesní závod SSL,  
CZ-270 23 Křivoklát, Czech Republic

<sup>2)</sup> Čuklova 5/646, CZ-128 00 Praha 2, Czech Republic

<sup>3)</sup> Computation Centre of the Institute of Biophysics and Nuclear Medicine, Charles University, Salmovská 3,  
CZ-120 00 Praha 2, Czech Republic

*Received June 21, 1993, accepted February 9, 1995*

*Published December 27, 1995*

### Spiders, plant association, ecological optimum, statistical tests

**Abstract.** Ecological preferences of epigeic spiders were studied in 27 biotopes with 25 natural xerothermophilous to hygropsychrophilous biocoenoses, a semiartificial young alder growth and a disturbed ruderal site in the Křivoklátsko Biosphere Reserve and its near surroundings (347 pitfall traps filled with formalin solution, each exposed for an average of nine months in 126 stations; in total 126 samples have been evaluated; 378 spider species determined, 35 234 adult specimens collected. 75 spider species were caught in significantly larger numbers in a single biotope with natural biocoenosis, and 152 species in two up to eight ones according to the results of homogeneity tests for the differences in abundance among the biotopes. The biotope which can be considered optimal for each of the 378 species also by means of other tests and computations, accords with the postulate stated by the synthesis of other authors' statements in about 46.0 % of the species; accord at a lower level has been established in 46.6 % of the species, and discord in mere 2.9 %. The comparability of stations was not impaired either by differences in the mechanical permeability of the substrate surface or by differences in the penetration of spiders.

### INTRODUCTION

Spiders are important component of nature from the synecological point of view. Nevertheless, we do not know enough about the ecology of many spiders, particularly about their relations to principal environmental factors and the qualities of ecosystems and biotopes preferred by them and thus indicating their ecological niches. The object of this study has been to provide new details on the ecological preferences of the epigeic spiders by comparing their abundances at different localities in a landscape with many biotopes and natural biocoenoses on the basis of pitfall sampling.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### Study area and sampling sites

The study was carried out within the framework of arachnological investigations, made by the first of the present authors in the Křivoklátsko Biosphere Reserve (about 630 km<sup>2</sup>, hereafter KBR) by the river Berounka in Central Bohemia and its near surroundings. The area is characterized by a variety of well-preserved biocoenoses due to the biohistory of farming, dynamic relief of the landscape, relief amplitude (223-616 m a.s.l.) and local climate which is rather influenced by the rain shadow; the daily mean air temperature is about 8.5 °C and annual total precipitation 550 mm. The geological substrate consists mostly of Algonkian shales, Cambrian volcanic acid rocks (above all, palaeoandesite, palaeorhyolite, dacite), gray Carboniferous strata; there are also some basic substrates (diabase, spilite). The soil is rather stony. Over 60 % of the area is covered by woodland. There are various xerothermophilous to hygropsychrophilous and submontane biocoenoses with deciduous as well as coniferous woods, remnants of fir woods.

including. Nowadays there are also many artificial spruce growths; there were, however, only isolated and scarce spruce trees before artificial changes took place. KBR includes 18 State Nature Reserves at the present time.

The basic units studied were stations defined and classified as the actual biotopes given below. The number and distribution of the stations were planned according to phytocoenological maps and typological maps of the woods (deposited e.g. at the Board of KBR), literature (Moravec et al. 1982; Neuhausl & Neuhauslová-Novotná 1967, 1977, and others), consultations with botanists and our own research in such a way that they would cover the range of natural biocoenoses in the study area as fully as possible. Each station was subjectively evaluated during the field work by an empirical marking system.

In total, 126 stations belonging to 27 biotopes were selected, 25 biotopes of the whole set have to be designed as natural.

The description of most of the natural biotopes covered by trees is chiefly based on the identification of a respective plant association and, in some cases, even lower coenotaxa and forest types. The studied rock steppes, often consisting of mosaics of minor plant associations, were summarized according to mobility of many epigeic spiders as belonging to only one biotope; their description is completed by dominant plant associations and plant species. The overall character of herb and moss strata, localization and soil type were respected in the classification of spruce growths and meadows, botanical reconstruction in the case of spruce growths (i.e., topographical identification with former fir woods) was applied in the classification too. Two biotopes, strongly influenced and disturbed by anthropic activities, an amelioration site and a dump, were included for comparison.

In the list of sampling sites given below there are concise descriptions of each of the biotopes studied (bold letters on the left margin) and some stations differentiated by some special quality (bold characters in parentheses); also the number of stations (st.), traps, specimens, and species (spp.) of each biotope is indicated.

Open dry and easily drying biotopes with thermophilous plants

**RS** Rock xerothermic steppes, 60-80 % of the substrate is overgrown by low vegetation, particularly *Alyssa-Potentilletum* and *Jasione-Festucetum* (da with *Dictamnus albus*; dt small hills of diabase tuff in open landscape; pr with numerous shrubs of *Prunus spinosa*; sj the best developed and largest rock steppe c. 1 ha in area, substrate mostly on basic veins and eluvia, discontinuously overgrown by *Stipa joannis*, slt on the lower half of a spilite rock, with *Geranium sanguineum*, slt on the top of a spilite rock); 9 st., 26 traps, 3 139 specimens, 180 spp.

Light, rocky biotopes with sparse herbs and pines

**DP** *Dicrano-Pinon* alliance, "relic rock pine woods", extremely warm and dry stations on the tops of prominent rocks and ridges with isolated dwarf pines, sparse *Chamaebuxus alpestris* and/or other herbs, a thin layer of loamy sand substrate; 3 st., 5 traps, 438 specimens, 49 spp.

Oligotrophic pine woods and stands of *Quercus-Pinetum* and *Pino-Quercetum* biotopes

**QP** *Quercus-Pinetum*, at least 80 % of the tree stratum are tall pines with some oaks and birches, there is plenty of *Calluna vulgaris*, *Vaccinium myrtillus*, *V. vitis-idaea* (cvr on silicite rock, *C. vulgaris* dominant, cvs on sand, *C. vulgaris* and *V. vitis-idaea* abundant; nu nudum type on loamy sand soil, vm with dominant *V. myrtillus* and patches of moss on a rather deep layer of sandy loam substrate); 6 st., 22 traps, 2 724 specimens, 145 spp.

Thermophilous and subxerophilous dwarf oak woods

**VQ** *Viscario-Quercetum*, thermophilous oak woods on prominent rocks and hilltops, bare rock substrate in over 60 % of the area, moss overgrowing up to 40 % of the area, herbs (*Viscaria vulgaris* and others) sparse, scattered stones (ss with quick slate slabs), 6 st., 19 traps, 1 608 specimens, 117 spp.

**CQ** *Cynancho-Quercetum typicum*, subxerophilous, warm and dry oak woods on scree, well exposed to sunshine, with very sparse herbs, mostly *Cynanchum vincetoxicum*; 2 st., 4 traps, 93 specimens, 25 spp.

Easily alternately drying oak woods on steep slopes with fine gravel

**CQp** *Cynancho-Quercetum poetosum* (in Neuhausl & Neuhauslová-Novotná 1977), rather high oak wood in the lower half of a slope, sparse *Poa nemoralis*, *C. vincetoxicum* and others, a biocoenosis resembling a poor drying hornbeam-oak wood, 1 st., 2 traps, 343 specimens, 40 spp.



- Well-developed oak woods on plateaux and gentle slopes
- LQ *Luzulo Quercetum*, acidophilous, near hilltops, herbs and *Luzula nemorosa* sparse, patches of moss, 3 st , 6 traps, 736 specimens, 58 spp
- PQ *Potentillo-Quercetum*, alternatively drying, on a slightly rugged plateau, about 35 % of the surface area covered by herbs *Potentilla alba* including, a continuous layer of leaf litter, 2 st , 5 traps, 594 specimens, 38 spp
- Open biotopes of rock debris on slopes
- FS Fields of scree with minor lichens on some of the stones (ms in places of medium climatic conditions, ps on cool slopes, there are also deep fissures where icicles can be found even in the summer, *Saxifraga decipiens* in places along the edges, th well exposed to sunshine, surrounded by dwarf oak woods), 6 st , 23 traps, 1 775 specimens, 113 spp
- IA Initial stages of cool scree woods - fields of scree with numerous ferns and mosses, isolated shrubs (*Ribes*, *Rubus*) and single trees, 5 st , 15 traps, 845 specimens, 68 spp
- Scree woods with *Acer*, stones and/or gravel, rich humus
- ACi *Aceri-Carpinetum*, rather warm scree wood types especially on south-facing slopes, *Poa nemoralis* abundant, there are no ferns or hygrophilous herbs, gravel is abundant, covering up to 40 % of the area (ss with quick slate slabs), 6 st , 12 traps, 1 094 specimens, 100 spp
- ACp *Aceri-Carpinetum aegopodietosum*, typical cool and damp talus slope woods, *Taxus baccata*, *Grossularia uva-crispa* present, herbs overgrowing up to 80 % of the area (tb facies *T. baccata* yew needles on 90 % of the soil surface), 7 st , 17 traps, 1 059 specimens, 75 spp
- LA *Lunario-Aceretum lunarietosum*, cool scree woods on continuous quick gravel, over 70 % of the area overgrown by *Lunaria rediviva* (ta only *T. baccata* and *Abies alba* around the pitfall traps), 2 st , 5 traps, 274 specimens, 34 spp
- Hornbeam-oak woods
- MC *Melampyro-Carpinetum*, lush mesophilous woods on plateaux and gentle and not very steep slopes, herbs common, litter continuous, rich humus (fm fresh facies with *Fraxinus excelsior* and *Mercurialis perennis*, running down the gradient of the slope, pn type with abundant *Poa nemoralis*, pv with *Primula veris*), 10 st , 20 traps, 2 538 specimens, 114 spp
- Beech woods
- LF *Luzulo Fagetum*, acidophilous, with scattered *L. nemorosa*, *Calamagrostis arundinacea*, patches of *V. myrtillus*, 90 % of the ground covered by leaf litter, 6 st , 18 traps, 1 659 specimens, 89 spp
- TFI *Tilio cordatae-Fagetum*, lush, rich, submontane, loamy types, mostly on plateaux and gentle slopes, up to 70 % surface covered by *Asperula odorata* (hu humid, in a flat basin), 4 st , 11 traps, 1 490 specimens, 57 spp
- TFs *Tilio cordatae-Fagetum*, lush, rich, submontane, stone and gravel types on slope knolls and slopes with many herbs, *Denaria enneaphyllos* and bracken in particular, 9 st , 22 traps, 1 859 specimens, 66 spp
- BS Banks of deep-cut streams in narrow valleys in above mentioned beech woods without continuous floodplain vegetation, only isolated alder-trees at most present (pe with many *Picea excelsa* trees), 6 st , 21 traps, 1 458 specimens, 83 spp
- Spruce woods and stands
- SG Spruce growths in flat basins and on plateaux originally overgrown mostly by moist *Equiseto-Abietetum*, on heavy soils with *Carex pilosa*, grasses, patches of moss including solitary tufts of *Sphagnum* (existence of isolated spruce trees before artificial changes can be supposed in three of the stations situated in basins around spring-sites according to geobotanical research), 5 st , 16 traps, 985 specimens, 88 spp

#### Alder woods

SA *Stellario-Alnetum*, submontane brook alder woods with *Stellaria holostea*, up to 70 % of the area overgrown by herbs, *Lunaria rediviva* occurring too, stones frequent (fg interpenetrated by beeches; mb the most boggy stand), 11 st., 26 traps, 1 901 specimens, 94 spp

CA *Carici elongatae-Alnetum* in a marshy, clayey terrain near a source area in a wood, with tall sedge, *Carex paniculata*, *Sphagnum* (cx *Carex* predominant, sphagnum moss rare; sph interior parts without trees, sphagnum moss covering several ares, traps in sphagnum moss), 2 st., 11 traps, 1 225 specimens, 59 spp.

Ag "*Alnetum glutinosae*", the zone of *Carici-Alnetum* at the edge of a pool sized approx 30 x 40 m, alder trees with prop roots, *Juncus*, tufts of *C. paniculata*, patches of *Sphagnum*, marshy soil; 1 st., 3 traps, 417 specimens, 35 spp

MA "*Molinia arundinacea* - *Alnus glutinosa*", partly planted, sparse young alder stand, trees 2-3 m in height, 0.5 m high tufts of *M. arundinacea* in a broad amelioration plain; 1 st., 2 traps, 487 specimens, 53 spp

#### Semishaded river floodplain with shrubs

St *Salicetum triandrae*, scattered willow shrubs, tall grasses, *Urtica dioica*, sand and stones, deposits; 3 st., 7 traps, 1009 specimens, 59 spp.

#### Reed stands

Ph *Phragmitetum* in the inundation open area of a brook, reed belt at least 5 m wide, traps in its middle; 1 st., 5 traps, 334 specimens, 48 spp.

#### Grass biotopes in fresh to wet open areas

Mh Meadows, fresh, humid, grass on 90-100 % of the surface, with *Polygonum bistorta*, *Filipendula ulmaria*, scarce *Juncus* (be a broad balk in a field enclave in woods; bo a balk in an open farmland; fu with dominant *F. ulmaria*; mf regularly mowed, encircled by woodland), 8 st., 19 traps, 4 607 specimens, 118 spp

#### Disturbed anthropogenic dump and landfill biotopes

Ru A place that had been used for tipping fifty years at least, with ruderals, *Urtica*, *Atriplex*, bricks, metal plates, boards, pools of dung water, near a field, situated 250 m from the village; 1 st., 5 traps, 553 specimens, 69 spp

#### Sampling methods

Spiders were captured in pitfall traps, 500 ccm jars (diameter 9 cm, height 15 cm) buried flush with the ground and filled up to one third with a 4 % formalin solution. In November and December, kitchen salt (two tablespoons) was added and rooflets (chip-wood board 30 x 30 cm) were fixed c. 10 cm above the pitfalls.

A total of 347 traps was used. The traps were placed in the centre of each station (bank stations excluding), mostly in pairs. A single pitfall was used in some small stations; in some long and heterogeneous bank biotopes, as many as seven pitfalls per station were placed alongside the streams. The traps were spaced at least 10 m apart. In woods they were placed at least 1 m away from trees, at banks of brooks or rills at a distance of c. 50 cm from the border of the water stream in its average level and, at the edge of a large pool in the alder wood, in sedge tufts at a distance up to 1 m from the continuous water surface. Each trap was exposed for an average of nine months, from 15 March to 15 December of one of the years during the period 1979-1983. Samples were removed and the preserving liquid was changed in winter also with the help of a small suction pump approx. every month, exceptionally at two-week intervals. The whole material of adult spiders sampled in each of the stations by means of all traps in every station, i.e. in mostly pooled samples, called only "samples" hereafter, was evaluated. In total, 126 samples have been thus evaluated.

#### Material

In total, 35 234 adult spiders of 378 determined species and about 5 000 juvenile and subadult individuals were caught in the pitfall traps. Only c. 45 specimens of five species, including one sample with *Pardosa monticola* (L.) and perhaps *P. agricola* (Thor.) could not be determined down to the species level.

The first of the authors is responsible for the determination. A part of the material had already been used in studies of the arachnological differentiation of communities (Šmaha 1983a, b, 1985; Šmaha & Pěnička 1989).

### Mathematical methods

Differences in the occurrence of individual species in individual stations and biotopes (material of a species from all stations of a biotope representing a biotope), regardless of the occurrence of other species, were studied at first, whilst as the most important results are considered the values and  $\chi^2$  - tests of relative abundance of individual species in biotopes relative to the number of traps laid  $a_{ij}$  tests. The application of the quantil of distribution  $\chi^2_{v-27}$  with probability  $\alpha=0.05$  for analysis of abundance differentiation of each species, of which at least 15 specimens were trapped, has been confirmed by the "Monte Carlo" permutation method, the quantils for each of species, of which less than 15 specimens were trapped, have been thus computed implicitly (see Appendix 1 for further details).

Also, we tested the differences in the relative abundances of species in individual stations and differences in the relative number of stations where a species has been found (their frequency), and some other tests as well as computation of average numbers of specimens of each species per trap were performed in order to verify the indication of the biotope(s) most frequented by a given species. In many cases, this was done to determine the most preferred (optimum) biotope as well.

Apart from that, we studied the relative differences in the numbers of specimens of each spider species caught in each biotope in relation to the total number of spiders there trapped, i.e. percentage incidence. Also, we studied the relative differences between the number of trapped specimens of a species and the number of specimens of species similar in size and life habits and thus also mobility, in this way, higher functional relevancy and precision of results were expected. Only spiders of families frequently trapped in the pitfalls were used for this analysis, these families were classified into two ecomorphological groups: A = greater (cephalothorax mostly > 2 mm), cursorial or periodically vagrant spiders of ten families, viz., Atypidae, Segestrinae, Dysderidae, Eresidae, Lycosidae, Agelenidae, Cybaeidae, Amaurobiidae, Titanoecidae and Gnaphosidae (89 species in the material), B = mostly smaller (cephalothorax < 2 mm) and relatively less mobile spiders of families Pholcidae and Linyphiidae (166 species in the material) (for  $\%_A$ ,  $\%_B$  see Appendix 1). Species unfit to be classified to either of those two groups (123 species) represent 32.5 % of the total numbers of specimens.

The entire material was also subjected to canonical correspondence analysis based on abundance variability of all species in individual stations (CCA, four axes,  $\alpha=0.05$ , ecological programme CANOCO, Ter Braak 1987).

### RESULTS

Analyses of the abundance of spiders found in at least two biotopes by means of test of homogeneity ( $a_{ij}$ ) show that 227 species, i.e. 60.1 % of the 378 species determined, were caught in a significantly larger numbers in one (75 species) or more (152 species) natural biotopes. The results of this testing and many statements of other authors, often consistent with these findings, may suggest that various spider species show equal preference to two or more biotopes (e.g., *Coelotes inermis*, *Pardosa lugubris*, *Trochosa terricola*, *Diplocephalus latifrons*, *Saloca diceros*). Nevertheless, even in these cases we cannot exclude a gradation of the intensity of preference. Different other computations also indicate in a majority of these species as well as in a majority of other species without any significant result of this test, that only one biotope is most frequented by a given species.

The processing based on the ecomorphological groups has been very helpful for the determination of the biotope with the highest occurrence of a particular spider. The trends of sequence of the percentage incidence of a given species in a particular ecomorphological group and in a given biotope correspond mostly with the trends of species abundance in biotopes given by the  $\chi^2$ -test of homogeneity  $a_{ij}$  and other computations. For example, as regards the set of species which are significantly more abundant in only one of natural biotopes in view of this test, the percentage incidence of all 17 spider species of group A ( $\%_A$ ) and of 37 out of 39 species of group B ( $\%_B$ ) is highest in the same biotope, as was the one indicated by the homogeneity test (there are only two exceptions *Gonatium rubellum* and *Hilaira excisa*). Moreover, these differences of percentual incidence have been found to be significant in most species of which more than ten individuals have been caught (*G. rubellum*, *H. excisa* no significance). These significancies have been found even in some of the species of which ten or less individu-

als have been caught (*Araeoncus crassiceps*, *Centromerus laevitarsis*, *Tegenaria agrestis*, *Troxochrus scabriculus*).

### Summary of principal results

All spider species determined in the material are listed below together with the biotope with the highest catch of each of species, i.e., the hypothetical optimum biotope; all other biotopes where a species was significantly more abundant in view of results of the  $\chi^2$ -test ( $a_{ij}$ ), the station/stations with the relative high occurrence of some species, the number of caught specimens of each species and some further remarks; the semiartificial (MA) biotope and ruderal (Ru) biotope has been evaluated to be optimum only for species not caught in any other biotope, even if the highest occurrence of a species has been recorded in one of these biotopes (MA, Ru) by the  $a_{ij}$  test or other computations as well.

### Explanations:

RS, VQ, (ms, th, ) = biotope (station/stations) with the highest computed value of occurrence of a particular species, all other biotopes with a similar value of occurrence in view of the  $a_{ij}$  test but in which the species occurs not so frequently in view of other computations (the biotope quoted first is the optimum one) and/or the biotope (station/stations) where a species was caught.

\* (species marked by an asterisk) = species which is significantly more abundant in the said biotope/biotopes than in all other studied ones in view of the  $a_{ij}$  test; all biotopes with higher abundance of a species determined by this test are always quoted

Cipher (stated in the first part of the list) = actual number of all specimens of a given species captured.

Indices A - E, Z = results of comparison of the detected optimum biotope with postulates of other authors (see "Discussion" for details) Index A = the highest accord, identification with the same and/or very similar biotope and/or its biocoenosis (i.e., the comparative unit); B = accord in view of classification of the biotope and its biocoenosis into thermophilous, mesophilous or hygropsychrophilous ecosystem, and, simultaneously, accord in a formation, open, shrub or woody; C = accord in ecosystem (thermophilous, mesophilous or hygropsychrophilous); D = accord in formation (open, shrub or woody); E = discord - the author reports only a biotope of a different ecosystem or a different formation which is not further specified, as preferred, most frequently occupied or frequented solely by a given species; Z = uncertainty - i.e., the given author mentions an ecological characteristic but it is insufficient for this classification

Indices a-h = other biotopes and permanent biocoenoses also preferred by a respective species according to findings of other authors, index a = rocks without vegetation, rock walls and/or quarries; b = various other biotopes in mountains; c = coastal herbaceous biotopes and salt-marshes, d = very large open peat-bogs, e = open sandy biotopes and/or open large heaths; f = open sandy xerothermic biotope, occasionally with sparse low vegetation, g = coastal oligo- and mesotrophic vegetation; h = open bogs with *Calluna*, *Ledum* and open heaths.

Table 1 Classification of species with regard to the strata/stratocoenoses where a species predominantly lives (subsets e - v), number of specimens caught (groups 1 - 4) and results of comparison of the determined optimum biotope of a species with other authors' statements (categories A-Z)  
e epigeic species, x species living predominantly on the soil surface but partly on vegetation as well, v species living predominantly on vegetation, 1 one specimen, 2 2-10; 3 11-50; 4 more than 50 specimens caught; A maximum accord with most other authors; B - D lower levels of accord; E discord; Z other authors' data are insufficient for this classification

Category	e				x				v				$\Sigma$	%
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
A	10	39	37	46	4	10	7	7	5	7	2	-	174	46,04
B-D	18	38	34	39	5	8	6	4	6	12	5	1	176	46,56
E	2	4	1	2	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	11	2,91
Z	2	3	1	2	1	2	1	-	2	3	-	-	17	4,49
$\Sigma$	32	84	73	89	10	20	14	11	14	23	7	1	378	100

Indices i m = some further details in regard to localization of findings, i = the pitfall at a 10 m distance from a reed stand, j = two males together with *Maro thaleri* in one trap, k = there are also other findings in disturbed rural sites and fields of the KBR, l = the second finding of this species after the find of the holotype specimen (cf Šmaha 1985), m = common species in thick grass in an old orchard in the KBR

#### Species of which more than ten specimens have been caught

Atypidae *\*Atypus affinis* Eichw RS<sup>C</sup>, VQ, 33 Pholcidae *\*Pholcus opilionoides* (Schränk) FS<sup>A\*</sup> (ms, th), 22  
 Segestridae *Segestria senoculata* (L.) FS<sup>C</sup> (ps), 24 Dysderidae *Dysdera erythrina* (Walck) ACt<sup>B</sup>, 16 *\*Harpactes  
 hombergi* (Scop) FS<sup>l</sup>, VQ, 54 *\*H. lepida* (C L K) ACp<sup>B</sup>, LA, 375 *\*Harpactocrates rubicundus* (C L K) ACt<sup>B</sup>,  
 184 Mimetidae *Ero furcata* (Vill) SG<sup>B</sup>, 14 Eresidae *\*Eresus niger* (Petagna) RS<sup>B</sup>, 15 Therididae *\*Enoplognatha  
 ovata* (Cl) MC<sup>B</sup>, ACt, 19 *\*Euryopsis flavomaculata* (C L K) QP<sup>C</sup> (cvs), 35 *\*Pholcomma gibbum* (Westr) FS<sup>C</sup>  
 (ps), iAC, ACp, ACt, 41 *\*Robertus lividus* (Bl) Ag<sup>B</sup>, SA, 47 *\*Steatoda phalerata* (Panz) RS<sup>B</sup>, 14 Erigonidae  
*\*Abacoproctes saltuum* (L K) MC<sup>B</sup>, VQ, 66 *\*Araeoncus humilis* (Bl) VQ<sup>2</sup>, QP, 16 *\*Ceratinea brevis* (Wid)  
 ACp<sup>B</sup>, ACt, iAC, MC, Ru, 99 *\*Dicybium nigrum* (Bl) Mh<sup>A</sup>, Ph, St, SA, 157 *\*Diplocephalus cristatus* (Bl) St<sup>C</sup>,  
 70 *\*D. helleri* (L K) BS<sup>A\*</sup>, SA, 30 *\*D. latifrons* (O P Cbr) SA<sup>B</sup>, SG, TFl, BS, 435 *\*D. picinus* (Bl) TFl<sup>B</sup>, TFS,  
 LF, CQp, 288 *\*Dismodicus bifrons* (Bl) Mh<sup>C</sup>, St, Ru, 19 *\*Erigone atra* (Bl) Mh<sup>A</sup>, 399 *\*E. dentipalpis* (Wid)  
 Mh<sup>A</sup>, 341 *\*Erigonopterna jarmilae* Miller RS<sup>A</sup> (di), 36 *\*Gonatum rubellum* (Bl) SG<sup>B</sup>, Ru, 14 *\*Gongylidietium  
 lutebricola* (O P Cbr) SG<sup>l</sup>, SA, MA, 13 *\*Halorates distinctus* (Sim) St<sup>A</sup>, 101 *\*Lophomma punctatum* (Bl) CA<sup>A</sup>,  
 Ag, 76 *\*Micrargus herbigradus* (Bl) SG<sup>A</sup>, LF, MA, 149 *\*M. subaequalis* (Westr) Mh<sup>B</sup>, CA, Ru, 17 *\*Notoscopus  
 sarcinatus* (O P Cbr) CA<sup>A</sup> (sph), 12 *\*Oedothorax agrestis* (Bl) BS<sup>A</sup>, St, 111 *\*O. apicatus* (Bl) Mh<sup>A</sup>, Ph, 11 *\*O.  
 fuscus* (Bl) St<sup>C</sup>, Mh, BS, 16 *\*O. gibbosus gibbosus* (Bl) CA<sup>B</sup> (sph), Ph, St, 199 *\*O. gibbosus tuberosus* (Bl)  
 CA<sup>A</sup>, Ph, St, Mh, 26 *\*O. retusus* (Westr) St<sup>C</sup>, Mh, 304 *\*Panomops affinis* Miller et Kratochvíl ACt<sup>B</sup>, VQ, 14  
*\*Pelecopis elongata* (Wid) DP<sup>A</sup>, SG, MA, 18 *\*P. radiculicola* (L K) QP<sup>C</sup>, RS, 42 *\*Pocadicnemis pumila* (Bl)  
 Mh<sup>A</sup>, Ph, QP, LF, MA, 40 *\*Saloca diceros* (O P Cbr) LA<sup>C</sup>, ACp, TFS, BS, SA, 75 *\*Tapinocyba affinis* Less SG<sup>A</sup>,  
 MA, 15 *\*Trichoniscus kulczynski* Miller RS<sup>A</sup>, 26 *\*Trichopterna cito* (O P Cbr) RS<sup>A</sup>, 78 *\*Typhochraestus digitatus*  
 (O P Cbr) DP<sup>C</sup>, QP (cvs), VQ, 61 *\*Walckenaeria antica* (Wid) PQ<sup>B</sup>, MC, Ru, 58 *\*W. atrotibialis* (O P Cbr)  
 PQ<sup>B</sup>, CQp, TFS, 74 *\*W. corniculans* (O P Cbr) LF<sup>A</sup>, TFl, TFS, 23 *\*W. cucullata* (C L K) QP<sup>A</sup>, LQ, PQ, 62 *W.  
 dysderoides* (Wid) MC<sup>F</sup>, 17 *\*W. furcillata* (Mge) LQ<sup>B</sup>, CQp, MA, FS, MC, 21 *\*W. kochi* (O P Cbr) Ag<sup>A</sup>, Ph,  
 CA, Mh, 19 *\*W. nudipalpis* (Westr) Ag<sup>B</sup>, 22 *W. obtusa* Bl SA<sup>B</sup>, 20 *\*W. simplex* (Kulcz) CQp<sup>B</sup>, LQ, PQ, RS, 41  
 Linyphiidae *\*Allomengea scopigera* (Grube) Mh<sup>A</sup>, St, 74 *\*A. vidua* (L K) Ph<sup>B</sup>, CA, St, Mh, 52 *\*Bathyphanes  
 approximatus* (O P Cbr) Ag<sup>A</sup>, CA, St, Ph, 155 *\*B. gracilis* (Bl) St<sup>A</sup>, Mh, 16 *\*B. nigrinus* (Westr) St<sup>A</sup>, SA, CA,  
 Ph, Mh, 102 *\*B. parvulus* (Westr) Mh<sup>A</sup>, MA, Ru, 118 *\*B. similis* (Kulcz) St<sup>C</sup>, BS, CA, 19 *\*Bolyphanes aticeps*  
 (Sand) Mh<sup>C</sup>, Ru, 58 *\*Centromeris bicolor* (Bl) Mh<sup>A</sup>, 145 *\*Centromerus cavernarum* (L K) TFS<sup>A</sup>, 11 *\*C. incitum*  
 (L K) QP<sup>A</sup> (vm), LQ, VQ, MC, RS, 84 *\*C. sellarius* (O P Cbr) LA<sup>B</sup>, ACp, TFS, iAC, 224 *\*C. similis* Kulcz,  
 iAC<sup>C</sup>, LF, TFS, 20 *\*C. sylvaticus* (Bl) Ph<sup>C</sup>, CA, SA, St, Mh, Ru, 1 051 *\*Diplostyla concolor* (Wid) SA<sup>B</sup>, TFS, TFl,  
 MA, BS, 488 *\*Drepanotylus uncutus* (O P Cbr) CA<sup>A</sup>, Ag, 24 *\*Helophora insignis* (Bl) TFl<sup>A</sup>, SA, TFS, 71 *\*Hilaira  
 excisa* (O P Cbr) CA<sup>A</sup>, 29 *\*Lepthyphantes alacris* (Bl) SG<sup>A</sup>, LA, ACp, iAC, 117 *\*L. angulipalpis* (Westr) PQ<sup>B</sup>,  
 MC, 12 *\*L. cristatus* (Mge) SA<sup>A</sup>, SG, BS, 162 *\*L. flavipes* (Bl) CQp<sup>A</sup>, MC, PQ, ACt, 487 *\*L. keyserlingi* (Auss)  
 RS<sup>A</sup>, 30 *\*L. leprosus* (Ohl) FSA QP, ACt, MC, 38 *\*L. mansuetus* (Thor) QP<sup>A</sup> (cvs), MC, 264 *\*L. mengeti* Kulcz,  
 QP<sup>B</sup>, Mh, Ru, 82 *\*L. minutus* (Bl) TFl<sup>A</sup>, MC, 15 *\*L. notabilis* Kulcz FS<sup>A</sup>, 139 *\*L. pallidus* (O P Cbr) PQ<sup>F</sup>,  
 MC, Mh, 75 *\*L. tenebricola* (Wid) SG<sup>A</sup>, TFl, ACp, TFS, 472 *\*L. tenuis* (Bl) Mh<sup>C</sup>, Ru, 12 *\*L. zimmermanni* Bertkau  
 ACp<sup>B</sup>, 37 *\*Leptorhoptrum huthwatti* (O P Cbr) St<sup>C</sup>, Ph, Mh, 187 *\*Linyphia hortensis* Sund MC<sup>B</sup>, ACp, 40 *\*L.  
 triangularis* (Cl) PQ<sup>B</sup>, Ru, 34 *\*Macrargus carpenteri* (O P Cbr) QP<sup>A</sup> (cvs), 47 *\*M. rufus* (Wid) TFl<sup>A</sup>, QP (vm),  
 TFS, LQ, iAC, RS, 575 *\*Metoneta equestris* (L K) DPA, QP, 32 *\*M. rurestris* (C L K) VQ<sup>E</sup>, RS, Mh, 128 *\*M.  
 saxatilis* (Bl) Mh<sup>F</sup>, MC, 99 *\*Microneta viaria* Sim LF<sup>A</sup>, 145 *\*Nereine clathrata* (Sund) Ag<sup>B</sup>, 23 *\*Porrhomma  
 pygmaeum* (Bl) St<sup>C</sup>, 11 *\*Stemonyphantes lineatus* (L) QP<sup>A</sup>, RS, 45 *\*Tallusia experta* (O P Cbr) CA<sup>A</sup>, Ag, BS,  
 Ph, Mh, 121 Tetragnathidae *\*Metellina segmentata* (Cl) CQp<sup>B</sup>, TFl, 28 *\*Pachygnatha clercki* Sun Mh<sup>C</sup>, Ph, St,  
 Ag, CA, 185 *\*P. degeeri* Sund Mh<sup>A</sup>, Ru, 329 *\*P. listeri* Sund SA<sup>B</sup>, QP, MA, 53 Araneidae *\*Araniella cucurbitina*  
 (Cl) RS<sup>C</sup>, CQp, 11 Lycosidae *\*Alopecosa accentuata* (Latr) RS<sup>A</sup>, QP, 159 *\*A. aculeata* (Cl) QP<sup>A</sup>, VQ, 248 *\*A.  
 cuneata* (Cl) Mh<sup>B</sup>, RS, Ru, 141 *\*A. figurata* (Sim) DP<sup>A</sup>, RS, 27 *\*A. pulverulenta* (Cl) Mh<sup>A</sup>, MA, 430 *\*A. sulzeri*  
 (Pav) RS<sup>A</sup>, DP, VQ, 68 *\*A. trabalis* (Cl) RS<sup>A</sup>, Mh (mf), QP, Ru, 64 *\*Aulonia albimana* (Walck) RS<sup>B</sup>, QP, Ru,  
 113 *\*Pardosa amentata* (Cl) Mh<sup>B</sup>, St, Ph, Ag, SA, 860 *\*P. bifasciata* (C L K) RS<sup>A</sup>, QP, 450 *\*P. lugubris* (Walck)  
 PQ<sup>B</sup>, MC, LQ, VQ, DP, ACt, FS, LF, MA, Ru, 3 962 *\*P. palustris* (L) MhA (mf), 473 *\*P. pullata* (Cl) MhA, CA,  
 Ru, 717 *\*P. riparia* (C L K) MhA, RS, MA, 113 *\*Pirata hygrophilus* Thor Ag<sup>A</sup>, CA, SA, Mh, 774 *\*P. latitans*  
 (Blackw) CA<sup>A</sup>, Ag, Mh, 135 *\*Tricca lutetiana* (Sim) RS<sup>A</sup> (pr), 167 *\*Trochosa robusta* (Sim) RS<sup>A</sup>, FS, 35 *\*T.  
 ruricola* (Deg) Mh<sup>A</sup>,c, Ru, 35 *\*Trochosa spumipalpis* (F Cbr) Mh<sup>A</sup>,d, MA, 91 *\*T. terricola* (Thor) QP<sup>B</sup>, LQ, RS,  
 VQ, MC, Mh, 1 277 *\*Xerolycosa nemoralis* (Westr) DP<sup>A</sup>, VQ, QP, 1 429 Pisauridae *Pisaura mirabilis* (Cl) Mh<sup>D</sup>,

23 Agelenidae (s 1) \**Cicurina cicurea* (F) LA<sup>B</sup>, CQp, Ag, Ru, 243 \**Coelotes inermis* L K LA<sup>B</sup>, PQ, TFs, BS, ACp, SA, SG, MC, MA, 1 839 \**C. terrestris* (Wid.) TFI<sup>B</sup>, CQp, TFs, MC, PQ, LQ, 3 906 \**Histopona torpida* (C L K) TFs<sup>B</sup>, ACp, TFI, BS, LA, iAC, 788 \**Tegenaria atrica* C L K QP<sup>C</sup>, 20 \**T. silvestris* (L K) iAC<sup>B</sup>, ACp, FS, BS, 144 \**Textrix denticulata* (Oliv.) FS<sup>A</sup>, ACt, VQ, RS, 188 Cybaeidae \**Cybaeus angusturum* L K BS<sup>A</sup>, SA, LA, ACp, 300 Hahnidae \**Antistea elegans* (Bl.) CA<sup>B</sup> (sph), BS, 74 \**Hahnua nava* (Bl.) RS<sup>A</sup>, 11 Amaurobiidae \**Amaurobius fenestralis* (Strom) LF<sup>B</sup>, TFI, TFs, 214 \**Callobius claustrarius* (Hahn) iAC<sup>C</sup>, TFs, SG, TFI, ACp, 462 Titanoeceidae \**Titanoeca obscura* (Walck) VQ<sup>C</sup>, RS, DP, QP, 38

Table 2 Arachnological classification of stations based on the discrimination rule  
RS - Ru biotope categories (in some of them two similar biotopes are summarized, semiartificial MA biotope is omitted),  $j$  actual biotopes where the samples were taken,  $j^D$  biotopes determined by the discrimination,  $m_j$  number of stations in biotope  $j$ , figures in the  $j/j^D$  quadrate = numbers of stations (or samples) for which the given biotope (biotope category) is hypothetically optimal (the determination is based on the  $N_j^{(D)}$  criterion, real numbers 0.5, 1.5, 2.5, 3.5 in the respective samples there are couples of species subsets with the identical  $N_j^{(D)}$  values), \*  $j$  vm,  $j^D$  TFI,  $j^D$  TFI

$j^D$	RS	DP	QP	VQ	CQp	LQ	FS	ACt	iAC	ACp	MC	LF	TFI	BS	SA	Ag	Mh	St	Ph	SG	Ru	$m_j$
					+CQt	+PQ				+LA			+TFs			+CA						
$j$																						
RS	4	-	-	1	1	1	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
DP	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
QP	-	-	3	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
VQ+CQt	-	1	-	5	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
CQp	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
LQ+PQ	-	-	-	-	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
FS	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
ACt	-	-	-	-	1.5	1.5	-	2	0.5	-	-	-	0.5*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
iAC	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
ACp+LA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.5	4	-	-	1.5*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
MC	-	-	-	-	2.5	0.5	-	-	2	1	-	-	4*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10
LF	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	1*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
TFI+TFs	-	-	-	-	1*	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13
BS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
SA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.5	3	-	-	-	2	4	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	11
Ag+CA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	3
Mh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	8
St	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	3
Ph	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1
SG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	3	-	5
Ru	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1



Liocranidae \**Liocranum rupicola* (Walck) FS<sup>A</sup>, 40 \**Agroeca brunnea* (Bl) QP<sup>A</sup> (nu, vm), SG, PQ, MA, 222 \**A. cuprea* Mge VQ<sup>B</sup>, CQp, RS, 49 \**Apostenus fuscus* Westr ACt<sup>A</sup>, ACp, LA, LQ, QP, FS, 219 \**Phrurolithus festinus* (C L K) RS<sup>A</sup>, QP, MA, 24 Clubionidae \**Clubiona terrestris* Westr TH<sup>A</sup>, MC, 35 Zodariidae \**Zodariion germanicum* (C L K) RS<sup>B</sup>, FS, LF, 25 Gnaphosidae \**Callilepis schusztleri* (Her) FS<sup>B</sup> (ms, th), RS, 37 \**Drassodes cupreus* (Bl) DP<sup>A</sup>, VQ, FS, MA, 14 \**D. lapidosus* (Walck) FS<sup>A</sup> (th), RS, DP, ACt, 311 \**D. pubescens* (Thor) RS<sup>C</sup>, MA, Ru, 46 \**Gnaphosa bicolor* (Hahn) ACt<sup>A</sup>, VQ, LF, FS, 82 \**G. lucifuga* (Walck) RS<sup>A</sup>, 16 \**G. lugubris* (C L K) RS<sup>A</sup>, DP, 34 \**Haplodrassus signifer* (C L K) RS<sup>A</sup>, VQ, QP, MA, 65 \**H. silvestris* (Bl) LF<sup>A</sup>, PQ, MC, RS, MA, 149 \**H. soerenseni* (Strand) LF<sup>C</sup>, ACt, LQ, MC, VQ, QP, 107 \**H. umbratilis* (L K) QP<sup>A</sup> (cvs), VQ, Ru, 37 \**Micaria fulgens* (Walck) QP<sup>C</sup> (nu), DP, RS, VQ, 49 \**Z. aurantiacus* Miller CQ<sup>A</sup>, QP (nu), DP, VQ, 49 \**Z. electus* (C L K) RS<sup>A</sup> (sj), 19 \**Z. erebeus* (Thor) FS<sup>B</sup> (th), ACt, VQ, 111 \**Z. exiguus* Miller et Schenkel RS<sup>C</sup>, 18 \**Z. latreillei* (Sim) Mh<sup>A</sup>, Ru, 12 \**Z. lutetianus* (L K) St<sup>A</sup>, Mh, Ag, Ru, 63 \**Z. petrensis* (C L K) RS<sup>C</sup>, QP, VQ, 227 \**Z. praeficus* (L K) RS<sup>A</sup>, QP, 12 \**Z. puritanus* Chamberlin RS<sup>A</sup>, VQ, DP, 37 \**Z. subterraneus* (C L K) LQ<sup>B</sup>, QP (nu), FS, VQ, LF, ACt, MA, 172 \**Z. villicus* (Thor) DP<sup>C</sup>, RS, FS, ACt, 72 Zoridae \**Zora manicata* Sim RS<sup>A</sup>, CQ<sup>A</sup>, VQ, 20 \**Z. nemoralis* (Bl) ACt<sup>B</sup>, LQ, VQ, PQ, 50 \**Z. silvestris* Kulcz RS<sup>A</sup>, VQ, 16 \**Z. spinimana* (Sund) Ag<sup>C</sup>, CA, SG, LQ, QP, MA, 63 Philodromidae *Philodromus collinus* C L K SG<sup>A</sup>, 11 Thomissidae




Fig. 1 Ordination of samples of 126 stations based on correspondence analysis of the abundance values of individual spider species in canonical coordinates 1 and 2

The diagram shows similarity of samples and/or stations with regard to the distribution of spider abundances (the distance between samples is positively correlated with their dissimilarity). Asterisks = individual samples, dots = almost identical position of two or more samples, letters = biotopes and stations, complexes of samples joined by lines = all samples belonging to the respective biotope (only the biotopes and stations most differing within the whole configuration are denoted by lines and letters)



\**Oxyptila atomaria* (Panz.) LQ<sup>B</sup>, VQ, RS, Ru, 18 \**O. nigrita* (Thor) RS<sup>A</sup>, 15 \**Xysticus audax* (Schr.) Mh<sup>f</sup>, SG, 30 \**X. bifasciatus* C L K Mh<sup>A</sup> (fu), 39 \**X. cambridgei* (Bl.) MC<sup>A</sup>, CQp, ACt, LQ, 29 \**X. cristatus* (Cl.) Mh<sup>A</sup>, 27 \**X. erraticus* (Bl.) VQ<sup>C</sup>, RS, QP, 48 \**X. kochi* Thor RS<sup>A</sup>, Mh, 18 \**X. luctuosus* Bl. QP<sup>A</sup>, SG, LF, MA, 17 \**X. nini* (Thor) RS<sup>A</sup>, 196 Salticidae \**Aelurillus festinus* (C L K) RS<sup>A</sup>, 50 \**A. v-insignitus* (Cl.) RS<sup>A</sup>, DP, VQ, QP, 103 *Evarcha falcata* (Cl.) VQ<sup>C</sup>, 12 \**Phlegra fasciata* (Hahn) RS<sup>A</sup>, CQt, Ru, 12

#### Species of which ten or less specimens has been trapped

Atypidae \**Atypus piceus* (Sulz.) MC<sup>B</sup> (pv), RS. Segestridae *Segestria bavarica* C L K VQ<sup>C</sup> (ss). Nesticidae *Nesticus cellulanus* (Cl.) ACp<sup>B</sup>. Therididae *Crustulina guttata* (Wid.) VQ<sup>C</sup>. *Diplocephalus* *Diplocephalus coracina* (C L K) MC<sup>A</sup>. \**Enoplognatha thoracica* (Hahn) RS<sup>A</sup>. *Episimus angulatus* (Bl.) RS<sup>B</sup>. *E. truncatus* Latr. CQ<sup>A</sup>. *Euryopis laeta* (Westr.) RS<sup>B</sup>. *Robertus arundineti* (O P Cbr) CA<sup>B</sup> (sp). *R. neglectus* (O P Cbr) MC<sup>D</sup>. *Theridion bimaculatum* (L.) Mh<sup>A</sup>. *T. nigrovirgatatum* Sim. ACt<sup>C</sup>. *T. tinctum* (Walck.) AC<sup>C</sup>. Erigonidae \**Aracooncus crassiceps* (Westr.) St<sup>C</sup>. \**Asthenargus helveticus* Schenkel SA<sup>B</sup>, SG, MA. *Ceratinella major* Kulcz. ACt<sup>B</sup>. *C. scabrosa* (O P Cbr) ACt<sup>C</sup>. *Cnephalocotes obscurus* (Bl.) Mh<sup>B</sup>. \**Diplocephalus permixtus* (O P Cbr) CA<sup>A</sup>, SA. *Dismodicus elevatus* (C L K) Ag<sup>B</sup>. \**Erudia bituberculata* (Wid.) Ph<sup>B</sup>, Mh. *Erigonella hiemalis* (Bl.) Mh<sup>C</sup>. *Glyptesis servulus* (Sim.) FS<sup>C</sup> (ms). \**Gonatium paradoxum* (L. K.) LQ<sup>A</sup>, Ru. *Gongylidiellum edentatum* Miller QP<sup>B</sup> (vm). \**G. vivum* (O P Cbr) Mh<sup>B</sup>, CA. *Gongylidium rufipes* (Sund.) Ph<sup>C</sup>. *Hylyphantes graminicola* (Sund.) St<sup>A</sup>. *Lastargus hirsutus* (Mge) VQ<sup>C</sup>. *Maso sundevalli* (Westr.) LFB. *Mecopisthes silus* (O P Cbr) SG<sup>A</sup>. *Munyriolus pusillus* (Wid.) ACp<sup>B</sup>. *Moebelia penicillata* (Westr.) SA<sup>A</sup>. *Panamomops inconspua* (Miller et Valešová) RS<sup>A</sup>. *P. mengeti* Sim. MC<sup>D</sup>. *Pelecopsis nemoralis* (Bl.) ACp<sup>D</sup>. *P. parallela* (Wid.) RS<sup>D</sup>. *Peponocranium orbiculatum* (O P Cbr) QP<sup>A</sup>. \**Silometopus reussi* (Thor) Ru<sup>A</sup>. *Tapinocyba insecta* (L. K.) LF<sup>B</sup>. *Thyreostenius parasiticus* (Westr.) SA<sup>B</sup>. \**Tiso vagans* (Bl.) Mh<sup>A</sup>. Ru. \**Trematocephalus cristatus* (Wid.) MC<sup>A</sup>, ACt, LA. \**Troxochrus scabriculus* (Westr.) Ru<sup>A</sup>. *Walckenaerius acuminatus* Bl. VQ<sup>A</sup>. \**W. cuspidata* (Bl.) CA<sup>A</sup>, Ag. *W. media* (Kulcz.) SG<sup>A</sup>. \**W. murata* (Mge) PQ<sup>A</sup>, MC. \**W. monoceros* (Wid.) MC<sup>A</sup> (pv). BS. *W. nodosa* (O P Cbr) Ph<sup>B</sup>. *W. vigilax* (Bl.) Mh<sup>A</sup>. Linyphiidae *Agyneta caula* (O P Cbr) CA<sup>A</sup> (sph). *A. conigera* (O P Cbr) AC<sup>C</sup>. *A. subtilis* (O P Cbr) SG<sup>C</sup>. *Aphileta misera* (O P Cbr) CA<sup>A</sup> (sph). \**Bolyphantes cruciger* (Mge) QP<sup>A</sup>. *B. luteolus* (Bl.) FS<sup>F</sup>. \**Centromerita concinna* (Thor) QP<sup>A</sup> (cvt, cvs). \**Centromerita levitarsis* (Sim.) CA<sup>A</sup> (sph). *C. serratus* (O P Cbr) QP<sup>B</sup>. *Donacochara speciosa* (Thor) Ph<sup>A</sup>. \**Drapetisca socialis* (Sund.) SG<sup>B</sup>. *Florina bucculenta* (Cl.) CA<sup>B</sup>. *Labulla thoracica* (Wid.) ACp<sup>B</sup>. \**Lepthyphantes collinus* L. K. FS<sup>A</sup> (ms, th). *L. kochi* Kulcz. SG<sup>B</sup>. *L. leptyphantisformis* (Strand) SA<sup>B</sup> (fg). *L. monticola* (Kulcz.) FS<sup>C</sup> (psv). *L. nodifer* Sim. SG<sup>A</sup>. *L. obscurus* (Bl.) SG<sup>A</sup>. *Maro minutus* O P Cbr CA<sup>A</sup> (sph). \**M. thaleri* Saaristo QP<sup>A</sup> (vm). \**Meioneta beata* (O P Cbr) Mh<sup>A</sup>. *Microlinyphia pusilla* (Sund.) Mh<sup>A</sup>. *Nereine emphana* (Walck.) CQp<sup>A</sup>. *N. montana* (Cl.) FS<sup>A</sup> (ps). *N. peltata* (Wid.) LA<sup>A</sup> (ta). *Ostearius melanopygius* (O P Cbr) RS<sup>A</sup>. *Pityohyphantes phrygius* (C L K) SG<sup>A</sup>. *Porrhomma convexum* (Westr.) BS<sup>A</sup>. *P. lativela* Tretzel LF<sup>B</sup>. *P. m. microphthalmum* (O P Cbr) SA<sup>B</sup>. \**P. montanum* Jacks. St<sup>C</sup>. \**P. pallidum* Jacks. SG<sup>A</sup>. *Saaristoia firma* (O P Cbr) ACp<sup>A</sup>. *Scotargus pilosus* Sim. SG<sup>B</sup>. *Sintula cornigera* (Bl.) TF<sup>C</sup> (hu). *Tapinopa longidens* (Wid.) QP<sup>A</sup> (vm). *Theonina cornix* (Sim.) FS<sup>B</sup> (th). Tetragnathidae *Mutellina mengeti* (Bl.) BS<sup>B</sup>. *Tetragnathus extensus* (L.) CA<sup>C</sup>. \**T. obtusa* C L K ACp<sup>B</sup>. \**T. pinicola* L. K. CA<sup>B</sup>. Araneidae *Aranea diadematus* (Westr.) RS<sup>D</sup>. *A. quadratus* Cl. Mh<sup>B</sup>. *Cercidia prominens* (Westr.) QP<sup>B</sup>. *Gibbaranea bituberculata* (Walck.) RS<sup>A</sup> (da). \**Hyposinga albobittata* (Westr.) RS<sup>A</sup> (sj). *H. sanguinea* (C L K) QP<sup>A</sup> (cvs). *Mangora acalypha* (Walck.) RS<sup>B</sup>. *Nuctenea umbratica* (Cl.) RS<sup>C</sup>. *Singa hamata* (Cl.) Mh<sup>A</sup> (fu). Lycosidae \**Alopecosa inquilina* (Cl.) QP<sup>A</sup>. *A. schmidtii* (Hahn) RS<sup>A</sup>. *Pardosa agrestis* Westr. Mh<sup>B</sup> (bo). *P. hortensis* (Thor) RS<sup>A</sup>. *P. nigriceps* (Thor) Ru<sup>D</sup>. *Pirata piraticus* (Cl.) St<sup>B</sup>. *P. piscatorius* (Cl.) Ph<sup>B</sup>. Agelenidae (s. l.) *Agelena labyrinthica* (Cl.) QP<sup>C</sup> (cvs). \**Cryphoea silvicola* (C L K) SG<sup>A</sup>. \**Tegenaria agrestis* (Walck.) RS<sup>A</sup>. *T. domestica* (Cl.) Ru<sup>A</sup>. *T. ferruginea* (Panz.) LQ<sup>B</sup>. Hahnidae *Hahnia helveola* Sim. LF<sup>A</sup>. *H. pusilla* C L K LA<sup>B</sup>. Dictynidae *Brigittea latens* (F) VQ<sup>C</sup>. *Dictyna uncinata* Thor FS<sup>B</sup>. *Nigma flavescens* (Walck.) RS<sup>C</sup>. Anyphaenidae *Anyphaena accentuata* (Walck.) TF<sup>A</sup>. *A. furva* Miller FS<sup>D</sup>. Liocranidae *Liocranum rutilans* (Thor) FS<sup>A</sup>. *Agroeca proxima* (O P Cbr) MA<sup>B</sup>. *A. striata* Kulcz. TF<sup>C</sup>. *Phrurolithus minimus* C L K RS<sup>A</sup>. Clubionidae *Chiracanthum oncognathum* Herm. QP<sup>B</sup> (cvs). *C. virens* (Sund.) QP<sup>A</sup>. *Clubiona coerulea* L. K. PQ<sup>B</sup>. *C. compta* C L K TF<sup>A</sup>. *C. corticalis* (Walck.) MC<sup>B</sup>. \**C. lutescens* Westr. Ag<sup>A</sup>, Ph, SA. St. *C. neglecta* O P Cbr Mh<sup>C</sup> (fu). *C. pallidula* (Cl.) LA<sup>B</sup>. *C. phragmitis* C L K Ph<sup>A</sup>. \**C. reclusa* O P Cbr Ph<sup>A</sup>. *C. stagnatilis* Kulcz. Mh<sup>A</sup> (fu). *C. subsultans* Thor SG<sup>B</sup>. Gnaphosidae *Gnaphosa montana* (L. K.) RS<sup>B</sup>. \**G. opaca* Herm. RS<sup>A</sup>. *Haplodrassus cognatus* (Westr.) MC<sup>C</sup>. *H. kulczynski* Lohm. RS<sup>A</sup>. \**Micaria pulchra* (Sund.) Mh<sup>A</sup>, MA, Ru. *M. subopaca* Westr. MC<sup>C</sup>. *Scotophaeus quadripunctatus* (L.) TF<sup>A</sup>. *S. scutellatus* (L. K.) VQ<sup>A</sup>. \**Zelotes litvicolus* (L. K.) VQ<sup>D</sup>, MA. *Z. longipes* (L. K.) RS<sup>A</sup>. *Z. pusillus* (C L K) RS<sup>A</sup>. \**Z. pusillus* (C L K) RS<sup>A</sup>. Heteropodidae *Micrommata rosea* (Cl.) SA<sup>A</sup>. Philodromidae *Philodromus aureolus* (Cl.) Mh<sup>C</sup>. *P. fuscomarginatus* (Deg.) QP<sup>A</sup>. *P. margaritatus* (Cl.) LF<sup>B</sup>. *P. rufus* Walck. FS<sup>A</sup>. Thomisidae *Coriarachne depressa* (C L K) LF<sup>A</sup>. *Diaea dorsata* (F) TF<sup>A</sup>. *Oxyptila blackwalli* Sim. ACt<sup>B</sup>. \**O. praticola* (C L K) ACp<sup>B</sup>. Ru. *O. scabricula* (Westr.) RS<sup>A</sup> (di). \**O. simplex* (O P Cbr) Mh<sup>A</sup>. *O. trux* (Bl.) Mh<sup>A</sup>. *Pistius truncatus* (Pallas) FS<sup>A</sup>. *Proxysticus robustus* (Hahn) RS<sup>A</sup> (di, sj). *Thanatus arenarius* Thor VQ<sup>C</sup>. *T. formicinus* (Cl.) RS<sup>A</sup>. *T. subulvus*

(Mge) DP<sup>C</sup> \**Xysticus larva* (C L K) PQ<sup>L</sup>, iAC *X. striatipes* (L K) RS<sup>A</sup> *X. ulmi* (Hahn) Mh<sup>A\*</sup> Salticidae *Ballus depressus* (Walck) VQ<sup>A</sup> *Euophrys aequipes* (O P Cbr) RS<sup>A†</sup> *E. frontalis* (Walck) QP<sup>A</sup> (nu) *E. petrensis* (C L K) VQ<sup>L</sup> *Evarcha arcuata* (Cl) Mh<sup>A\*</sup> *E. laetabunda* (C L K) RS<sup>A</sup> *Heliophanus cupreus* (Walck) RS<sup>A</sup> *H. muscorum* (Walck) FS<sup>A</sup> CQ<sup>t</sup> *Neon laevis* (Sim) FS<sup>C</sup> (th) *N. reticulatus* (Bl) AC<sup>t</sup> *Pellenes nigroclivatus* (L K) RS<sup>A</sup> *P. in punctatus* (Walck) RS<sup>A</sup> *Phlaeus chrysops* (Poda) FS<sup>A†</sup> (th) *Saliticus scenicus* (Cl) RS<sup>C</sup> *Suticus pubescens* (F) VQ<sup>C</sup>

Table 3 Classification of spider species with regard to their optimum biotope and results of comparison of the optimum biotope of a species with other authors' statements  
RS Ru biotope categories (in some of them two similar biotopes are summarized semiartificial MA biotope is omitted), A maximum accord with most other authors, A D lower levels of accord, E discord, Z other authors' data are insufficient for this classification

Biotope	A	B, C D	E	Z	Σ
RS	48	18	1	-	67
DP	4	4	-	-	8
QP	17	11	-	1	29
VQ+CQ <sup>t</sup>	1	15	2	1	19
CQ <sup>p</sup>	1	2	1	-	4
LQ+PQ	-	10	2	2	14
FS	9	11	1	4	25
AC <sup>t</sup>	2	8	1	-	11
iAC	-	4	-	1	5
ACp+LA	2	15	-	-	17
MC	2	8	2	2	14
LF	5	6	1	-	12
TFI+TFs	8	5	-	1	14
BS	4	1	-	-	5
SA	1	8	-	2	11
Ag+CA	17	11	-	-	28
Mh	31	15	-	2	48
St	5	9	-	-	14
Ph	3	6	-	-	9
SG	11	7	-	1	19
Ru	3	1	-	-	4
Σ	174	175	11	17	377

The correspondence analysis of the whole material demonstrates chiefly the heavy differentiation of the distinctly (xero-)thermophilous biotopes (the complex rock steppe biotope including) and the hygropsychrophilous ones, this differentiation accords with general characteristics of various individual stations of these biotopes (cf Fig 1, see RS sj, slt, di, FS both th stations, all ps stations, etc )

### Comparison with other authors' statements about quantitative differentiation of spiders in terrain

#### a/ General principles of the processing

The results were compared with data in 179 publications of Czech and Slovak as well as foreign authors who had either treated the entire arachnofauna of a given territory in comprehensive studies based on their own research and using many sampling methods (i.e., not only pitfall trapping) and on collation of the works of others (Buchar 1989; Grimm 1985; Martin 1987; Miller 1971; Prószyński & Starega 1971; Schaeffer 1976; Tretzel 1952; Wichle 1953, 1956, 1960; etc.) or investigated specific ecosystems and relatively rare species. In case of the former authors, we took into account their data on the ecology of all the spiders given in these publications. We evaluated a total of 3 906 statements of other authors.

The comparison was simplified by the optimum biotope hypothesis. We may consider, on the basis of our computations, that each spider prefers only one biotope in our biotope spectrum, which could be considered the hypothetically optimal biotope. When a very small number down to only one specimen of a given species was captured, we considered that biotope a hypothetically optimal too. We have natural biotopes considered superior in relation to the semiartificial (MA) and disturbed (Ru) biotope.

Higher ecological units than individual biotopes with their biocoenoses had to be used for comparison in many cases in view of the manner of ecological specification implemented in most fundamental arachnological publications. All basic comparative units represented in our material are denoted in the chapter "Study area and sampling sites" by headlines in the list of sampling sites (16 inscriptions); other units quoted in the studied publications but not represented in our study are chiefly biotopes quoted above in the remarks on the "Summary of principal results" (see indexes a - h) and aspen, birch, poplar, moist oak and moist pine woods as well. "Mesophilous woods", unspecified by other authors, were compared only at formation level because various beech forests and woods in the KBR lie especially in cool biotopes.

Then we always tried to establish only the degree of accord of the optimal biotope with the biotope or environment mentioned in the given paper/papers as preferred, most often occupied or exclusively frequented by the given species. Three levels of accord were applied; the highest level of accord was always registered; for categorization, see the "Summary of principal results" (indexes A - D, Z).

#### b/ Comparison with each individual paper

With each spider species, the hypothetically optimal biotope was compared with statements in each of the most important papers dealing with a given species, i.e., each of the 3906 statements in papers of other authors was classified separately. If two or more preferred biotopes or factors were mentioned in the paper we based the comparison on the locality which agreed best with the hypothetically optimal biotope (e.g.,  $A + B = A$ ; or:  $A + E = A$ ;  $B + C = C$ , etc.) and the procedure was the same if the biotopes had not been specified (e.g., accord in ecosystem + accord in formation = C). The statements concerning each species in each of the papers were then always expressed by only one symbol.

Mostly ten papers were used for comparison per species; the average number of papers used per species was 7.0 for species living predominantly on vegetation and represented by more than 50 specimens in the material, up to 12.5 for epigeic species of the same abundance value, applying the system of classification of material into 12 groups based on the abundance and basic way of life (cf. Table 1, columns e1 up to v4). The numbers of papers differed only by

tenths if the results based on pitfall trapping were removed from the set. The proportion of studies with B+C+D results is large; the ratio of the numbers of results A: B+C+D: E was approx. 10: 80: 10 %.

c/ Comparison with these theses constructed by the synthesis of other authors' statements

The statements of many authors on the ecology of the species are rather general, or only some environmental factors have been specified. Therefore, we also used another comparative method reducing the spectrum of statements in such a way that other authors' statements from all studies relevant to each spider species were empirically synthesized into the form (thesis) of the highest specification level, according to the data by the majority of leading authors (e.g., moist environment, woods = moist woods, etc.). The hypothetically optimal biotope of each species was then compared with the respective thesis, and the result was to cover the whole thesis at the highest level (e.g., *Atypus affinis*: optimal biotope = RS, other authors: prefers warm, dry and open biotopes, particularly rock steppes and pine woods; result = C); specified biotopes not occurring in central Europe were classified only at the level of categories B - E, Z. Also, some negative findings of other authors (46 papers, 39 spider species) made the thesis more precise. In this synthesis the highest degree of accord (A) has been also documented in eleven further species, although it does not ensue from any single paper dealing with them, and it has been lost in nine species where it was found previously.

In total, the number of species where the highest degree (A) of accord with the observations of other authors had been documented increased to about 46.0 %. Almost half of the spectrum of species collected (46.6 %) are also species where accord has been documented at least at the levels of ecosystem and formation. Only 2.9 %, i.e. 11 species, are species in which our findings are at discord with most of the views of other authors; most of them (9 spp.) are represented in total material only by 1 - 17 individuals; the remaining two species, *Lepthyphantes pallidus* and *Meioneta rurestris*, are more frequent (75 and 128 individuals) but specific causes of this discord can be admitted (*L. pallidus*: insufficient description of optimal environment in the papers of other authors; *M. rurestris*: massive aeronautical transport upon prominent rocks).

Also, we examined the reduction of relevance of results for these comparisons due to small number of specimens of a particular species and/or to its preference of other strata/stratocoenoses. Therefore the results were partly summed up (see Table 1) and the numbers of species in the respective subsets and groups were compared.

There is no difference between the number of species which were represented by ten or less specimens and the number of species with 11 or more specimens with regard to the frequency of species with the highest degree of accord (A); there is a significant difference between the number of species represented by only one specimen and the sum of other species with this regard, but the value of significance is close by the significance threshold ( $N=378$ ,  $\chi^2=3.87$ ,  $\alpha>0.05$ ). The highest degree of accord (A) is significantly more frequent in the subsets of species living exclusively or prevalently on the ground (i.e., subset e, x in Table 1) than in the species living predominantly in upper strata (subset v in Table 1), although even in this case the differences are near the threshold of significance, especially when comparing subsets x and v; there is no difference between subsets e and x with regard to A-frequency (e/v:  $\alpha<0.04$ ; x/v:  $\alpha<0.05$ ; e/x:  $\alpha>0.50$ ). It is possible to suppose, however, that the few captures of specimens in subset v (only 8.7 % of the whole material) is the only cause of the low significance.

Besides, we examined the relevance of the classification of individual samples by the relative representation of species, each of which is taken as a representative of only its optimum biotope, for the determination of a respective biotope from which the sample was taken, as well as for the identification with the respective station. Therefore, the results were employed in an

arachnological classification of stations, based on the simple discrimination rule (see Table 2). The efficiency of this classification of the given samples is very reliable (Table 2: maxima of values are concentrated in the diagonal). Deviations are caused principally by the erroneous classification of samples in *Cynancho-Quercetum poentosum* and loamy *Tilio-Fagetum*; this fact can confirm opinions that both these habitat types are transitional from the synecological standpoint (cf. Šmaha & Pěnička 1989). Classification of spider samples into *Melampyro-Carpinetum* seems to be problematical; but difficulties can also be caused by the diversity of this association, our MC stands including. For example, the facies fm with *Fraxinus excelsior* and *Mercurialis perennis* was theoretically identified by means of our spider samples with the *Lunario-Aceretum*, which is included in the same phytocoenological alliance as the *Mercuriali-Fraxinetum* association. The dispersion of RS and SG spider samples in Table 2 reflects adequately the character of stations, while samples from the most typical stands (RS: all four stations on basic substrate - di, sj, sll, slt; SG: all three stands where there were allegedly spruce trees before cultivation as well) have been exactly classified.

With respect to assessments quoted by other authors, above all, the results in 104 species in categories B - Z, especially in those species of which more than ten species were caught and which were more abundant in some biotopes in view of the  $a_j$  homogeneity test, need to be taken into consideration as newly ascertained qualities.

There is also the remarkable equality of incidence of some species in different biotopes (without significant differentiation of their abundance), which can also document the connexion of these species with a special biochore and/or stratum of substrate - e.g., the species *Segestria senoculata*, *Walckenaeria dysderoides*, *W. obtusa*, *Pisaura mirabilis*, *Evarcha falcata*. This connexion can be cause of some discrepancies between our results and those of other authors in some species (*W. dysderoides*).

#### Differences in mechanical permeability of environment

The results of our sampling and processing method have demonstrated that differences in abundance and incidence of spiders are predominantly correlated with factors other than mechanical permeability. Theoretically, it is impaired by stones, grasses, etc. or the value of the horizontal penetration activity, typical of individual species.

Comparisons of optimal biotopes for individual spider species with the postulates of other authors, who had used various methods for collecting spiders, resulted in an accord of our results and most other authors' postulates in 92.6 % of the whole species spectrum. Other facts could also be taken into account: no congruity has been proved in general between differences in the level and frequency of accord with these authors and differences in superficial structures of soil surface of a biotope, as they are given in biotope characteristics (cf. Tables 2, 3); accord of the significant differences of percentage incidence of spider species in the catch of respective ecomorphological groups with the trends given by the results of other computations; results of the correspondence analysis as they were shown (for various mesophilous biocoenoses see, e.g., the results of the scaling method, Šmaha & Pěnička 1989).



### Application of results in general biocoenological research and in estimating anthropogenic impacts

A precise arachnological assessment can be made with complete samples, by comparing them with the standard by ordination of the similarity values in at least a two-dimensional space. The scaling of similarity values of complete samples is evidently more precise than the correspondence analysis in view of differentiation of communities which do not deviate much from the ecological medium, and some of extreme biotopes (e.g., fields of scree) as well (cf. Šmaha & Pěnička 1989). Nevertheless, special programming equipment and qualification are a prerequisite to using both these methods and some others similar in complexity, many arachnologists will probably continue to make simpler direct analyses of samples by means of ecological classification of individual spider species.

Therefore, the determination of optimum biotope for spiders and the  $\chi^2$ -test can be applied for evaluating possible differences of a studied environment from other biocoenoses or elementary units by comparing the results in question with the present ones, shown in Table 2, biotopes CQp, TFI and 11 spider species, the optimum biotope of which was not obviously found, while it discords with the majority of other authors (see "Summary of principal results", species marked with the index E), should be then omitted.

The results presented in this study can be applied to many other biotopes and biocoenoses, particularly in central Europe, with similar properties as have the ones presented here. However, biotope preferences of various species whose ecological optima are in another altitudinal zones and whose centres of occurrence are beyond the biogeographic region of central Europe can be diminished in comparison with our region - e.g., *Callobius claustrarius* (cf. Braendegaard in Bucher 1972, Thaler 1980), *Hilaira excisa* (cf. Braun in Baehr 1985), *Zelotes puritanus* (cf. Platnick & Shadab 1983).

Arachnological analyses can also effectively contribute to general characterization of various biocoenoses and habitats and also make it more accurate, as can be demonstrated by our results.

### CONCLUSIONS

The principal results are presented here of a study of the quantitative differentiation of spider occurrence in many stations of a relatively wide spectrum of biotopes with xerothermophilous to hygropsychrophilous biocoenoses, the study is based on pitfall trapping.

Testing homogeneity of spider abundance in biotopes indicated that 75 species of a total of 378 determined species are significantly more abundant in only one biotope and 152 species in two up to eight biotopes of a series of 25 natural biotopes.

Homogeneity tests and other computations, or the record of mere presence (finding of several down to one specimens) denoted, in all 378 species, only one biotope with the highest occurrence of the spider that could be considered optimum biotope.

In the comparisons, we used 3 906 ecological statements of other authors and found full accord of our findings and theses with those of other authors in almost half of the species, accord at lower levels (the lowering being caused mostly by lack of exact data in arachnological literature) in almost the same proportion of species spectrum, and discord in mere 29 % (11 species).

The frequency of the highest accord in identification of the optimal biotopes with the statements of other authors was not significantly lower even in species which were represented by ten or less specimens and in species living temporarily on herbs or in higher strata, it was lower

in species living on herbs or in higher strata predominantly, but the difference was close to the significance threshold.

A majority of samples of individual stations were exactly classified by means of a discrimination based on the simple computation into the identical natural biotope with respective plant association where there were collected. We failed in this classification only in a few cases of transitional biotopes with *Cynancho-Quercetum poetosum* subassociation and the loamy forest type of submontane *Tilio cordatae-Fagetum*, spruce stands of the *Equiseto-Abietetum* habitat types where allegedly no spruce took part before artificial changes, complex stands of *Melampyro-Carpinetum* and some small stations of the complex rocky steppe biotope considerably influenced by their surroundings.

Ordination of samples based on the canonical correspondence analysis of abundance values of individual spider species shows that the arachnological differentiation of various stations of (xero-)thermophilous biotopes (rocky steppes including) as well as hygropsychrophilous ones accords with the basic characteristics of the environment.

The results of mathematical processing of our material and of comparison with other authors' statements demonstrate that the efficiency of the pitfall method used as regards identification of the preferred or optimal biotope was not impaired by differences in the penetration activity of the individual species and in the mechanical permeability of the environment given by the details of surface structuring.

We can contribute to a better assessment of natural communities preferred by individual spider species, especially by 104 species whose abundance in natural biotopes is significantly differentiated and whose ecological preferences have been hitherto very differently specified by other authors or are insufficiently known (above all, 86 species of which more than ten specimens were caught).

The simple discrimination based on the results and relevant analysis of samples for evaluation of biota and biotope changes is presented.

The results can also be applied to various other homologous communities. They prove that arachnology can make a substantial contribute to the biocoenological classification and applied ecology as well.

#### A c k n o w l e d g e m e n t s

We wish to thank Prof Dr Jan Buchar, Prof Dr Jan Jeník of the Faculty of Sciences, Charles University in Prague, Dr Jan Kolbek and other research workers of the Geobotanical Dept. of the Botanical Institute, Czech Academy of Sciences at Průhonice, and Dr František Mladý for providing important information. This study was kindly facilitated by the Local Organisation of the Czech Union for Nature Protection at Křivoklát, State Monument Care and Nature Protection Centre of Central Bohemia, and Dipl. Ing. Petr Štěpánek, administrator of the Board of the Křivoklátsko Protected Landscape Area. Some important equipment was kindly lent by the Department of Zoology, Charles University in Prague. We also thank Dr Petr Pěnička (Computation Centre of the Institute of Biophysics and Nuclear Medicine, Charles University in Prague) and Dr Jan Lepš (Biological Centre of Czech Academy of Sciences, České Budějovice) for assistance in the mathematical processing of data.

#### R E F E R E N C E S

- BAEHR B. 1935: Vergleichende Untersuchungen zur Temperatur-, Feuchtigkeits- und Helligkeitspräferenz bei einigen Arten der Lycosidae, Hahnidae und Linyphiidae (Araneae). *Spixiana (Muench)* 8: 101-118.  
BUCHAR J. 1972: [Analysis of the spider fauna of Bohemia]. Habil. Thesis. Charles Univ., Praha, 394 pp (in Czech)  
BUCHAR J. 1989: [The knowledge of the present Bohemian arachnofauna and its application for evaluation of development of natural conditions]. DrSc. Thesis, Charles Univ., Praha, 171 pp (in Czech)  
GRIMM U. 1985. Die Gnaphosidae Mitteleuropas (Arachnida, Araneae) *Abh. Naturwiss. Ver. Hamburg, N.F.* 26: 1-318.



- MARTIN D. 1987. *Zur Bedeutung von Habitatsstrukturen im Nischenbildungsprozess. Ethökologisch-autökologische Untersuchungen an Spinnen (Araneae)*. Dr. Thesis. Institut für Landschaftsforschung und Naturschutz, Halle, 187 pp.
- MILLER F. 1971. Řád pavouci - Araneida [The order spiders - Araneida]. Pp. 51-306. In: DANIEL M. & ČERNÝ V.: *Klíč zvířeny ČSSR IV [The key of the fauna of the ČSSR]*. Praha: Academia (in Czech).
- MORAVEC J., HUSOVÁ M., NEUHAUSL R. & NEUHAUSLOVÁ-NOVOTNÁ Z. 1982. *Die Assoziationen mesophiler und hygrophiler Laubwälder in der Tschechischen Sozialistischen Republik*. Praha: Academia, 292 pp.
- NEUHAUSL R. & NEUHAUSLOVÁ-NOVOTNÁ Z. 1967. Syntaxonomische Revision der azidophilen Eichen- und Eichenmischwälder im westlichen Teile der Tschechoslowakei. *Folia Geobot., Phytotax* (Praha) 2: 1-41.
- NEUHAUSL R. & NEUHAUSLOVÁ-NOVOTNÁ Z. 1977. Cynancho-Quercetum Passarge 1957 in den Tschechischen Ländern. Pp. 89-93. In: *Studia Phytol. in Honorem A. C. Horvát*. Praha: Botan. ústav ČSAV.
- PLATNICK N. L. & SHADAB M. U. 1983. A revision of the American spiders of the genus *Zelotes* (Araneae, Gnaphosidae). *Bull. Am. Mus. Natur. Hist.* 174 (2): 1-190.
- PROSZYŃSKI J. & STAREGA W. 1971. *Katalog fauny Polski. Catalogus faunae Poloniae. XXXIII. Pajaki Aranei*. Warszawa. Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, 388 pp.
- SCHAEFFER M. 1976. Experimentelle Untersuchungen zum Jahreszyklus und zur Überwinterung von Spinnen (Araneida). *Zool. Jb., Syst.* 103: 127-289.
- ŠMAHA J. 1983a. Beitrag zur Erkenntnis der Arachnofauna einiger Biozönosen des Křivokláts-Gebietes (Mittelböhmen). *Věst. Čs. Společ. Zool.* 47: 126-136.
- ŠMAHA J. 1983b. Spinnenfauna (Araneae) in verschiedenen Biozönosen und Klimatopen der Křivokláts-Umgebung. *Bohem. Centr.* 12: 183-198 (in Czech, Germ. abstr.).
- ŠMAHA J. 1985. Einige Ergebnisse der Arachnofaunaforschung im Staatlichen Schutzgebiet Týřov. *Bohem. Centr.* 14: 189-224 (in Czech, Germ. abstr.).
- ŠMAHA J. & PÉNIČKA P. 1989. Differentiation of the ground-surface spider fauna of the climax pattern in different plant communities in central Bohemia. *Acta Entomol. Bohemoslov.* 86: 442-458.
- TER BRAAK C. J. F. 1980. *Canoco - a Fortran program for canonical community ordination by (partial) (detrended) (canonical) correspondence analysis, principal components analysis and redundancy analysis (version 2.1)*. Wageningen: The Institute of Applied Computer Science Statistics, Dept. Wageningen, 95 pp.
- THALER K. 1980. Die Spinnenfauna der Alpen. ein zoogeographischer Versuch. Pp. 389-404. In: GRUBER J. (ed.). *Verh. 8. Int. Arachnol. Kongr. Wien*. H. Eggermann.
- TRETZEL E. 1952. Zur Ökologie der Spinnen (Araneae). Autökologie der Arten im Raum von Erlangen. *Sber. Phys.-Med. Soc. Erlangen* 75: 36-131.
- WIEHLE H. 1953. IX. Orthognatha - Cribellatae - Haplogynae - Entelegynae (Pholcidae, Zodaridae, Oxyopidae, Mimetidae, Nestidae). *Die Tierwelt Deutschlands und der angrenzenden Meeressteile nach ihrem Merkmalen und nach ihrer Lebensweise*. 42. Teil. Jena: Fischer Verlag, 150 pp.
- WIEHLE H. 1956. 28. Familie Linyphiidae - Baldachinspinnen. *Die Tierwelt Deutschlands und der angrenzenden Meeressteile nach ihrem Merkmalen und nach ihrer Lebensweise*. 44. Teil. Jena: Fischer Verlag, 337 pp.
- WIEHLE H. 1960. XI. Micryphantidae - Zwergspinnen. *Die Tierwelt Deutschlands und der angrenzenden Meeressteile nach ihrem Merkmalen und nach ihrer Lebensweise*. 47. Teil. Jena: Fischer Verlag, 620 pp.

## APPENDIX 1

### Notes on mathematical processing

#### Basic terminology

- $N_k$  number of species in  $k$ -th station of biotope  $j$ ;
- $N^{(j)}$  number of all species in our material for which biotope  $j$  is the optimal one with respect to the discrimination results;
- $N^{(j)}_k$  the number of species of the species subset of the  $jk$  station sample, for which the biotope where the sample was taken is optimal;
- $N^{(u)}_k, N^{(y)}_k$  the number of species of the  $jk$  station sample for which the biotope  $u$  or  $y$ , i.e. different from that of which the sample was taken, is the optimal one ( $j \longleftrightarrow u, y$ );
- $*N^{(j)}_k$  the maximal value of the relations  $N^{(j)}_k/N^{(j)}, N^{(u)}_k/N^{(u)}, \dots, N^{(y)}_k/N^{(y)}$ ;
- $n_i$  total number of specimens of species  $i$  in biotope  $j$ ;
- $n^A_j$  number of spiders of ecomorphological group  $A$  in biotope  $j$ ;
- $n^B_j$  number of spiders of ecomorphological group  $B$  in biotope  $j$ ;
- $r$  number of biotopes;
- $z_j$  number of traps in biotope  $j$ ;
- $z$  total number of traps;

$\%_A = 100 \cdot n_{ij} / n^A_j$ ,  $\%_B = 100 \cdot n_{ij} / n^B_j$  percentage incidence of a species  $i$  ( $t$ ) in particular ecomorphological group  $A$ ,  $B$  and in a given biotope  $j \longleftrightarrow v$ ;  $i \in A$ ; ( $t \in B$ );

#### Testing methods

The following are the homogeneity tests - the method of good fitness used (tests of independence were widely applied too):

a) tests of relative abundance in biotopes; differences in relative abundance are found using the test based on the supposition that the selection of the total number of specimens caught in particular biotopes ( $n_1, \dots, n_r$ ) has a multinomial distribution with parameters  $r$

and  $p_1, \dots, p_r$ , where  $p_j = z_j / z$

$n_{ij}$	$z_j$
$n_{ir}$	$z_r$

contingency table, compared values

Application of the results of the optimum biotope discrimination to the classification of samples (Tab. 3) The subset ( $N^{Dj}_k, N^{Dj}_k, \dots, N^{Dj}_k$ ) whose value of the criterion  $N^{Dj}_k$  is highest of all other subsets of a given sample determines the biotope  $j^D$  of a sample

**New species of *Anthaxia* from China (Coleoptera: Buprestidae)**

Pavel SVOBODA

Svákov 710/III, CZ-392 01 Soběslav, Czech Republic

Received July 30, 1995, accepted October 5, 1995  
Published December 27, 1995

**Taxonomy, *Anthaxia cyaneonigra* sp. n., China**

**Abstract.** *Anthaxia (Melanthaxia) cyaneonigra* sp. n. is described, illustrated and compared with related species

***Anthaxia (Melanthaxia) cyaneonigra* sp. n.**

**DESCRIPTION.** Large, flat, rather lustrous species without sexual dichromatism: whole body black, prosternum with bronze, metasternum and abdominal sternites with blue lustre, lateral frontal margins sometimes with blue tinge, frons, anterior pronotal angles and ventral side of body with long, elytra with short pubescence, frontal pubescence bicolorous: basal part of hairs darker, brown or black, distal part grey, pubescence of elytra and ventral side light grey. Head relatively small, anterior margin of clypeus slightly, roundly incurved, frons slightly vaulted, with more or less distinct longitudinal groove and with two transversely situated small rounded depressions, vertex wide, about 2.0 times wider than width of eye, structure of head consisting of small rounded and polygonal cells without distinct central grains, antennae rather long, about 1.3 times longer than length of pronotum, antennal segments 4-5 triangular, segments 6-10 trapezoidal, somewhat longer than wide, last antennal segment shortly elliptical.

Pronotum wide, 1.8-2.1 wider than long, with shallow medial groove which is more distinct only in posterior third and with two rounded depressions, situated transversely before middle of pronotum, laterobasal pronotal depressions prolonged anteriorly and form two oval depressions in middle of pronotal length [pronotal depressions resemble these in *A. quadripunctata* (Linnaeus, 1758)], structure of pronotum consisting of polygonal cells with large central grains laterally, cells are transversely prolonged in middle part of pronotum and their sides form transverse wrinkles, roundly bent toward medial groove, anterior part of pronotum with irregular grainy structure, each half of pronotal base with smooth, small lustrous field, pronotal shape very variable (Fig. 3). Scutellum pentagonal, as long as wide.

Elytra subparallel, slightly enlarged at two third of their length, 1.7 - 1.8 times longer than wide, tapering part of elytra (apical third) slightly rounded, with indistinct lateral serration, elytral epipleurae narrow, almost reaching elytral apex, elytra uneven, with several shallow depressions, elytral structure with somewhat rough, regular granulation, elytra more lustrous than pronotum.


Ventral side of body lustrous, finely ocellate, structure of prosternum somewhat more distinct, metatrochanters of male with small, blunt spine (Fig. 4), anal sternite simply rounded apically, lateral margins very slightly S-shaped and shortly serrated before apex in both sexes (Fig. 5).

Legs relatively short, tibiae straight, male meso- and metatibiae with well developed inner serration in apical third (Fig. 2), tibiae of females not modified.

Aedeagus (Fig. 1) short and robust, slightly enlarged in apical half.

Sexual dichromatism undeveloped.

LENGTH. 6.75-8.30 mm (holotype 7.00 mm); width; 2.60-3.30 mm (holotype 2.90 mm).



Figs 1-5. *Anthaxia (Melanthaxia) cyaneonigra* sp. n.. 1 - aedeagus; 2 - male metatibia; 3 - structure and variability of pronotum; 4 - metatrochanter of male; 5 - anal sternite

Host plant. *Larix* sp.

DISTRIBUTION. China, Yunnan.

TYPE MATERIAL. Holotype (male): China, Yunnan, Zhongdian, 1994 (ex larva), E. Kučera leg. Allotype (female). China, Yunnan, Zhongdian, 16-21 vi.1994, E. Kučera leg. Paratypes (3 males, 10 females). the same data as in holotype (3 males, 1 female); the same data as in allotype (2 females); China, Yunnan, Zhongdian, 17-19.vi.1995, E. Kučera leg (7 females). Holotype in the collection of E. Kučera, allotype in the collection of the author, paratypes in the collection of the National Museum, Prague, E. Kučera and the author

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS. *Anthaxia cyaneonigra* sp. n. belongs by its pronotal structure and relatively long grey frontal pubescence to the *A. reticulata* Motschulsky, 1859 species group (Richter 1949). It also resembles by its pronotal structure *A. acutangula* Motschulsky, 1860 and it differs from it by darker frontal pubescence (white or grey in *A. acutangula*), by more developed pronotal wrinkles, by straight male metatibiae with distinct inner serration (male metatibiae slightly bent inward, with indistinct serration in *A. acutangula*), by well developed laterobasal depressions (undeveloped in *A. acutangula*), by shorter and robust aedeagus and by colouration of ventral side of body (black with bronze lustre in *A. acutangula*).

#### REFERENCES

- RICHTER A. A. 1949. *Fauna SSSR, Zlatki 1, Tom 13, vyp. 2*. [Fauna of the USSR, Buprestidae 1, Vol. 1, sect. 2] Moskva - Leningrad: AN SSSR Publ. House, 255 pp (in Russian).

**Mating behaviour of *Aphodius (Chilothorax) distinctus*  
(Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae: Aphodiinae)**

Jan VITNER

Library of the Czech Entomological Society, Viničná 7, CZ-128 00 Praha 2, Czech Republic

Received May 13, 1995; accepted October 5, 1995

Published December 27, 1995

**Ethology, mating behaviour, Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae, Aphodiinae, *Aphodius distinctus*, Central European populations**

**Abstract** Field observations made in localities in Bohemia, Moravia and Slovakia and supplemented by those of captive beetles have been used to reconstruct the course of the mating behaviour of *Aphodius (Chilothorax) distinctus* (O. F. Müller, 1776), from the moment a male finds a female up to their copulation. The phenomenon of chained pairs moving over the surface of dung is described in detail. The conditions under which this occurs on the surface or inside of the dung pads, and whether the moving of pairs of *A. distinctus* in the chained position over the surface of the dung is exceptional within the genus *Aphodius* Illiger, 1798, are among the problems discussed. The importance of the successive phases of the mating behaviour is hypothesized for other *Aphodius* spp. showing similar bionomics, the same as their mating strategy and the linkage between copulation and oviposition. The discussion also touches the problem of the number of generations produced by *A. distinctus* within the year and the methods of observing the mating behaviour of non-nesting Scarabaeoidea.

#### INTRODUCTION

So far, the reproductive behaviour of coprophagous Scarabaeoidea has been studied in greater detail only in the subfamily Scarabaeinae (see Halffter & Matthews 1966, Halffter & Edmonds 1982). More detailed descriptions of the mating behaviour of the members of the subfamily Aphodinae are more or less non-existent. Only Schmidt (1935: 333) describes the mating behaviour in the genus *Aphodius*: "Die Männchen sieht man dann oft zu mehreren hinter einem Weibchen herkriechen. Nach einigen vergeblichen Versuchen, bei denen die Männchen vom Weibchen abgerutscht sind, findet dann die Begattung statt." However, it is not quite clear under what conditions the author observed the beetles, as he only states: "Ehe die zur Zucht bestimmten Tiere in den Blumentopf gesetzt wurden, kamen sie auf einige Tage in flache Blechschachteln, in denen die Copula besser als in den grossen Töpfen beobachtet werden konnte", moreover, his text does not show clearly whether his observations involved *Aphodius fimetarius*, *A. distinctus* and *A. prodromus*, or just one of these species (Schmidt 1935: 293, 333-334). Landin (1961: 194) states that he observed sexual promiscuity (his text suggests that this was probably a field observation) in *A. quadriguttatus*, *A. distinctus* and *A. sphacelatus*, but he gives no particulars.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

This paper reports on all author's observations of the mating behaviour of *A. distinctus*, made in 1985 to 1993 within his faunistic investigations on coprophagous Scarabaeoidea made exclusively by individual sampling.

In observing their mating behaviour on the surface of dung pads in the field, the beetles were not disturbed, save for a single exception (see part A2). They were observed at a distance of about 50 to 10 cm. Observations inside the excrements were made by carefully taking them into pieces by hand.

In most cases, including observations made on captive beetles, tentative readings of temperature were made for about five minutes (uninterrupted in captivity) by a non-calibrated thermometer. In the field, the air temperature was measured by a thermometer lying on the ground, in measuring soil temperature, its lower end was pushed into the soil about 5 cm deep. In captivity, the lower end of the thermometer was inserted into the excrement about 1 cm deep. When taking these measurements, the thermometer was not shaded (unless otherwise stated).

The captive beetles were kept in glass jars 250 ccm in volume, covered by perforated metal lids, in soil sampled in the place where they were collected. The earth filled roughly three quarters of the volume of the jar, pieces of the excrement in which the beetles were found were added to its upper surface. The jars were placed in a room with ambient air temperature around 10°C. Observations on captive beetles were made in open glass cages with metal frames, for particulars see Observations, part B.

The terms "male", "female" and "pair", used in descriptions of observations of pairs of larger numbers of beetles moving in the chained position, should (but for exceptions) be taken as an interpretation licence, as the observed beetles were not sexed in most cases. If their sex was determined, this fact is explicitly stated in the text (see Observations, parts A2 and B1).

P. Šrůta is the author of all photographs. They were taken with a Rolleiflex SL 35 (optics Oberkochen), three National PE 300 flashlights with National releasers, on Ilford FP 4 film. P. Morávek made the film shots using a Leica Super camera and Agfa S8 colour film. The negatives of the photographs are deposited in coll. Šrůta, the film footage in coll. Morávek.

Unless otherwise stated, I am the author of the observations. The nomenclature was adopted from Král (1993). The numbers following the names of localities indicate quadrats of the grid map of the former Czechoslovakia, used in mapping the insect fauna (Zelený 1972). Time data refer to CET.

## OBSERVATIONS

**Terminological note:** In the text to follow, I have employed the terms "chained position", "incomplete precopulatory position" and "complete precopulatory position". The first term is explained in part A1. The term "incomplete precopulatory position" denotes the situation in which the male has already mounted the resting female (the precopulatory position and copulation take place in resting, not in moving, the beetles copulate in a position with heads pointing in the same direction) but his hind legs touch the substrate (during copulation, the female may rest even on a slanting or vertical part of the substrate) and the abdomens of the two individuals are mutually separated so that copulation cannot immediately take place. In the "complete precopulatory position" the mounted male holds the female with all pairs of legs and their abdomens are so close that, after a minor change in the male's position, copulation can immediately take place. Using the term "precopulatory" is a schematization, see part 2 of the Discussion.

### A. Field observations of the course of the mating behaviour

This part contains observations during which it was possible to follow the course of the mating behaviour either systematically or only fragments of it. The records have been arranged chronologically.

1 Slovakia occ., Kuchyňa (7568 69), 12 x 1985, about 300-350 m a.s.l.

**CIRCUMSTANCES:** A pasture on a slope close to the village in the direction to Vysoká Hůl (Male Karpaty Mts), mostly facing W and NW, rugged terrain, cattle droppings. Observations made between 9.30 and 12.00 h (mating behaviour observed roughly between 10.00 and 11.30 h). Overcast (intermittent periods of drizzle during preceding night) clearing up from ca. 11.30 h and bright sky after 12.00 (thus, mating behaviour observed during the overcast period). Air temperature at 9.30 h 17.5 °C, at 12.00 21.5 °C.

**OBSERVATIONS:** More than of 200 specimens of *A. distinctus* were found moving over the surface of about forty quite fresh cattle cake-like droppings ca. 15-30 cm across and 3-5 cm thick, probably produced the same morning or the preceding evening (mashy consistence, crust not yet developed, with just a thin skin on the surface). In about 30 to 40 cases the walking female was followed by a male that held it with his fore legs at the outer margin of elytra in their distal third part (this situation is referred to below as the "chained position" or "chain") and walked (was not dragged) behind the female. In about ten cases, one female was the object of almost simultaneous attempts of two to



four males to get hold. In such encounters, the competing males tried to push away or throw down the rivals or when they grasped the female simultaneously, to throw down and push away the rival or rivals at the same time. In this fight they used blows and pressure of legs, lifting and pushing with the clypeus and pressure of the pronotum. In some cases the attacking male was dragged by the female and the rival when resting on the substrate with only one or two legs. Sometimes the unsuccessful attacker refrained from further attempts but more often he attempted to throw off his rival several times, mostly until the chained pair crawled under the upper outer edge of the cattle cake (the one that develops a crust the earliest). When several males attacked a female at the same time (i.e. none of them was firmly fastened to it at first) the fight was chaotic and the positions of the males at the posterior end of the crawling female rapidly changed several times until one of them got fast. Thereafter the fight continued according to the defender-attacker pattern.

In at least ten cases, several males in a chain crawled attached to a female. Such "simple" chains consisted of three to eight individuals. In at least five cases a "branched" chain developed: additional males came running over the surface of the dung pad and attached themselves to the chain at its side, not only right behind the female but even to the males in the middle of the chain or at its end (except for the last one). At the same time, they attempted to push away the attacked individual and to attach themselves to the elytra of the beetle in front of them. At most three such "lateral branches" were observed in a chain at one time. In several (three or four) cases the lateral branches consisted of two individuals: a male attached to one attacking the chain from the side.

Sometimes the males attacking the chain from either side succeeded in "breaking" it, which resulted in a change in the arrangement of the beetles: either the thrown-off male managed to resume its previous place or the attacking one succeeded, and the one that had been pushed off either did or did not fall in behind. The "broken" chain either connected or only its fore part continued to walk, whereas the rear part "dismissed", the event of such cases was never regular. In two cases, in which two chains of beetles walked over one pad, one of the chains "broke" when attacked by a "lateral branch". Its rear part fell apart and the males that had formed this part moved to the other chain to which they partly tried to attach at the end and partly attack it from one side.

The movement of the beetles behaving in this way followed an irregular and zigzagging path, and the time spent moving in chains of two or more parts varied. In some cases the beetles moved in this way for less than one minute and then crawled under the skin covering the surface of the dung, in other cases the "walk" lasted for several minutes, the chain moving hither and thither under incessant changes of the arrangement of the struggling beetles. At most, this was observed to last ten minutes but the "development" of this chain of several beetles was not followed up until the end (i.e. until it divided into individual beetles or one pair and individual beetles, or until they disappeared under the surface skin). The movements of the beetles in the chained position over the surface of the dung pad mostly lasted shorter in the cases of chains of two than in the cases of chains consisting of more members and developing in a complicated way.

The first chain was found at the very beginning of my inspection of quite fresh cattle droppings. When I finished my observation of the mating behaviour of *A. distinctus* the chains still occurred on the surface of the dung pads, without any visible decrease in their numbers. Thus, the time at which the movements of paired *A. distinctus* in the chained position began and ended was not recorded on that day.

In the course of my observations, I removed the skin of several cattle cakes. Below it I found several individuals of *A. distinctus* but never a pair in the chained or precopulatory position or in copula. In only one case of a cake covered by a thin crust (estimated one day older than those on which moving chains of beetles were observed), I found, beneath the crust, one pair in complete precopulatory position together with about 30 individual *A. distinctus* (see also part C9).

## 2 Slovakia mer. centr., Gemerské Dechtáre (7786), 12 x 1986, about 230-250 m a.s.l.

**CIRCUMSTANCES.** A pasture about 1.5 km W of the village, on the bottom and surrounding slopes of a valley, facing in different directions, cattle droppings. Observation made between 14.40 and 17.50 h (mating behaviour observed between 15.30 and 16.25 h, see text below for particulars). Warm sunny weather, the same as the day before. Temperature tentatively measured at 9.00 h in the locality Hajnáčka (about 2 km SW of the observation site): air 20°C, soil 13°C. **OBSERVATION.** Dung pads of roughly the same age and size were present in the locality. I inspected about 30 fresh pads already covered with a thin (ca 1 mm) crust. The cakes were about 15-30 cm across and 2-5 cm thick. The observation was started on the same series of dung pads on whose surface the mating behaviour was observed later. The period between 15.30 and 16.25 hours was spent exclusively in intense observation of mating behaviour. The observation site was situated on the fairly even valley bottom and was sunlit throughout the period, i.e., already from 14.40 h onwards. At 16.25 h, with the mating behaviour still under way on the excrements under observation, their observation was interrupted and further droppings were inspected on the bottom of the valley and on the surrounding slopes but mating behaviour was no longer ascertained.

Between 15.30 and 16.25 h, I observed around 100 *A. distinctus* walking over the surface of the dung pads, including about 20 pairs in the chained position. (Two photographs were taken to document this, but their quality is

unfit for reproduction.) Eight pairs were collected and their sex determined: the fore individuals were female, the rear ones male (material in coll. Vitner). Chains of more than two individuals were not found.

I observed "chained" pairs emerging from beneath the crust of the dung through openings or minute fissures. Having walked over the surface of the cake for some time (see below), these pairs crawled under the crust again. At least twice did I observe pairs that formed the "chain" when the male met the female on the surface of the dung pad. In one case the male was observed sliding off the female (this pair was collected and sexed) and the "chain" broke, the male getting in front of the female and walking over the dung in front of her without attempting to grasp her again. In one case two individuals met on the surface of the excrement and mutually "smeled" their heads and pronota, moving the widespread lamellae of antennal clubs in their immediate proximity (I failed to ascertain whether they touched) and turning their bodies so that they also could "examine" the abdomen of the partner. This behaviour took about 5-8 seconds and then the beetles parted in opposite directions (suggesting that both were male; they were not collected to find out).

The movement of chained pairs over the surface of the excrements lasted 30 seconds on average, at most around two minutes (regardless of whether the chained pair emerged from beneath the crust or whether they formed the chain on the surface of the dung pad during observation). The end and the probable onset of these movements were not determined. The fact that it was observed only 45 minutes after the beginning of work in the locality does not imply, due to its relatively low frequency and short duration (cf. part A1), that the beetles would perform it only after 15.30 h.

The next day (13.x.) the observations were made in the same locality and on the same excrements on which the mating behaviour was observed previously. No pair *A. distinctus* was observed on the surface of the cakes between 9.30 and 13.30 hours. The only individual that emerged on the surface of the excrement and moved over it was observed at 9.55 h. The weather conditions on 13.x. were basically comparable with those on 12.x. - warm and sunny weather, the observation site exposed to direct sunlight.

Copulating pairs of *A. distinctus* or those in the static precopulatory position were found neither on 12.x. nor on 13.x.

### 3. Slovakia or, Zádiel (7390-91), 17.x.1986, about 200-250 m a.s.l.

CIRCUMSTANCES. A pasture at the foot of a carstic plateau SW of the mouth of the Zádielská dolina Valley, a gentle southfacing slope, sheep droppings. Research made between 12.30 and 17.10 h (the described observation around 15 h). Weather dry and warm, sunny (the same as for a number of previous days). Temperature measured at 12.45 h: air 29 °C, soil 18 °C.

OBSERVATION. A pair of *A. distinctus* in the static chained position was found inside a fresh dung (produced on the same day) about 6x4x3 cm in size. When the excrement was opened the male was "examining" the female's abdomen with spread lamellae of his antennal clubs and was trying to mount her. Thereupon he dismounted (obviously having not found a suitable posture to assume the precopulatory position) and tried to mount once again. Then, probably due to disturbance, he dismounted and ignored the female thereafter. This sequence of mating behaviour lasted at most 30 seconds. During his attempts at mounting the female, the male continuously moved his antennae with spread clubs over her abdomen. There is no reliable evidence showing that he actually touched the female in doing so. The dung pad mentioned contained a total of 9 *A. prodromus*, 4 *A. distinctus* and 1 *A. fimetarius*. Another pair of *A. distinctus* in the static chained position was observed in this locality on the same day (see part C 10).

### 4. Slovakia or., Somotor (7696), 5.x.1987, about 100 m a.s.l.

CIRCUMSTANCES. A pasture on the bank of the Bodrog River about 1 km NW of the village, flatland, cattle droppings. Within some 1 km around the place were the mating behaviour of *A. distinctus* were observed, sampling were made on cattle and sheep faeces between 10.00 and 17.10 h. The observations were made between 15.15 and 17.10 h. Warm and sunny weather lasted throughout the day; temperature readings at 10.10 h: air 22 °C, soil 11 °C.

OBSERVATION. Around 15.10 h, a series of 30-50 fresh cattle dung pads was found, of usual dimensions (see part A2), covered with a thin crust about 1 mm thick. Their inspection revealed almost immediately the movements of pairs of *A. distinctus* over their surface. Thereupon the observation was concentrated to three cake-like pads roughly equal in size (about 30x25x3 cm). Here I recorded around 150 individuals of *A. distinctus* walking over the surface of these cakes. Tens of these beetles alighted on them, emerged from holes in the crust, walked confusedly over the faeces and also flew away. I observed at least 30 pairs walking in the chained position. But for one exception, the chains were twosome. A single chain of three beetles was observed at 15.15 h. The chains developed on the surface of the cakes when the confused beetles happened to meet, sometimes (not infrequently, the number of such cases was not recorded) even several seconds after one of the partners alighted on the dung. No pairs emerging from holes in the crust in the chained position were recorded. All the chains observed developed on the surface of the dung, even though there were cases in which a male would grab a female as soon as the latter emerged from a hole in the crust. Due to the large number of beetles observed moving within a relatively short period of time by sole observer, however, I am unable to state categorically that none of the chained pairs did emerge from beneath the crust. None of the chains

observed moved over the dung pad for more than 20 seconds, once chained, the pairs mostly crawled under the crust. Several (roughly five) chains "broke" without joining again, but in a comparable number of cases the male succeeded in grasping the female again.

Photodocumentation was taken during the observation. Fig. 1<sup>2)</sup> shows the usual way in which a male is attached to the distal part of a female's elytra, and the head of the male with spread lamellae of antennal clubs inclined towards the female's elytra. Fig. 2 shows a male sliding off the female's elytra and trying to gain balance by lifting his body and trying to shift his fore legs forward. (The pairs moved with considerable swiftness and their movements were asynchronous, the male responding to the changed direction or rate of the female's movement with some delay. Related to the size of the beetles, the surface over which they moved was rugged, not smooth.) Fig. 3 shows a male about to attach to a female after having slid off her. Fig. 4 shows a pair in the chained position, crossing a "ditch" in the crust of a dung pad, the surface of the excrement was very soft and mashy in consistence and the beetles, stuck in it, often rapidly changed their position and rate of their movement, thus causing the chains to "break".

The activity of *A. distinctus* on the surface of excrements was observed until about 16.15 h, at which time it ceased (movements of individual beetles and pairs in the chained position). Two solitary pairs, walking in the chained position, were observed as late as 17.00 hours, at the onset of twilight. At that time a pair in the static chained position was found beneath the crust of the dung (see part C7). No pairs were found in the precopulatory position or in copula.

5 Bohemia centr., Lysá nad Labem env., Karlov Estate (5854), 3 xi 1990, 180 m a.s.l.

CIRCUMSTANCES: A fenced horse run, flatland, horse droppings. Sampling made between 13.40 and 15.20 h (the described observation roughly at 14 hours). Half bright, sunny weather (the first day following a week or so of rainy weather), air temperature at 14.40 h: 12 °C.

OBSERVATION: On a quite fresh horse dung about 30x30x15 cm in size (probably produced in the morning of that day), a pair of *A. distinctus* in the chained position was observed walking over the surface of the dung and crawling into a fissure between two doughnut-like parts of the dung pad. A fragmentary observation lasting at most 5 seconds. The inside of the pad was found to contain about 80 *A. distinctus* and 15 *A. prodromus*. No copulations or pairs in the precopulatory position were observed during the work.

6 Same locality, 18 iv 1993

CIRCUMSTANCES: Between 11.20 and 11.40 h, five horse dung pads of much the same age and structure were analysed in the locality. Half bright, fairly warm weather, estimated air temperature around 15 °C (15 °C measured at 10.30 h at Čelakovice, about 3 km SW of this locality), high humidity. Rain fell the previous night, occasional showers also came in the morning of 18 April.

OBSERVATION: A group of five fairly fresh but considerably disintegrated horse faeces contained about 300 *A. prodromus*, about 200 *A. distinctus*, about 80 *A. granarius* and one *A. fimetarius*. In one of such dung pads, which, however, still maintained its typical "heap of doughnuts" shape, a pair of *A. distinctus* was found crawling beneath the superficial layer (about 5 cm thick) in an already excavated tunnel, the male "examining" the female's abdomen with his outstretched antennae with spread clubs. This process was observed for about five seconds, whereupon the disturbed beetles stopped and failed to form the chained position. In addition, a pair of *A. distinctus* was found there in the precopulatory position (see part C4).

## B. Mating behaviour observed in captivity

The major aim of the observations made on captive beetles was to attempt obtaining a film record showing pairs of *A. distinctus* moving in the chained position. Furthermore, an attempt was to be made to confirm that the beetles are capable of mating in both spring and autumn. Lastly, an attempt was made to find out whether their movements can take place over the surface of excrements other than cattle droppings.

1 Observation on 30 iv 1988

MATERIAL: Fifteen male and 31 female *A. distinctus* from Lysá nad Labem - Karlov, were collected from horse excrements about 7 hours before the beginning of the observation. The males were marked with white varnish on their elytra in order to distinguish the sexes during the observations, but the white varnish was soon obliterated as the beetles moved in the faeces.

METHODS: The observations were made out of doors between 15.35 and 16.45 h. The beetles were observed in an open glass cage containing a layer of moderately moist, unfertilized earth about 7 cm deep, taken from beneath grass tufts about 30 minutes before the beginning of the observation. A fresh cattle dung pad (produced on the same day) about

<sup>2)</sup> Figs 1-4 will be found at the end of this issue, Plate 6

30x17x4 cm in size was placed on the layer of earth so that it did not cover the whole surface leaving margins about 5 cm wide. In a later phase of the observation, the dung was lighted by a 60 W bulb from a distance of about 20 cm in order to improve light conditions for the purpose of shooting a film. The sky was overcast throughout the observation.

#### COURSE OF OBSERVATION

15 30 h air temperature 24 °C,

15 35 beetles placed on excrement. Three of them flew away immediately, the remaining ones crawled under the crust of the dung, occasional individuals walking over the dung pad and crawling into it again,

16 00 air temperature 22 °C,

16 10 one pair in chained position seen moving for some 10 seconds, then the beetles were halted (to prepare the camera) but when released again they failed to form the chain anew,

16 12 a chain of two beetles observed for about 90 seconds (and several seconds recorded on film), then the beetles crawled into a pit in the skinny crust previously made by a beetle entering the dung. In that place they stayed about 5 mm deep below the opening (so that their abdomens were visible) and were found there in the complete precopulatory position at 16 16 h. Then they were separately removed from the inside onto the surface of the dung and the male was "mounted" on the female. Thereupon the beetles moved in the chained position for about 10 seconds (several seconds recorded on film) and then the male (probably under the influence of stress) abandoned the female and no more mating behaviour occurred,

16 23 lamp turned on, 16 25 air temperature 25 °C,

16 25 to 16 45 lamp turned on, temperature at 16 40 27 °C, no beetles appeared on the surface of the dung, observation ended at 16 45

#### 2. Observation on 23 x 1988

**MATERIAL.** Forty-five beetles (sex undetermined), same locality and kind of excrement as in the preceding experiment, the beetles collected about 6 hours before the beginning of observation.

**METHODS.** The observation was made between 15 45 and 17 10 hours in a room with windows letting in daylight, and under additional lighting with a 60 W bulb from the height of about 30 cm throughout the period. The beetles were observed in an open glass cage containing a layer of dry earth about 10 cm deep, on which was placed a fresh horse dung pad formed so as to resemble a cake about 25 cm across and 5 cm thick, not covering the whole area of the bottom (margins at least 10 cm wide).

#### COURSE OF OBSERVATION

15 45 h beetles released and air temperature recorded 22 °C,

around 16 00 two chains of two beetles observed for about 15 seconds and then crawled in chained position into the dung,

16 17 a chain of two observed for about 30 seconds, then crawled into the dung in the chained position,

16 40 one pair in the chained position observed moving for about 10 15 seconds (about 5 seconds recorded on film) whereupon the chain "broke" and the beetles parted,

16 50 air temperature reading 27 °C,

16 55 a pair moving in chained position observed for about 20 seconds. In that position the beetles crawled into the dung,

17 05 a chain of two observed moving for about 20 seconds (about 12 seconds recorded on film), then crawled into the dung,

17 10 observation ended

### C. Further observations of the mating behaviour

This part describes findings of pairs of *A. distinctus*, in which the course of mating behaviour was not observed. The beetles were found in a static position and either stayed in it or responded to the disturbance by fleeing. The records are arranged according to seasonal chronology regardless of the years.

#### 1. Lysá nad Labem, race-course (5854), 18 III 1990, about 180 m a.s.l.

**CIRCUMSTANCES.** A sandy training course, flatland, horse droppings. Collections in this locality were made between 15 40 and 17 10 h, the described observation around 16 00 h. Sunny weather (already lasting for several days), a fresh breeze. Temperature measured at 15 30 h air 23 °C, soil 18 °C.

**OBSERVATION.** Two pairs of *A. distinctus* in complete precopulatory position were found inside a fresh dung pad (produced in the morning of the same or in the evening of the previous day), dried-up on the surface, about 20x15x5 cm in size. When disturbed, they moved for several (less than 10) seconds one behind the other (a suggestion of behav

four prior to assuming the chained position) The pairs then parted, however, when other disturbed beetles began moving over the densely occupied dung, which contained about 50 *A. prodromus*, about 40 *A. distinctus* and 4 *A. fimetarius*.

2 Bohemia or, Kladruhy nad Labem (5958), 8 iv 1988, about 190 m a.s.l.

CIRCUMSTANCES A broad woodland ride used in horse training, flatland, horse droppings. Sampling made between 9.30 and 14.00 h, the described observation around 11 h. Slightly hazy and warm weather. Temperature not measured.

OBSERVATION A pair of *A. distinctus* observed copulating on top of one of about five "doughnuts" lying close to one another but not touching. Inside that doughnut, on the surface of which no more beetles were present, there was only one individual of *A. distinctus*.

3 Lysá nad Labem, race-course (5854), 14 iv 1990

CIRCUMSTANCES Observations made in horse droppings between 15.30 and 17.00 h, the described observation around 16.30 h. This was the second sunny and warm day following a period of at least a week of cold weather with nightly frosts. Temperature measured at 15.45 h: air 24 °C, soil 12 °C.

OBSERVATION A pair of *A. distinctus* in incomplete precopulatory position was found inside a fresh dung pad with dried-up surface, about 40x40x10 cm in size. In all, this dung contained 93 *A. prodromus*, 34 *A. distinctus*, 15 *A. granarius*, 8 *A. fimetarius*, one *A. subterraneus*, 8 *Onthophagus cf. ovatus*, 3 *O. fracticornis* and one *O. similis*.

4 Lysá nad Labem env., Karlov Estate (5854), 18 iv 1993

CIRCUMSTANCES as in part A6

OBSERVATION A pair of *A. distinctus* was found in incomplete precopulatory position inside a horse dung pad.

5 Bohemia bor., Raná (5548), 29 ix 1985, about 350 m a.s.l.

CIRCUMSTANCES A pasture on the slope of the Raná Hill, predominantly NW-facing, rugged terrain, sheep droppings. Research made between 8.30 and 13.50 h, the described observation roughly between 9.30 and 10.00 h. Sunny weather, light breeze. Air temperature measured at 8.30: 14 °C and at 12.45: 28 °C.

OBSERVATION A copulating pair of *A. distinctus* found inside a "medium old" dropping (with a thick crust and fairly supple inside), about 12x8x5 cm in size. In all, the dung contained about 25 *A. distinctus*, 3 *A. fimetarius* and one *A. prodromus*.

6 Slovakia mer. centr., Šurice (7785), 29 ix 1990, about 250 m a.s.l.

CIRCUMSTANCES A pasture on a hill directly above the village, collections made in a relatively shallow, dish-like valley slanting towards SW, its slopes facing SE, SW and NW, rugged relief, sheep droppings. Observations made between 12.30 and 15.00 h, the described observation between 13.00 and 13.30 h. Sunny and hot weather, air temperature measured at 13.00 h: 31 °C in the sun and 22 °C in shade.

OBSERVATION A pair of *A. distinctus* in complete precopulatory position found inside a fresh dung (of unrecorded size). The occupation of this dung by beetles was not recorded. The summary record on the sample made in some 15 droppings inspected in the period stated above (and including the record on the observation described), the faeces contained about 60 *Onthophagus cf. ovatus*, about 15 *O. fracticornis*, about 50 *A. prodromus*, about 40 *A. distinctus*, about 40 *A. fimetarius*, one *A. sticticus* and one *A. rufus*.

7 Somotor (7696), 5 x 1987

Circumstances and description of observation as in part A4. A pair of *A. distinctus* in static chain position was found inside a fresh cattle dung pad around 17.00 h.

8 Slovakia mer. or., Jesenské (76-7786), 5 x 1988, about 180-200 m a.s.l.

CIRCUMSTANCES A pasture at the periphery of the village, at the foot of the projections of the Cerová vrchovina Hills, rugged relief, sheep droppings. Research made between 12.20 and about 17.00 h, the described observation around 13.00 h. Overcast, warm. Temperature measured at 12.30 h: air 23 °C, soil 18 °C.

OBSERVATION A copulating pair of *A. distinctus* found inside a fresh dung about 5x4x3 cm in size. Besides, the dung contained 8 *A. prodromus* and 3 *A. distinctus*.

9 Kuchyňa (7568-69), 12 x 1985

For circumstances and description of the observation, see part A1. Around 10.00 h, a pair of *A. distinctus* in complete precopulatory position was found inside an dung pad about 15x15x4 cm in size. This dung contained only about 30 *A. distinctus* but no other coprophagous Scarabaeoidea.



10. Zádleš (7390-91), 17.x.1986

For circumstances of this finding, see part A3.

OBSERVATION. A pair of *A. distinctus* in static chained position was found inside a fresh (that day's) faeces about 6x3x3 cm in size. The dung contained a total of about 10 *A. prodromus*, 5 *A. distinctus* and one *A. fimetarius*.

11. Bohemia centr., Kostomlaty nad Labem-Lány (5855), 21.x.1990, about 185 m a.s.l.

CIRCUMSTANCES. Extensive horse runs at the outskirts of the village, grassy flatland, horse droppings. Observations made in this locality between 14.00 and 15.00 h, the described observation around 14.30 to 14.40 h. Cloudy, overcast, occasional short periods of sunshine; the cloudy and rainy weather prevailed for about five previous days. Air temperature (under cloudy skies) measured at 14.00 h: 11 °C.

OBSERVATION. A pair of *A. distinctus* in copula and another pair in static chained position found inside a fresh but already disintegrating, large heap of "doughnuts" (dimensions not recorded). The number of beetles found in this excrement was not recorded; the summary record for two such heaps (including the one mentioned plus another of much the same size and age) reports about 200 *A. distinctus*, about 150 *A. prodromus* and one *A. fimetarius*.

12. Kladruby nad Labem (5958), 8.xi.1986.

CIRCUMSTANCES. Extensive grassy horse runs around the village, flatland, horse droppings. Research made between 9.20 and 16.20 h. The time of observation was not recorded but it was definitely during early afternoon hours. The findings were recorded by individual excrements, this is the 22nd of 37 records. Sunny weather following a nightly frost (puddles of water covered with a sheet of ice). The records show only the soil temperature of 7 °C measured at 12.30 h.

OBSERVATION. Two pairs of *A. distinctus*, both in copula, were found inside a fresh heap of "doughnuts" about 40x40x10 cm in size. The dung pad contained a total of 15 *A. distinctus* and 4 *A. prodromus*.

#### D. Observation of the mating behaviour outside excrements

I. Moravia mer., Lednice - Nový Dvůr (7266), 13.x.1989, about 180 m a.s.l., D. Král observ.

CIRCUMSTANCES. Extensive grassy horse runs, flatland, horse droppings. Observation made between 13 and 15 h.

OBSERVATION. A pair of *A. distinctus* in copula on a grass straw.

### DISCUSSION

#### 1. On the question of whether the mating behaviour of *A. distinctus* on the surface of dung pads is an exceptional phenomenon among the Central European members of the genus *Aphodius*

Owing to the lack of useful published data, this discussion is based on my own observations. In 1985 to 1993 I found, in many localities in Bohemia, Moravia and Slovakia, about 120 000 specimens of coprophagous Scarabaeoidea, over a half of which were representatives of the genus *Aphodius*. During my research, I recorded all observations of their mating behaviour.

In the following, I do not consider those species whose mating behaviour could not be observed in the field during daylight hours (no observations were made during dark hours), i.e. species whose main period of occurrence and reproductive activity (as confirmed by rearings) fall on late spring and summer. Most probably, these species are on the wing and invade droppings chiefly at dusk and at night. Their movements over the surface of dung would be impossible on open pastures under sunny weather as they would be more or less immobilized by high air temperatures (commonly reaching over 40 °C in sunlit places, as revealed by tentative measurements) (see also Landin 1961: 78-114). This pertains to the following species (I mention here only those species of which I observed hundreds to thousands of individuals): *A. erraticus*, *A. scrutator*, *A. subterraneus*, *A. fossor*, *A. haemorrhoidalis*, *A. immundus*, *A. lugens*, *A. rufus*, *A. sordidus* and partly also *A. rufipes*.

Nor are largely or partly woodland-inhabiting species considered in this discussion. On the one hand, I did not find these species in woodlands in droppings suitable for observations of

mating behaviour on their surface (due to their shape, consistence or size, see part 4 of the Discussion). On the other hand, in the woodland the beetles were found, but for rare exceptions, in individual dung pads scattered over rather large areas, which fact prevented me from observing larger concentrations of *Aphodius* spp. in one place, such as in pastures. This pertains to *A. depressus*, *A. sticticus*, *A. ater* and partly also *A. rufipes*, and also *A. brevis*, *A. maculatus*, *A. zenkeri*, *A. nemoralis*, *A. piceus*, *A. uliginosus* and *A. corvinus*, of which species only small numbers (50-200 specimens) were found.

This consideration pertains to species inhabiting open pastures and occurring exclusively or almost exclusively (*A. luridus*, *A. biguttatus*, *A. paracoenosus*, *A. pusillus*, *A. quadriguttatus*) or largely (*A. varians*, *A. granarius*) in spring, or in spring and autumn (*A. distinctus*, *A. prodromus*, *A. paykulli*) or exclusively in autumn (*A. consputus*, *A. serotinus*, *A. thermicola*) in my samples. One might also consider *A. ictericus* - the only individuals from which I succeeded in rearing larvae during autumn were collected in late September (I found adults from June until October). The consideration also includes *A. fimetarius* whose reproduction periodicity has not been completely clarified (cf. Christensen & Dobson 1977, Schmidt 1935: 348-350). In my findings, this species is represented from spring until autumn (in all, I have observed over 10 000 individuals), even if with a maximum in summer.

Other predominantly spring (*A. satellitius*, *A. scrofa*, *A. coenosus* and *A. merdarius*), spring-and-autumn (*A. pictus*, *A. conspurcatus*, *A. reyi*, *A. sphacelatus*) and autumn (*A. obliteratus* and *A. contaminatus*) species have been found only rarely and singly.

I have observed the mating behaviour on the surface of dung pads solely in *A. distinctus*. As far as the mating behaviour was observed in the remaining species, this pertained exclusively to findings of pairs in copula or in complete or incomplete static precopulatory position inside the dung. In the case of the most numerous species, *A. prodromus* (in all, about 15 000 specimens observed) and *A. luridus* (about 10 000 specimens observed, the same as *A. distinctus*), which I have frequently observed alighting on fresh excrements (including cattle dung pads) together with *A. distinctus* (and observing the mating behaviour of all three species), the presence of mating behaviour on the surface of droppings can be considered rather improbable. To a certain extent (with respect to many numerous findings in spring and autumn), this can be concluded even for *A. fimetarius*. A similar assumption can also be made for *A. pusillus*, *A. varians* and *A. granarius* (over 1 000 specimens observed), *A. biguttatus* and *A. consputus* (over 500 specimens observed) and *A. paracoenosus* (over 200 specimens determined in my samples).

In the case of *A. quadriguttatus*, *A. paykulli*, *A. serotinus* and *A. thermicola*, the negative findings should be considered quite non-significant owing to the scant material observed (less than 200 specimens). The more can no opinion be offered regarding the species of the subgenus *Nimbus* (*A. contaminatus* and *A. obliteratus*).

From the improbability of mating behaviour on the surface of excrements in *A. prodromus*, the same can be expected to occur in the related species, *A. reyi* and *A. sphacelatus*. Analogically, to a limited extent (a far smaller number of specimens of *A. paracoenosus* observed), this is also true of *A. coenosus*.

Thus, one can state with some reserve that the occurrence of such mating behaviour on the surface of droppings, as described here in *A. distinctus*, is improbable for the subgenus *Melinopterus* (excluding *A. circumcinctus*, see Dellacasa 1983), *A. luridus* and perhaps the subgenus *Euorodalus* (sensu Dellacasa 1983), i.e., for *A. paracoenosus* and *A. coenosus*. On the basis of available data, however, I am unable to hypothesize whether or not other *Aphodius* species than *A. distinctus* behave in this way.



NOTE A concentration of a rather large number of individuals of *A. biguttatus* was observed, in a large majority of cases, inside disintegrated sheep faeces covered with a thick and hard crust. Where the small sized spring species (of the subgenera *Phalacrothorus*, *Trichonotulus* and *Esymus*), with metamorphosis from egg to pupa lasting less than a month (confirmed by my own rearings for *Phalacrothorus* and *Trichonotulus*) can find suitable conditions for copulation and oviposition besides in older and hardened dung pads already abandoned by other species, without being exposed to unduly heavy competition with larger species, is an interesting problem.

## 2. On the reconstruction of the course of mating behaviour in *A. distinctus* and on the term "precopulatory position"

I have failed to observe the entire course of the mating behaviour of *A. distinctus* from finding a female up to copulation. However, it can be reconstructed from individual partial observations.

Having found a female, the male seizes her and then their movement follows in the chained position. In finding the female, olfaction apparently plays the major part - see observations of males examining females with spread lamellae of antennal clubs on which olfactory sensillae are concentrated (Meinecke 1975). The female in acceptable condition releases a pheromone, thus becoming attractive for males. The finding of a female is probably impeded by the presence of numerous traces of the sexual attractant released on one and the same dung pad by additional females, and possibly even by the smell of the fresh faeces. I suppose that the females are located at short distances and that olfactory examination upon meeting is necessary.

Having spent some time moving in the chained position, the pair halts in a subjectively and objectively suitable place (the partners being sufficiently stimulated to switch to the next phase of the mating behaviour and the selected place warranting its relatively undisturbed course). There they assume first the incomplete and then the complete precopulatory position, whereupon copulation takes place. The fact that copulation does not take place immediately after the movement in the chained position has ended is unambiguously indicated by observations of captive beetles (see Observations, part B1) and is also suggested, to some extent, by observations in the field (see Observations, part A3, and findings of pairs in incomplete precopulatory position, described in Part C). I assume that tactile stimulation is also necessary after the end of movement in the chained position for the copulation to take place. While this may be an innate etho-physiological process, one should also consider that in selecting the suitable place for copulation, the pair is very often affected by disturbing external influences such as encounters with other beetles and making way through narrow spaces.

Locating the female and movement in the chained position can take place inside as well as on the surface of the dung, the precopulatory position is assumed and copulation is performed, apparently obligatorily, inside the dung pad. However, two observations (see Observations, parts C2 and D1) suggest that the reality may be more complicated. In the first case the beetles copulated on the surface of the dung, which fact I am still unable to interpret in a plausible way. The other case - copulation on a grass straw next to an excrement - can be explained thus: from about ten dung pads about as old as the one next to which the copulating pair was found in the locality, over 1 000 individuals of *A. distinctus* were washed out (D. Král, pers. comm.). Thus, I may assume that the invasion of the excrements by the beetles resulted in such a degree of competition that some of the individuals capable of copulation were "edged out" to perform mating behaviour in the vicinity of the excrements.

NOTE In this text I have employed the term "precopulatory" position without any proof that this was actually the case, as I lack any observations of a "postcopulatory" position or of any behaviour following the end of the copulation. Thus, wherever the precopulatory position is mentioned in part C of the Observations, one cannot exclude that a "postcopulatory" position was involved. However, basing on direct observation of the complete precopulatory position (Observations, part B1) and on the rather unequivocal indication of two additional observations (parts A3 and C1), I believe that my description of the precopulatory positions is right. Thus, the reports in part C on beetles observed in precopulatory positions are not objectively correct but schematized, yet nevertheless possible.

### 3. On the "ritual" nature of movements in the chained position

I observed the beetles moving in the chained position continuously only on the surface of droppings, whereas my observations inside them are only fragmentary or mere suggestions of such movements. However, I believe that this movement is an obligatory part of the mating behaviour of *A. distinctus*, a "ritual" whose performance is inevitable for copulation to take place. This assumption is based on the following reasons.

The movement in the chained position has a relatively long duration (at least tens of seconds) and the basic position of the beetles performing it is invariably the same (the male grasping the female with his fore legs at the distal third of the margin of the female's elytra). When walking in this position, the male moves the spread lammellae of his antennal club and most probably touches the female with his antennae, bowed head and the terminalia on its lower surface as well as with the distal margin of his clypeus (due to the small size of the dung beetles observed, I have not observed direct contacts with certainty). I believe that such tactile perceptions (together with those caused by the male's fore legs) stimulate the course of the mating behaviour (i.e., roughly speaking, cause the female to head towards a suitable place, halt in it and become receptive for the end phase of the mating behaviour that immediately leads to copulation) and probably also the essential physiological changes (maturation of spermatozoa, changes in the lumina of female ducts facilitating the reception of sperm, etc.). Besides, as regards contacts with legs, these perceptions increase in the course of the mating behaviour (from one pair of legs during the movement in the chained position up to all three pairs in the static complete precopulatory position).

Considering the conformity of the basic traits of the ecology of most *Aphodius* spp. in the Temperate Zone (non-nesting coprophagous beetles), I assume that the movement in the chained position is obligatory in the pattern of the mating behaviour of the considerable part of members of this genus.

Finally, one may assume (in view of the observations of "multiple" and "branched" chains of beetles) that the movement in the chained position also acts as an intraspecific selection mechanism. In this connection it is necessary to emphasize the fact that males joining a "multiple" chain from its side tried to "break" it, whereas those joining it at its end merely "assumed" their position. However, the scarce data available do not permit any detailed evaluation of this phenomenon.

NOTE The discussion pertaining to the physiological changes is mere speculation which, however, cannot be omitted, as it is also valid for the subsequent phase when the beetles are in the precopulatory position.

#### 4. On the conditions under which the movements of *A. distinctus* in the chained position take place on the surface of droppings

In the field, dung beetles moving over the surface of droppings in the chained position were found exclusively on fresh cattle dung pads (estimated at most one day old). Such excrements show specific structure: at first a pad is a mass uniformly mashy or doughy in consistence, which enables the beetles to penetrate under its surface from above. Later, the drying excrement develops a thin skinny crust on its surface, first (in warm and dry weather within less than one hour) at the upper periphery (or in upper projections if the excrement is not cake-like in shape) and then gradually over that part of its surface which is in direct contact with air. At the same time, the crust separates (again, first at the upper periphery or projections) from the remaining mass, giving rise to fissures into which the beetles can invade the interior of the dung. (I have never observed *A. distinctus* attacking fresh dung pads from below, wherever I did them beneath the cattle droppings, this was invariably at their periphery, and the beetles were never found in any of the phases of mating behaviour.)

I have observed the mating behaviour of *A. distinctus* in such fresh cattle dung pads on which the crust had not yet developed or was still very thin. Such timing enables the beetles to perform continuous mating behaviour: the formed pairs can invade newly developed fissures at the time when they are still unoccupied by individuals of other species or by odd individuals of *A. distinctus*. I assume that the absence of the "fissure" niche was one of the causes of abundant formation of multiple and branched chains on cattle droppings with still undeveloped crust (see Observations, part A1). This, too, may have been the cause of the considerably longer duration of movements in the chained position (cf. Observations, parts A2 and A4): the beetles "had to wait until they could find a suitable place in which to finish this phase" and, at the same time, they "could be sure that no one would occupy the developing niche before them".

On the basis of the observations described above, it can be stated that the first phase of the mating behaviour (seeking a partner and movement in the chained position) takes place on the surface of cattle droppings for the obvious reason that it cannot take place elsewhere - the consistence of the excrement does not permit movements inside it. Another co-ordinated factor is the fact that it is only the cattle "cakes" that provide, on their surface, a sufficiently large, even and flat area which (contrary to horse and sheep droppings) permits such movements. (My fragmentary observation of a pair moving in the chained position on the surface of a horse dung, see Observations, part A5, is thought to be one of a pair passing from one fissure between the "doughnuts" into another.)

In my opinion, the consistence, shape and size of the upper part of droppings is decisive of the occurrence of mating behaviour of *A. distinctus* on their surface, the consistence being the major factor. This conclusion is also supported by the results of observations of captive beetles, in which they moved in the chained position over the surface of an artificially flattened, depressed and smoothed horse dung (see Observations, part B2) so that its shape and consistence resembled that of a cattle cake.

NOTE. I have only succeeded in observing fragments of the mating behaviour inside excrements. Although insufficient, these observations suggest that in the case of horse and sheep droppings, all precopulatory and copulatory phases of the mating behaviour of *A. distinctus* take place inside the dung. Most probably, their movements in the chained position take place in corridors, cavities and fissures produced by insects or having developed without their participation (e.g., fissures in a heap of horse "doughnuts"). The consistence of such excrements is considerably lighter

compared to that of cattle dung pads. Moreover, the structure of horse excrements is fibrous, permitting the movements of beetles even inside a quite fresh dung.

##### **5. On conditions under which the mating behaviour of *A. distinctus* takes place and on the reproduction strategy of the species**

I have mostly observed the mating behaviour of *A. distinctus* inside densely populated dung pads, abundantly infested with Staphylinidae and Histeridae, or on unprotected surface of cattle droppings. I assume the following reproduction strategy in *A. distinctus* (and probably numerous other *Aphodius* spp.) as regards fertilization of females: for mating, the beetles select such conditions that warrant the occurrence of a large number of individuals capable of copulation at one time and in one place; the risk of considerable losses due to predation is ignored; contrary to the nesting Scarabaeoidea, these dung beetles do not make use of mechanism minimizing this risk.

Within a certain temperature range, the onset of the mating behaviour of *A. distinctus* does not require any special climatic conditions. I have observed this behaviour at temperatures common in the respective seasons of the year, in different types of weather and at different times of the day. The limiting factors may probably include the physiological condition of gonads and a certain lower temperature limit lying somewhere below 11 °C (see Observations, part C11).

##### **6. On the question of linkage between copulation and oviposition in *A. distinctus***

I have not observed ovipositing females of *A. distinctus* in nature. Hence, I cannot offer any substantiated opinion on whether the females oviposit, with a certain delay after copulation, into the dung pad in which copulation had taken place, or whether oviposition is temporally and spatially divided from copulation, or whether the females combine the two alternatives. Omitting for the time the question of whether *A. distinctus* produces one or two generations annually, i.e., among other things, whether the females oviposit in autumn and spring or only in spring, it is clear that, at least in spring, oviposition must follow shortly after copulation.

Landin (1961: 195) states, without any further particulars, that, as an exception among the *Aphodius* spp. studied by him, the larvae of *A. distinctus* develop in decaying plant remains. In my findings, *A. distinctus* is the most numerous species together with *A. prodromus* and *A. luridus* in the spring aspect, and with *A. prodromus* in the autumn aspect. Besides, I have repeatedly reared this species successfully in sheep excrements. I have invariably found first to third instar larvae in an excrement and, thus, *A. distinctus* is a non-nesting species. Therefore I consider *A. distinctus*, under the conditions of Central Europe, an obligatorily coprophagous species in both the larval and adult stage (of course, with a wide ecological tolerance, permitting saprophagy if need be).

Hence, the females need for oviposition a certain part of a dung pad, which is not immediately exposed to the risk of being destroyed by the competing adult coprophagous beetles, nor is it open to predators. This part of the dung must be aerated and show consistence suitable for larval feeding. The first condition can hardly be fulfilled by horse and sheep droppings for much of the spring and autumn season. Due to their being easily permeable or due to their small dimensions, these excrements are occupied, within several hours or at most 2-3 days, by a large number of adult coprophagous beetles and their predators. Such excrements are depreciated for the larvae within a short period of time: the horse ones by being eaten apart and subsequently lose their shape and inner humidity, the heap of "doughnuts" becoming a flat heap of crushed

matter; the sheep ones, by being completely eaten upon the inside, only the more or less hardened crust remaining. A certain opportunity occurs in early spring (until about the end of the first part of April) and later in autumn (about mid-October and onwards) when a spell of cold weather sets in and lasts for several days. Thus, the invasion of dung pads by insect in those periods may not be as heavy as to act as a factor limiting oviposition. Moreover, under such conditions (rather low temperatures, considerable air humidity) there is real possibility that the droppings will keep their consistence and shape for several (2-3) weeks so that larval development can proceed in them until the IIIrd instar. In autumn in particular, this may hold true even for larger sheep excrements.

A somewhat more favourable situation is found in cattle droppings owing to their more compact structure and sufficient size. Due to being less readily accessible to air on its inside, a cattle dung is usually invaded successively, fairly large parts of its inside remaining intact for rather long periods of time. Thus, it may be admitted, especially in the case of pads showing doughy, not mashy, consistence that both copulation and oviposition can take place in one and the same dung pad.

I am inclined to hypothesize that the females can combine the two alternatives (one should also consider the existence of droppings lying in shaded places where their "viability" is longer and which are "less attractive" for the adult beetles). I assume, however, that copulation taking place in one and the same dung pad is exceptional rather than regular. Considering the conditions under which copulation takes place in *A. distinctus* (see the previous part of the Discussion), I believe that in this species, copulation and oviposition are separated in space and time as a rule, at least because the females cannot find suitable places in which to oviposit in the pad in which they had been fertilized. And this to assumption is to apply to other non-nesting coprophagous *Aphodius* spp. with similar bionomics as well.

#### 7. On the number of generations in *A. distinctus*

Landin (1961: 212) states that in southern Sweden, *A. distinctus* produces two generations annually and that adults hibernate. In their material collected in October, Christensen & Dobson (1976) found no females with developed oocytes; on the contrary, females kept in outdoor soil cages from autumn until spring were found pregnant in spring. The authors conclude from this fact that under the local conditions (Indiana, USA), *A. distinctus* produces only one generation annually, which is quite possible in regard of the continental character of the local climate. However, no fresh excrements were available to their caged beetles so that the results of their experiment should be taken with reserve.

Under natural conditions, I have observed copulating *A. distinctus* both in autumn and in spring. However, one cannot state with certainty that in Central Europe the species produces two generations annually. The condition of the ovaries of beetles found copulating in autumn is unknown, and thus no opinion can be offered on whether the sperm could be retained in the receptaculum seminis and fertilization delayed.

No data are available on the condition of gonads of a larger number of females. Only the presence of spent females in samples made in autumn and among those emerged in spring could confirm that oviposition takes place twice within one year. There are three possible ways to demonstrate that at least some of the females collected in spring also emerged in that season: first, by findings of pupae or immature adults in pupal chambers; second, by findings of mature IIIrd instar larvae beneath last year's dung pads; both at the very beginning of spring activity of adults at the latest; third, by finding, among pregnant or spent females sampled in spring, two markedly differently "worn" groups by examining their clypei, tibiae and tarsi.



In the case that oviposition and larval development does actually occur in autumn, the "spring" generation is probably "mixed". Adults that are on wing as early as during the first warm early spring period can hardly have not emerged from the pupae or pupal chambers in autumn. The adults of the "actual" spring generation would then follow with some delay due to the necessity of a sufficiently deep layer of soil being warmed over a certain limit before the adults can (? pupate) emerge, apparently during April at the latest.

I do not expect that adults which had already been active in spring could survive until autumn, there being a break in their seasonal occurrence: I have never found them between mid-June and early September (see also Schmidt 1935: 324).

I consider both alternatives - a single generation or two generations (with the spring one "mixed") - to be possible for the Central European populations of *A. distinctus*. The presence of two separate generations is in the highest degree improbable in this region.

#### 8. On the observation method

The results presented here are based on extensive observations made in tens of localities selected at random and during several years. In this way I have obtained a rather scarce series of data on the mating behaviour of *A. distinctus*; I have never observed the course of the mating behaviour as a whole. On the other hand, the fact that the observations were made at random contributes to their reliability, may this pertain to the phenomenon of movements in the chained position or to the fact that copulations take place both in spring and in autumn.

Most probably, intensive field observations made in one or a few localities and at short intervals of one or just a few days could provide more numerous and more complete data. However, such observations could be biased by limited variation in external conditions (climate, relief of terrain, exposure of the localities, etc.), particularly if the localities under study should lie close to one another. Particular emphasis should be laid on the importance of the terms at which grazing begins and ends. This pertains, first of all, to the cattle grazing season which, in many places, is rather short (from about early May until early October). This fact would make it impossible to observe the initial part of the spring and the terminal part of the autumn period of activity of adult *A. distinctus*. Moreover, due to the seasonally limited sources of food, a local population could modify its behaviour (for example, the spring mating cycle could take place outside the pasture under study in another suitable nearby locality, etc.).

The most detailed data could be obtained from laboratory rearings but, in my opinion, their value as a basic information source would be dubious. However precisely arranged, a laboratory experiment cannot simulate the influence of natural conditions in the open space, starting with climatic influences and ending with interspecific competition. It is my opinion that in studies of the course of the mating behaviour of non-nesting coprophagous Scarabaeoidea, observations of the beetles under natural conditions are of decisive importance.

#### SUMMARY

1. On the basis of nineteen observations under natural conditions and two observations of captive beetles, the course of the mating behaviour of *A. distinctus* has been reconstructed, starting with the male searching for the female and ending with copulation. The mating behaviour has two phases, viz., a mobile one that includes searching for the partner and movement in the chained position, ending in finding a place suitable for copulation; and a static one including the incomplete and complete precopulatory position and copulation. The first phase either takes place on the surface of dung pad (except for the movement apparently leading directly to the

place selected for copulation), or the whole phase takes place inside a dung; the second phase, but for rare exceptions, takes place inside the dung.

2. The author considers the movement of beetles in the chained position to be an obligatory part of the mating behaviour of *A. distinctus*, which may also act as an intraspecific selection mechanism. In nature, this kind of movement on the surface of droppings has only been observed on cattle dung pads, in captivity also on artificially modified horse dung. Fragmentary observations of this movement have been also made inside horse and sheep droppings. Whether the movement in the chained position takes place on the surface of the dung most probably depends, first of all, on its consistence being impermeable for the beetles, as well as on the presence of a sufficiently large and relatively even area on its surface. Such conditions are offered by fresh cattle dung pads. Movements over their surface enable the pairs of *A. distinctus* immediately to occupy fissures developing beneath their crust.

3. The mating strategy of *A. distinctus* is discussed. Copulations apparently take place if a larger number of individuals capable of copulation are present in one place at one time, there being no developed mechanism protecting the beetles from predators.

4. It is probable that in *A. distinctus*, copulation and oviposition are spatially and temporally separated as a rule. The direct linkage between copulation and oviposition is hindered by the presence of numerous coprophagous and carnivorous insects in the dung in which copulation had taken place, as well as by this dung being debased by the feeding of the adults of the coprophagous insects within a short period of time.

5. The obligatory occurrence of the movement in the chained position in the mating behaviour pattern, the suggested mating strategy and the copulation separated from oviposition are hypothesized to occur even in other non-nesting *Aphodius* spp.

6. The number of generations annually produced by the Central European populations of *A. distinctus* is discussed. The possible alternatives are either a single generation with larval development in spring, or two generations. In the latter case, the spring generation would probably be mixed, consisting of adults emerged in spring and adults that had already been active in previous autumn.

7. Field observations, not laboratory experiments, are considered by the author to be conclusive in studies of the mating behaviour of non-nesting coprophagous Scarabaeoidea.

#### Acknowledgements

I am obliged to Pavel Šrůta and Pavel Morávek, for taking the photographs and shooting the film, respectively, David Král for submitting unpublished data on his own observation, for an accurate translation of the most important passages of Schmidt's study, for reading a draft of my paper and a discussion on it, and Jitka Vilímová (all of Praha) for help with obtaining some of literature

#### REFERENCES

- CHRISTENSEN C. M. & DOBSON R. C. 1976: Biological and ecological studies on *Aphodius distinctus* (Mueller) (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). *American Midland Naturalist* 3: 242-249.
- CHRISTENSEN C. M. & DOBSON R. C. 1977: Biological studies of *Aphodius fimetarius* (L.) (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). *J. Kansas Entomol. Soc.* 50: 129-134.
- DELLACASA G. 1983: Sistematica e nomenclatura degli Aphodiini italiani (Coleoptera Scarabaeidae: Aphodiinae). (Systematics and nomenclature of Italian Aphodiini (Coleoptera Scarabaeidae: Aphodiinae)). *Monogr. Mus. Region. Sci. Natur. Torino* 1: 3-463 (in Italian, English abstr.).
- HALFFTER G. & EDMONDS W. D. 1982: *The nesting behaviour of dung beetles (Scarabaeinae). An ecological and evolutive approach*. México: Instituto de Ecología, 176 pp.



- HALFFTER G. & MATTHEWS E. G. 1966 The natural history of dung beetles of the subfamily Scarabaeinae. *Fol. Entomol. Mexicana* **12-14**, 1-312.
- KRAL D. 1993 Scarabaeoidea, pp. 66-71. In: JELINEK J. (ed.) Check-list of Czechoslovak Insects IV (Coleoptera). *Fol. Heyrovskyana, Suppl.* **1**: 3-172.
- LANDIN B.-O. 1961 Ecological studies on dung-beetles (Col. Scarabaeidae). *Opusc. Entomol., Suppl.* **19**: 3-228.
- MEINECKE C.-C. 1975 Riechsensillen und Systematik der Lamellicornia (Insecta, Coleoptera). *Zoomorphologie* **82**: 1-42.
- SCHMIDT G. 1935: Beiträge zur Biologie der Aphodiinae (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae). *Stettin. Entomol. Zeitung* **96**: 296-350.
- ZELENY J. 1972 Entwurf einer Gliederung der Tschechoslowakei für Zwecke der faunistischen Forschung. *Zpr. Čs. Společ. Entomol. ČSAV* **8**: 3-16 (in Czech, German abstr.).

**The structure and the development of the ductus and saccus endolymphaticus in  
*Alligator mississippiensis* and *Phrynocephalus versicolor* (Reptilia)**

Anna ZAJÍCOVÁ

Institute of Histology and Embryology, 2<sup>nd</sup> Medical Faculty, Charles University, V úvalu 84,  
CZ-150 18 Praha 5, Czech Republic

Received February 2, 1995; accepted October 5, 1995

Published December 27, 1995

**Saccus endolymphaticus, ductus endolymphaticus, calciumcarbonate, dura mater, intradural location, ultrastructure, reptiles**

**Abstract** Structure of the ductus endolymphaticus (DE) and saccus endolymphaticus (SE) was studied in *Alligator mississippiensis* and *Phrynocephalus versicolor*. The development of these structures was investigated in *Alligator mississippiensis*. The ultrastructure of the epithelium lining SE was observed in *Phrynocephalus versicolor*. The SE in the experimental animals was located in the duplication of dura mater. The lumen of the DE and SE was lined by a simple cuboidal or columnar epithelium. A dense content was observed in the lumen of all compartments of the SE and DE in the developmental stages of *Alligator mississippiensis*. In the epithelial lining of the SE of *Phrynocephalus versicolor* cells with microvilli at the apical zone and invaginations of the basal cell membrane were revealed. A basal labyrinth was not discovered. In their cytoplasm light vacuoles and dark granules without a limiting membrane were observed. The lumen of the SE of *Phrynocephalus versicolor* was free of any electron dense material. A rich capillary network was formed in the subepithelial connective tissue.

INTRODUCTION

In our previous studies the morphological structure and embryogenesis of the ductus endolymphaticus (DE) and saccus endolymphaticus (SE) in *Gallus gallus* f. *domestica* were studied. We also aimed at the study of the comparative morphology in vertebrates (Klika & Zajícová 1988a, 1988b, 1989). In all vertebrates we mostly revealed the DE and SE in the intradural location. In birds, however, an intraarachnoidal location of the SE and DE in close relation to the IV<sup>th</sup> ventricle of the brain was discovered (Klika & Zajícová 1988a, 1988b).

According to Hase (1873) and Whiteside (1922) SE of some reptiles is mostly formed by the two small vesicles located under the suture between the parietale and occipitale. But in *Alligator mississippiensis* Klika & Zajícová (1989) described a uniform single sac. An unusual character of the DE and SE has been described also in many geckos, iguanians, agamids and chamaeleonids (Wiedersheim 1876, Ineich & Gardner 1989, Bauer 1989). Due to all differences so far described, we decided to study the SE in reptiles. The aim of our study was to evaluate the histotopographic relationships of the SE and DE to the neighbouring structures and to observe the formation of SE in the course of the prenatal development in *Alligator mississippiensis*. We also tried to describe the ultrastructure of the SE epithelial lining in agama *Phrynocephalus versicolor*. To our knowledge only light microscopic observation of this structure has been published in reptiles.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

In our experiments two reptiles species were used: agamid *Phrynocephalus versicolor* (Strauch, 1876) - Squamata (Sauria: Agamidae), crocodilian *Alligator mississippiensis* (Daudin, 1802) - Archosauria: Crocodilia.

The histotopographic relationship of the DE and SE to the neighbouring structures were studied in two species of reptiles. In crocodile prenatal development of the DE and SE was examined. Embryos with a head-length 11.6 mm, 11.9 mm, 14.9 mm, 16.8 mm, 17.9 mm, 22.0 mm; 23.8 mm; 33.1 mm were studied. The heads of crocodile embryos were fixed in 10% formaldehyde, dehydrated in ascending ethanol series, and embedded in paraffin. Series of paraffin sections in series were stained with hematoxylin-eosin. The tissue samples taken from the agama *Phrynocephalus versicolor* were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in a 0.1 M cacodylate buffer and postfixed in 1% OsO<sub>4</sub> in a 0.1 M cacodylate buffer. After dehydration with an ascending acetone series, the material was embedded in Durcupan ACM. The semithin sections were stained with 0.2% azure in the combination with 2% basic fuchsin. Ultrathin sections were prepared on the LKB microtome and were stained with 2% uranylacetate and lead citrate (Reynolds 1963).

## RESULTS

### *Alligator mississippiensis* (Daudin, 1812)

In smallest embryos (head-length 11.6 mm and 11.9 mm), the cartilaginous sacculoutricular part were observed. The ductus endolymphaticus originated from the sacculus (Fig. 1) as a small projection that penetrated through the foramen endolymphaticum (Fig. 2) into the connective tissue sheath of the brain. In this stages, the DE was represented by a blind evagination (Fig. 3).

In embryos with head-length 14.9 mm the DE was tubular in shape. The DE arose from the sacculus and ran in a dorsal direction into the connective tissue. In cross section, the DE appeared as two ovoid vesicles. These vesicles lay in the dura mater duplication situated dorsally and caudally in respect to the IV<sup>th</sup> ventricle of the brain (Fig. 4).

In the embryos with head-length 16.8 mm; 17.9 mm and 22.0 mm, the DE was voluminous, penetrated through the foramen endolymphaticum and formed two voluminous structures. In the embryos with the head-length 22.0 mm two vesicles with folded walls were observed in the connective tissue.

Prior to incubation (head-length 33.1 mm) the DE formed a narrow tube that arose from a sacculus and ran through the foramen endolymphaticum. The DE increased in diameter and formed a flat vesicle (Fig. 5) that was continuous with the dilated voluminous SE (Fig. 6). In the medial area, both compartments fused and formed a common SE situated in the duplication of dura mater (Fig. 7). Then the single sack was divided into two blindly ending sacs (Fig. 8).

The DE and SE were lined with a cuboidal or columnar epithelium. During the development the compartments of the SE and DE were filled with a fine granular material.

### *Phrynocephalus versicolor* (Strauch, 1876)

The SE was attached to the dense connective tissue of the dura mater (Fig. 9) where numerous melanophores were observed. The outer layer of dura mater was continuous with the periosteum of the bone of the skull (Fig. 9). The SE was located in the dura mater in close relationship to the leptomeninges and the walls of the SE were folded. The outer surface of the SE was surrounded with the subepithelial loose connective tissue rich in blood capillaries and the layer of the dense connective tissue of dura mater was observed (Figs 9a, 9b). The epithelial lining was simple cuboidal or columnar (Figs 9a, 9b, 10) with well developed apical junctional complexes and numerous interdigitations (Figs 10-13). The apical portions of the epithelial cells were equipped with short microvilli (Figs 10,13). In the cytoplasm small rod-like mitochondria, short profiles of granular endoplasmatic reticulum, and well developed Golgi complex surrounded with small vesicles were observed (Figs 12, 13). The cytoplasm was rich in glycogen particles.

Light vacuoles with a homogeneous content enclosed by a partly discontinuous membrane together with large groups of small light vesicles were also revealed (Figs 10 - 12). The vacuoles and vesicles were accumulated mostly in the apical portions of the cells (Figs 12,13). Dark granules were also discovered in the cytoplasm (Fig. 13). The granules were not limited by a membrane, but in their vicinity layers of an electron lucent and finely granular material were revealed. The vacuoles did not discharge their content into the lumen of the SE. The SE was free of any electron dense material. The basal surfaces of the epithelial cells had a complicated appearance with many tiny projections. The epithelial cells rested on a well developed basal lamina to which reticular fibers were attached.

#### DISCUSSION

We have demonstrated that in *Alligator mississippiensis* and *Phrynocephalus versicolor* the DE and SE were situated in the duplication of the dura mater.

These findings do not correspond to those reported in birds. In adult fowls (*Gallus gallus f. domestica*), as well as in their embryos, and in *Passer domesticus*, the intraarachnoidal location of these structures has been demonstrated (Klika & Zajícová 1988a, 1988b, 1989).

The intradural location of the SE in our reptiles corresponds to that reported in anuran amphibians and mammals. In frogs (Anura) very complicated structure of the SE was demonstrated. The DE protruded from the sacculus through the foramen endolymphaticum and continued as the voluminous SE. The SE penetrated the vertebral canal to the level of the 7<sup>th</sup> vertebra. Its processes laid upon the spinal ganglia. This complicated organization and development of the DE and SE in *Rana temporaria* was described by Whiteside (1922) and later by some other authors (Dempster 1930; Ecke 1934; Birkmann 1940; Kawamata 1987,1988; Klika & Zajícová 1989). In mammals (guinea pig, bat, mouse, rat, cat) the SE was found in the duplication of the dura mater (Lundquist 1965, 1976; Rask-Andersen et al. 1979, 1984; Friberg et al. 1984, 1985; Bagger-Sjöbäck et al. 1986, 1990; Hultcranz 1986; Klika & Zajícová 1989). Small differences in the location of the SE were observed in man. The SE was situated in the temporal bone and in the connective tissue of the dura mater (Schindler 1980, 1981). In the Mongolian gerbil, which inhabits semi-desert areas, the SE was discovered in the temporal bone (Barbara et al. 1987, 1988).

Our observation in *Alligator mississippiensis* revealed that the development of the DE and SE was finished before incubation. Its SE was represented by a single sac which arose by means of the fusion of two vesicles. The single sac was described by Klika & Zajícová (1989). But we observed that the single sack divided again into two small blind vesicles. These findings are similar to those presented in some species of reptiles. Hasse (1873) in *Anguis fragilis*, *Lacerta viridis*, *Chelonia mydas*, *Testudo graeca* also described SE composed of two small sacs, but they never fused.

In contrast to our results a different organisation of the SE was described in many geckos, iguanians and chamaeleonids (Wiedersheim 1876, Ineich & Gardner 1989, Bauer 1989). In *Phyllodactylus*, the SE ran to the roof of the brain and left the cranial cavity through the os parietale and spread into the musculature of the neck. It ended as a large sac in the pectoral girdle region. In the embryos, as well as in adult individuals, the DE and SE were filled by lime-like substance. The paired SE did not fuse in *Phyllodactylus*. In *Ascalabotes*, the paired SE joined in the area of the parietal suture and separated again after they had left the cranial cavity (Wiedersheim 1876). A very interesting report was presented by Ineich & Gardner (1989). In adult females of the gecko *Lepidodactylus lugubris*, they observed hypertrophy of the SE in

the reproductive period during the oviductal eggs development. The dilated sacs filled with the lime-like substance were taken for a calcium reservoirs important for the bone growth and for the egg' shell production (Bauer 1989).

In *Alligator mississippiensis* we found the dense material in all compartments of the DE and SE. This material resembled the lime-like substance which was described in many embryos and adult individuals of some vertebrates (Wiedersheim 1876, Hasse 1873, Vasquez 1955, De Vincentiis & Marmo 1968, Kawamata 1987, 1988).

In our experimental animals, the lumen of the SE was lined with a simple cuboidal or columnar epithelium. Compared with the birds (Klika & Zajícová 1988a, 1988b) blood vessels were more numerous in the walls of the SE in reptiles. In *Phrynocephalus versicolor*, tiny invaginations of the basal cell membrane were revealed, but the typical basal labyrinth, that had been described in birds (Klika & Zajícová 1988a, 1988b), was never discovered. In the epithelial cells lining the SE of the agama (*Phrynocephalus versicolor*), light vacuoles and dark granules without a limiting membrane were observed. This type of dark granules has not been described in the SE epithelium in other vertebrates. The proportion of the vacuoles and dark granules, their quantity, size, shape and distribution in the cytoplasm of the epithelial cells, were variable. However, the extrusion of the content of the vacuoles and granules into the lumen of the SE was not encountered. In contrast to crocodile, the lumen of the SE was free of electron-dense substance.

Several types of epithelial cells were described in the epithelial lining of the SE in amphibians, birds, and mammals (Lundquist 1965, 1976; Schindler 1980, 1981; Bagger-Sjöbäck & et al. 1986; Barbara 1987, 1988; Kawamata 1987; Klika & Zajícová 1988a, 1988b, 1989). In agama (*Phrynocephalus versicolor*) we were not able to confirm this variability.

#### A c k n o w l e d g e m e n t

We thank Dr J. Klembara, Department of Zoology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, J. A. Comenius University in Bratislava, Slovakia, who made the material for studying of *Alligator mississippiensis* available.

#### R E F E R E N C E S

- BAGGER-SJÖBACK D. & RASK-ANDERSEN H. 1986. The permeability barrier of the endolymphatic sac. A hypothesis of fluid and electrolyte exchange based on freeze fracturing. *Amer J Otol* 7: 134-140.
- BAGGER-SJÖBACK D., JANSSON B., FRIBERG U. & RASK-ANDERSEN H. 1990. Three dimensional anatomy of the human endolymphatic sac. *Arch. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 16: 345-349.
- BARBARA M., RASK-ANDERSEN H. 1987. Ultrastructure of the endolymphatic sac in the mongolian gerbil. *Arch. Otorhinolaryngol.* 244: 284-287.
- BARBARA M., RASK-ANDERSEN H. & BAGGER-SJÖBACK D. 1988. The surface morphology of the endolymphatic sac of the Mongolian gerbil. *J. Laryngol. Otol* 102: 308-313.
- BAUER A. M. 1988. Extracranial endolymphatic sacs in Eurydactylodes (Reptilia: Gekkonidae), with comments on endolymphatic function in lizards. *J. Herpetol.* 23: 172-175.
- BIRKMANN K. 1940. Morphologisch-anatomische Untersuchungen zur Entwicklung des häufigen Labyrinthes der Amphibien. *Ztschr. Anat. Entw. Ges.* 110: 443-488.
- DE VINCENTIIS M. & MARMO F. 1968. Inhibition of the morphogenesis of the otoliths in the chick embryo in the presence of carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. *Experientia* 24: 818.
- DEMPSTER W. T. 1930. The morphology of the amphibian endolymphatic organ. *J. Morphol. Physiol.* 50: 71-120.
- ECKE H. 1934. Anatomische und histologische Untersuchungen am Labyrinth der Erdkröte (*Bufo vulgaris* Laurenti). *Ztschr. Morphol. Ökol. Tiere* 29: 79-113.
- FRIBERG U., RASK-ANDERSEN H. & BAGGER-SJÖBACK D. 1984. Human endolymphatic duct. An ultrastructural study. *Arch. Otolaryngol.* 110: 421-428.
- FRIBERG, U. BAGGER-SJÖBACK D. & RASK-ANDERSEN H. 1985. The lateral intercellular spaces (LIS) in the endolymphatic sac. A pathway for fluid transport? *Acta Otolaryngol. (Suppl.)* 426: 1-17.

- HASSE C. 1873 Die Lymphbahnen des inneren Ohres der Wirbeltiere *Anat. Studien* 4: 765-816
- HULTCRANZ H., BAGGER-SJÖBACK D. & RASK-ANDERSEN H. 1986: The embryonic and postnatal development of the endolymphatic sac *Abstracts XXIII. Workshop in Inner Ear Biology*, Berlin, GDR, August 31-September 3.
- INEICH I. & GARDNER A. S. 1989 Qualitative analysis of the development of endolymphatic sacs by a gecko (*Lepidodactylus lugubris*) in French Polynesia. *J. Herpetol.* 23: 414-418
- KAWAMATA S., TOKAYA K. & YOSHIDA T. 1987. Light and electron microscopic study of the endolymphatic sacs of the tree frog, *Hyla arborea japonica*. *Cell Tissue Res.* 249: 57-62.
- KAWAMATA S., TOKAYA K. & YOSHIDA T. 1988: Effect of calcium preloading on the growth of calcium carbonate crystals in the endolymphatic sac of the tree frog, *Hyla arborea japonica*. *Cell Tissue Res.* 252: 679-682
- KLIKA E. & ZAJICOVA A. 1988a: Development of the saccus and ductus endolymphaticus of the domestic fowl (*Gallus gallus f. domestica*). *Fol. Morphol. (Praha)* 36: 214-220
- KLIKA E. & ZAJICOVA A. 1988b: Ultrastructure of the saccus endolymphaticus and leptomeninges of the domestic fowl (*Gallus gallus f. domestica*). *Fol. Morphol. (Praha)* 36: 427-430.
- KLIKA E. & ZAJICOVA A. 1989: The comparative micromorphology of endolymphatic sac in vertebrates. *Plzeň Lék. Sborn., Suppl.* 59: 109-115
- LUNDQUIST P. G. 1965: The endolymphatic duct and sac in the guinea pig. An electron microscopic and experimental investigation. *Acta Otolaryngol. Suppl.* 201: 1-108.
- LUNDQUIST P. G. 1976: Aspects of the endolymphatic sac morphology and function. *Arch. Oto-Rhino-Laryngol.* 212: 231-240.
- RASK-ANDERSEN H. 1979. The vascular supply of the endolymphatic sac. *Acta Otolaryngol.* 88: 315
- RASK-ANDERSEN H., BAGGER-SJÖBACK D. & FRIBERG U. 1984. The ultrastructure of the human endolymphatic duct. *Acta Otolaryngol. Suppl.* 406: 61-66.
- REYNOLDS E. S. 1963. The use of lead citrate at high pH as an electron-opaque stain in electron microscopy. *J. Cell Biol.* 17: 208-212
- SCHINDLER R. 1980: The ultrastructure of the endolymphatic sacs in man. *Laryngoscope Suppl.* 21: 1-9
- SCHINDLER R. A. 1981: Histopathology of the human endolymphatic sac. *Amer. J. Otol.* 3(2): 139-143.
- VASQUEZ C. S. 1955. Calcareous formations in the endolymphatic sac of chicken embryos. *Amer. Otol. Rhinol. Lar.* 64: 1019.
- WHITESIDE B. 1922: The development of the saccus endolymphaticus in *Rana temporaria* Linné. *Amer. J. Anat.* 30: 231-266
- WIEDERSHEIM R. 1876: Zur Anatomie und Physiologie des Phyllodactylus europaeus mit besonderer Berücksichtigung des Aquaeductus vestibuli der Ascalaboten im Allgemeinen. *Morphol. Jahrb.* 1: 495-532.



IN MEMORIAM PROFESSOR OTA OLIVA  
(with complete bibliography)

Professor Ota Oliva, CSc, an ichthyologist of European renown, a foremost Czech zoologist, the founder of modern Czechoslovak ichthyology, died after a short illness in December 29, 1994.



Born in Prague on December 12, 1926, Oliva completed there his secondary-school education. Owing to his deep interest in nature, he matriculated in 1946 at the Charles University, Prague to study natural sciences. As an adolescent, he was greatly interested in aquarium fishes and their breeding, he lectured frequently on this subject and started to publish his experience. At that time, he was greatly influenced by Professor O. V. Hykeš. In the first years of his academic life at the Faculty of Sciences, Charles University, he devoted his time partly to studies on the morphology and secondary sexual characters of fishes, partly to faunistical studies. He contributed to a better understanding of the distribution of several fish species in our country (*Alburnoides bipunctatus*, *Cobitis taenia*, *Cottus poecilopus*, *Gobio albipinnatus*, *G. kessleri* a.o.) and added notes on their first records in the country. The subject of his dissertation thesis was a "Revision of Czechoslovak fishes with reference to their sexual dimorphism". In addition to native fish species, Professor Oliva studied fishes from various other countries such as Poland, Albania, Japan and later Sudan, Iraq and India. Apart from taxonomic studies, he started as early as in the fifties to show interest in various fish populations colonizing pools in the basin of the Labe river. He examined their rate of growth, increase in biomass etc. and published his first papers on the size of fish populations in Czechoslovakia. This became also the topic of his PhD thesis (1958). Later, he focused his attention on large bodies of water, particular the dam-lakes Klíčava and Slapy. In the sixties, being initiated by Professor Grodzinski from the Cracow University, he became involved in studies on the anatomy of fishes. He published a

number of papers along these lines, of which his papers on the size of the surface of gills of Zoarcidae and the myodome of fishes were of particular importance. In 1963, he surveyed in his habilitation paper the results of his studies on the morphology and anatomy of fishes and of his studies on fish populations.

In the seventies and eighties, Professor Oliva's publishing activities were most variegated. On the one hand, he published papers on the morphology of both native and foreign fish species, on the other hand he remained interested in ecological problems. Several of his papers were written in collaboration with foreign authors.

During his academic years, Professor Oliva published 154 original scientific papers, 152 various communications and short reviews, 101 popularizing articles devoted aquaristics, 31 reviews of the literature, 104 biographical papers and obituaries, 6 translations, 11 books and text books for university students. His publishing activities reached their peak with the publication of the four-volume "Fauna of the Czech and the Slovak Republic", which he edited and in which he participated with several chapters. Three of the four volumes have been published: Amphibians, Reptiles and Lampreys and Fishes (Part 1).

Professor Oliva was a member of 8 foreign and 9 Czechoslovak (now 9 Czech) scientific societies such as the Canadian Ichthyological Society, Zoological Society London, Herpetological Society London, Polish Zoological Society Cracow, Indian National Academy of Sciences, Czech Zoological Society and others. He also was a member of several editorial boards of scientific and popular periodicals.

Apart from scientific activities, his time was well occupied by academic work at the Department of Zoology as Chair of Vertebrate Zoology, Faculty of Sciences, Charles University in Prague. He delivered lectures on the zoology



of vertebrates, ichthyology and herpetology, and directed specific practical exercises. He was in charge of a total of 95 theses of which 72 were on ichthyology and 23 on herpetology. He acted as consultant to 6 foreign postgraduates from India, Sri Lanka, the Sudan and Spain (5 PhDs and one Master's degree). All returned to their countries where they are occupying leading positions in research, science and universities.

Owing to his numerous activities, Professor Oliva established a scientific school which contributed greatly to an understanding of fishes as regards their morphology, taxonomy, zoogeography and ecology.

Apart from science, Professor Oliva had many other interests. From his early youth, he was interested in aquarium fishes; between 1958 and 1967, he was Chief Editor of the periodical "Akvárium a terárium" (Aquarium and Terrarium). His knowledge of fishes colonizing all types of water in our country, which he published in various periodicals and passed on in lectures, was greatly appreciated by the practice. Professor Oliva was most popular among those concerned with the propagation of fishes. Another object were amphibians and reptiles, but he was also greatly attracted by history. He published a number of articles about the history of natural sciences.

He kept up many professional and personal contacts with foremost ichthyologists and, whenever approached, was always willing to help. He was an excellent entertainer with a great sense of humor and never spoiled any fun. He kept up a close friendship particularly with a number of Polish ichthyologists.

Professor Oliva's personality and his contribution to the development of zoology, particularly ichthyology, in post-war Czechoslovakia will be even more appreciated as the years go by. Czech science has lost one of its important representatives, who founded modern Czech ichthyology and, as can be said, an ichthyologist of European importance. He has created with his work a sound foundation for the work of his numerous pupils. May it be an inspiration for the future.

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

### Scientific papers

#### 1949:

- 1 [Viviparous lizard in the Lány deer-park]. *Akvaristické Listy* 21: 87 (In Czech, Engl. abstr.).
- 2 [The geographical distribution of the Siberian bullhead (*Cottus poeciliopus* Heckel, 1836) in Moravia]. *Akvaristické Listy* 21: 62-65 (in Czech, Engl. abstr.).
- 3 [About the distribution of *Alburnoides bipunctatus* (Bloch, 1783) - Cyprinidae, Abramidae, in Czechoslovakia]. *Akvaristické Listy* 21: 41-43 (In Czech, Engl. abstr.).

#### 1950:

- 4 OLIVA O. & BRTEK J. [Proterorhinus marmoratus (Pallas, 1811) in Czechoslovakia]. *Akvaristické Listy* 22: 3-5 (in Czech, Engl. abstr.).
- 5 OLIVA O. & SLÁDEČEK J. [Harm caused by Cyclops on young fishes]. *Akvaristické Listy* 22: 13 (in Czech, Engl. abstr.).
- 6 [A partial synopsis of fishes in the brook Křčava]. *Akvaristické Listy* 22: 86, 94-96 (in Czech, Engl. abstr.).
- 7 [Notes on the distribution of *Leucaspius delineatus* (Heckel, 1843) (Cyprinidae) in Bohemia]. *Akvaristické Listy* 22: 62-63 (in Czech, Engl. abstr.).
- 8 [New documents to the geographical distribution of *Lacerta vivipara* in Middle Bohemia]. *Akvaristické Listy* 22: 100-101 (in Czech, Engl. abstr.).
- 9 [The lizard *Lacerta muralis* (Laur.) found in South Slovakia]. *Akvaristické Listy* 22: 107 (in Czech, Engl. abstr.).
- 10 [The Chub without pelvic fins]. *Čs. Rybář* 5: 29-30 (in Czech).
- 11 Notes on collection of fishes obtained by Professor J. Komárek in Macedonia. *Věst. Čs. Společ. Zool.* 14: 229-262.
- 12 [Notes on small collection of fishes from Bulgaria]. *Čas. Nář. Mus.* 1949-50: 100-106 (in Czech, Engl. abstr.).
- 13 [I. The Gudgeon *Gobio belingi* Slastenenko, 1934 (Cyprinidae, Gobiini) in Czechoslovakia. - II. A new fish for Moravia, *Gobio kessleri* Dybowski, 1862]. *Akvaristické Listy* 22: 124-125 (in Czech, Engl. abstr.).
- 14 [Cobitis aurata (De Filippi, 1865) in Moravia]. *Akvaristické Listy* 22: 116-117 (in Czech, Engl. abstr.).
- 15 [Kessler's Gudgeon (*Gobio kessleri* Dybowski, 1862) - a new fish for ČSR]. *Přírodověd. Sbor. Ostravského Kraje* 11: 341-344 (in Czech, Engl. abstr.).
- 16 [The Northern Brown Bullhead (*Ameiurus nebulosus* (La Sueur, 1819))]. *Akvaristické Listy* 22: 74-75 (in Czech, Engl. abstr.).

#### 1951:

- 17 [Alburnus alburnus (L.) morpho lacustris Heckel et Kner in Prague]. *Akvaristické Listy* 23: 22 (in Czech, Engl. abstr.).
- 18 [Report about ichthyological research in Silesia and on the river Bečva in August, 1951]. *Přírodověd. Sbor. Ostravského Kraje* 12: 422-423 (in Czech, Engl. and Russ. abstr.).

- 19 [Marsh Frog (*Rana ridibunda* Pallas, 1771) in Rakovník district] *Čas Nar Mus* **121** 49-55 (in Czech)
- 20 Description of *Salvelinus alpinus* (Linnaeus) from Iceland *Copeia* **1** 91-92
- 21 [The amphibians of Rakovník district] *Akvaristické Listy* **23** 17-19 (in Czech, Engl abstr)
- 22 [To systematics of native Vimbas] *Přirodověd Sbor Ostravského Kraje* **11** 516-520 (in Czech)
- 23 *Gobio belingi* Slastenenko, 1934 = *Gogio albipinnatus* Lukasch, 1933 *Akvaristické Listy* **23** 20-21 (in Czech, Engl abstr)
- 1952**
- 24 OLIVA O., HRBÁČEK V. & HRUŠKA V. [About the nourishment and growth of sheat-fish in the river Vltava] *Čs Rybářství* **6** 94-95 (in Czech)
- 25 [The fish fauna of Bečva river] *Přirodověd Sbor Ostravského Kraje* **13** 193-194 (in Czech, Engl and Russ abstr)
- 26 [On the occurrence of *Abramis ballerus* (L.) and *Abramis vapa* (Pall.) in Czechoslovakia] *Zool, Entomol Listy* **1** 204-207 (in Czech, Engl and Russ abstr)
- 27 [Fishes of the Morava river] *Zool, Entomol Listy* **1** 128-132 (in Czech)
- 28 [On the determination of the bream and the white bream with regard to their scales] *Zool, Entomol Listy* **1** 238-244 (in Czech, Engl and Russ abstr)
- 29 [About the specific determination of charrs from the Black Lake in Šumava] *Věst Čs Společ Zool* **16** 143-149 (in Czech, Germ abstr)
- 30 OLIVA O., BALON E. K. & ŠAFRÁNEK V. [To the Systematics of Native Spinous Loaches, *Cobitis* L.] *Věst Čs Společ Zool* **16** 271-297 (in Czech, Engl and Russ abstr)
- 31 [Notes on Sex Dimorphism of Stone Loach (*Noemacheilus barbatus* L.)] *Čas Nar Mus Odd Přirodověd* **121** 85-87 (in Czech, Engl and Russ abstr)
- 1953**
- 32 Bemerkungen zum Vorkommen von *Alburnoides bipunctatus* (Bloch) und *Leucaspis delineatus* (Heckel) in Mitteleuropa *Zool Anz* **150** 201-202
- 33 [A contribution to the systematics of native perciform fishes] *Věst Čs Společ Zool* **17** 1-13 (in Czech, Engl abstr)
- 34 [A contribution to the review of native lampreys (*Petromyzones* Berg, 1940)] *Věst Čs Společ Zool* **9** (1952) 1-19 (in Czech, Engl abstr)
- 35 OLIVA O. & HRUŠKA V. [Further note about the nourishment and growth in the river Vltava] *Čs Rybářství* **1953** 87-88 (in Czech)
- 36 [To sex dimorphism of common gudgeon, *Gobio gobio* (L.)] *Čas Nar Mus, Odd Přirodověd* **122** 94-96 (in Czech)
- 37 [The synopsis of Marsipobranchs and Fishes of Czechoslovakia] *Sborník ČSAZ* **26 B** 41-46 (in Czech, Engl and Russ abstr)
- 38 [*Gobio albipinnatus* Lukasch, 1933 in Czechoslovakia] *Čas Nar Mus, Odd Přirodověd* **122** 188-192 (in Czech, Engl abstr)
- 39 [The fishes and marsipobranchs of the river Oder] *Přirodověd Sbor Ostravského Kraje* **14** 158-178 (in Czech)
- 1954**
- 40 [A Revision of Czech Cyprinid Fishes (Cyprinidae) with Regard to their Secondary Sexual Characters] *Rozpr II Tr Čes Akad* **42** (1952) 1-43 (in Czech)
- 41 A Revision of the Cyprinid Fishes of Czechoslovakia with Regard to their Secondary Sexual Characters *Bull Intern Acad Tchèque Sci* **53** (1) (1952) 1-61
- 42 OLIVA O. & HRUŠKA V. [Remarks on the revision of Crucian Carps] *Folia Zool, Brno* **4** 89-98 (in Czech, Engl abstr)
- 43 [Supplements to the list of fishes of the river Bečva] *Přirodověd Sbor Ostravského Kraje* **14** 373-376 (in Czech)
- 1955**
- 44 [To the methods of estimation of fish population in the natural pond] *Věst Čs Společ Zool* **19** 365-373 (in Czech, Engl and Russ abstr)
- 45 [The composition of fish populations in three natural ponds in the Elbe river region] *Acta Univ Carol Biologica* **1955** 61-74 (in Czech, Engl and Russ abstr)
- 46 [A contribution to the biology and growth of the carp in backwaters of the river Elbe region] *Acta Univ Carol, Biologica* **1955** 225-273 (in Czech, Engl and Russ abstr)
- 47 [Contribution to the systematics of some native fishes, part I] *Čas Nar Mus, Odd Přirodověd* **124** 70-74 (in Czech)
- 48 [Contribution to the systematics of some native fishes, part II] *Čas Nar Mus, Odd Přirodověd* **124** 171-182 (in Czech)

# 1956

- 49 [Contribution to the systematic of some native fishes, final part] *Čas Nár Mus, Odd Přírodověd* 53-65 (in Czech, Engl and Russ abstr)
- 50 [To the biology of the pike (*Esox lucius* L.)] *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 20 208-223 (in Czech, Engl and Russ abstr)
- 51 [A review of our sculpins (*Cottus* L.)] *Přírodověd Sbor Ostravského Kraje* 17 188-195 (in Czech, Engl and Russ abstr)

# 1957

- 52 OLIVA O & FRANK S [Species composition, abundance and growth of fish population in the reinforced concrete basin in Prague] *Acta Univ Carol, Biologica* 1957 1-6 (in Czech, Engl and Russ abstr)
- 53 [Some experiences with the use of fish poison during the fish populations studies] *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 21 363-365 (in Czech, Engl and Russ abstr)

# 1958

- 54 A note on *Pseudoscaphirhynchus kaufmanni* (Bogdanov) (Osteichthyes, Acipenseridae) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 22 6-9 (Czech abstr)
- 55 [On the sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus* L.) from the river Elbe near of Děčín] *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 22 111-112 (in Czech, Engl and Russ abstr)
- 56 Notes on some shark jaws *Acta Univ Carol, Biol* 1958 351-353 (Czech and Russ abstr)
- 57 [Some results with fish marking] *Acta Univ Carol, Biol* 1958 33-48 (in Czech, Engl and Russ abstr)
- 58 [The growth of the Bream (*Abramis brama* L.) in the inundation area of the river region] *Acta Univ Carol Biol* 1958 169 196 (in Czech, Engl and Russ abstr)
- 59 OLIVA O & OPATRNÝ E [Notes to the list of fishes of the river Želivka drainage] *Čas Nár Mus, Odd Přírodověd* 127 70 71 (in Czech)
- 60 [Revision of the genus *Polypterus* Étienne Geoffroy St Hilaire in Czech collections] *Čas Nár Mus, Odd Přírodověd* 127 191-204 (in Czech)

# 1959

- 61 A note on *Acerina acerina* (Guldenstadt) (Osteichthyes Percidae). *Acta Univ Carol, Biol* 1959 165-168 (Czech abstr)
- 62 A note on *Misgurnus anguillicaudatus* (Cantor, 1842) (Osteichthyes Cobitidae) *Acta Univ Carol, Biol* 1959 169-172
- 63 On the occurrence of Marsh Frog (*Rana ridibunda* Pallas) in Poland *Acta Univ Carol, Biol* 1959 173-176 (Czech abstr)
- 64 OLIVA O & ČIHAR J [The growth of the bream in Slapy Valley Water Reservoir] *Sborník Československé Akademie Zemědělských Věd* 12 889-892 (in Czech, Engl, Russ and French abstr)
- 65 OLIVA O & GREENWOOD P H Does a lungfish breathe through its nose? *Discovery (Norwich, U K)* 1 18-19
- 66 OLIVA O & OPATRNÝ E [On the genus *Calamoichthys* J A Smith, 1968 in our collections (Osteichthyes, Polypteridae)] *Čas Nár Mus, Odd Přírodověd* 128 70-73 (in Czech)
- 67 OLIVA O & FRANK S [Contribution to growth of fishes in Slapy riverine lake] *Čs Rybařství* 7 102 (in Czech)
- 68 OLIVA O & FRANK S [Preliminary evaluation of growth of fishes in Slapy riverine lake] *Čs Rybařství* 8 115 (in Czech)
- 69 OLIVA O & ZANANDREA G Posizione sistematica di una lampreda di Cihstovo (Cecoslovacchia) *Doriana* 98 1-5 (in Italian)
- 70 [Contribution to the question of the problem of standing crop of fishes in natural waters and methods of its estimation] *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 23 280-288 (in Czech, Engl and Russ abstr)
- 71 [Interesting shark jaws (Selachii) from the Museum of Olomouc] *Zprávy Vlastivědného Střediska v Olomouci* 80 32 34 (in Czech)

# 1960

- 72 A further note on *Pseudoscaphirhynchus kaufmanni* (Bogdanov) (Osteichthyes Acipenseridae) *Acta Univ Carol, Biol* 1960 35-36 (Czech abstr)
- 73 Notes on the genus *Aspro* Cuvier, 1829 (Osteichthyes, Percidae) *Acta Univ Carol, Biol* 1960 37-42 (Czech abstr)
- 74 A note on spinous loaches (*Cobitis* Linnaeus) (Osteichthyes, Cobitidae) *Acta Univ Carol, Biol* 1960 43-44 (Czech abstr)
- 75 [Further contribution to the fish population composition in Middle Bohemia] *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 24 42-49 (in Czech)
- 76 Remarks on the distribution of *Neogobius kessleri* (Guenther) and *Neogobius fluviatilis* (Pallas) (Osteichthyes, Gobidae) *Acta Univ Carol, Biol* 1 45-54 (Czech abstr)
- 77 [Some further remarks to the methods of estimation of fish populations] *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 24 105-129 (in Czech Engl and Russ abstr)

- 78 [Further remarks on the European sculpins (*Cottus Linnaeus*, Cottidae, Osteichthyes)] *Věst. Čs. Společ. Zool.* **24**: 222-229 (in Czech, Engl. and Russ. abstr.)
- 79 OLIVA O. & ČIHAR J. [The growth of the bream (*Abramis brama*) in Slapy Valley Water Reservoir]. *Věst. Čs. Společ. Zool.* **24**: 317-322 (in Czech, Engl. and Russ. abstr.)
- 80 OLIVA O. & VOŠTRADOVSKÝ J. [A contribution to the growth of ruff - *Acerina cernua* (L.)]. *Čas. Nár. Mus., Odd. Přírodověd.* **129**: 58-63 (in Czech).
- 81 [Some remarks on geographical distribution and systematic position of Cyclostomes and Fishes in Central Europe] *Przegląd Zoologiczny* **4**: 272-284 (in Polish, Engl. abstr.)
- 82 [The respiratory surface of gills of the viviparous blenny or eel-pout (*Zoarces viviparus* L.)] *Acta Biol. Cracoviensia, Ser. Zoologica* **3**: 71-89 (in Polish, Engl. abstr.)
- 1961**
- 83 OLIVA O. & ŠAFRÁNEK V. [On the systematic of chub (*Leuciscus cephalus* (Linnaeus))] *Čas. Nár. Mus., Odd. Přírodověd.* **130**: 154-156 (in Czech, Engl. abstr.).
- 84 Bemerkungen über einige Fischarten aus Albanien. *Věst. Čs. Společ. Zool.* **25**: 39-54.
- 85 [List of Fishes of the River Labe in Central Bohemia] *Věst. Čs. Společ. Zool.* **25**: 366-368 (in Czech, Engl. abstr.)
- 86 OLIVA O. & HENSEL K. Some remarks on Eastern Asiatic Loaches of the genus *Misgurnus* (Cobitidae). *Jap. Journ. of Ichthyology* **3-4**: 86-91.
- 87 [About the lake or swamp minnow (*Phoxinus phoxinus*)] *Akvárium a Terárium* **6**: 83-84 (in Czech)
- 88 OLIVA O., SOUKUP V., FRANK S., HOLČÍK J. & LOHNISKÝ K. [Effectivity of some fishing methods] *Čs. Rybářství* **8**: 118-119 (in Czech)
- 1962**
- 89 Einige Bemerkungen zum Auftreten von *Proterorhinus marmoratus* (Pallas) in der Tschechoslowakei. *Die Aquarien und Terrarienzeitschrift, Stuttgart* **6**: 171
- 90 OLIVA O. & HENSEL K. Studies on sculpins (*Cottus gobio* Linnaeus) from the river Pruth. *Věst. Čs. Společ. Zool.* **26**: 244-249 (Czech abstr.)
- 91 OLIVA O. & ŠAFRÁNEK V. On some meristic characters of the European Pike-Perch, *Lucioperca lucioperca* (Linnaeus, 1758). *Ichthyologica (International Society of Ichthyology and Hydrobiology)* **1**: 13-14, Srinagar, Kashmir (India)
- 92 A note on *Aphanius fasciatus* (Val., 1821) (Osteichthyes, Cyprinodontidae). *Věst. Čs. Společ. Zool.* **26**: 75-76
- 93 The list of Czechoslovak Marsipobranchs and Fishes. *Čas. Nár. Mus., Odd. Přírodověd.* **1**: 3-7 (in Czech, Engl. abstr.)
- 94 OLIVA O. & ŠAFRÁNEK V. [Remarks on systematics of the dace, *Leuciscus leuciscus* (L.)]. *Čas. Nár. Mus., Odd. Přírodověd.* **1**: 2-4 (in Czech, Engl. abstr.)
- 95 [On the occurrence of the gold loach, *Cobitis aurata* (Filippi) in Poland]. *Przegląd Zoologiczny, Wrocław* **1**: 50-51 (in Polish, Engl. abstr.)
- 96 [A note on Gudgeons from the river Prut] *Przegląd Zoologiczny, Wrocław* **1**: 51-52 (in Polish, Engl. abstr.)
- 97 OLIVA O., FRANK S. & ŠAFRÁNEK V. [A contribution to knowledge of fishes of Dabube river system I. Stream Pámda in Český les] *Čas. Nár. Mus., Odd. Přírodověd.* **127**: 127-134 (in Czech, Engl. abstr.)
- 98 OLIVA O. & HENSEL K. On the occurrence of the South Russian lamprey (*Eudontomyzon mariae* Berg, 1931), in the Vistula Basin. *Acta Univ. Carol., Biol.* **1**: 99-104
- 99 The myodome of the Sea-Trout (*Salmo trutta trutta* L.) and the Rainbow-Trout (*Salmo gairdneri irideus* Gibb.) *Acta Biol. Cracoviensia, Ser. Zool.* **4**: 171-172.
- 100 OLIVA O. & ŠAFRÁNEK V. To systematics of the European Bleak, *Alburnus alburnus* (Linnaeus). *Věst. Čs. Společ. Zool.* **26**: 324-328.
- 101 [Fishery management in reservoirs] *Proceedings of conference: Man-made water reservoirs, Olomouc, 1962* 52-53. Praha: Ústav pro tvorbu a ochranu krajiny ČSAV.
- 1963**
- 102 OLIVA O. & HENSEL K. A note on Weather Fish, *Misgurnus Lacépède*, 1803, from Japan and Vietnam. *Ichthyologica* **1-2**: 23-36, Srinagar, Kashmir, India
- 103 The Gdańsk Swamp-Minnow *Phoxinus phoxinus gdaniensis* Berg, 1932, its rediscovery and systematic position. *Soc. Sci. Gedanensis, Acta Biol. et Medica* **7**: 307-337 (Polish abstr.)
- 1965**
- 104 Contribution to the topographical anatomy of eye-muscles in Chondrosteian fishes. *Věst. Čs. Společ. Zool.* **29**: 118-126
- 105 OLIVA O. & HOLČÍK J. The estimation of the fish population in the Klíčava valley water reservoir. *Věst. Čs. Společ. Zool.* **29**: 249-254
- 106 Zum vorkommen von *Valencia hispanica* (Val., 1846) auf Korfu. *Bonn. Zool. Beitr.* **3/4**: 308-315.

**1966**

- 107 OLIVA O & STOKŁOSOWA S Further note on the myodome of the sea-trout, *Salmo trutta trutta* Linnaeus, with remark on length variability of eye-muscles of the rainbow-trout, *Salmo gairdneri irideus* Gibb *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 30 146-150
- 108 OLIVA O & BANARESCU P A note on *Gobio kessleri* Dybowski, 1862 and *Gobio alpinus* Lukasch, 1933 (Cyprinidae, Osteichthyes) from the river Bečva *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 30 1-4
- 109 Further note on Polish Lampreys (Petromyzonidae) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 30 142-145
- 110 The myodome in pike, *Esox lucius* Linnaeus *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 30 256-258
- 111 Development of Czech ichthyology *Zpravy Čs Spol pro Dějiny Věd a Techn* 4/5 67
- 112 OLIVA O & BALON E K Ichthyology and Herpetology *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 30 352-354

**1967**

- 113 OLIVA O & JAKUBOWSKI M Note on pearl organs of the stone loach, *Noemacheilus barbatulus* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Osteichthyes, Cobitidae) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 31 25-27
- 114 On topography of eye muscles of several Elasmobranchs with regard to their life habits *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 31 51-67

**1968**

- 115 OLIVA O & SKOŘEPA V The myodome in carp (*Cyprinus carpio* Linnaeus) and rapfen (*Aspius aspius* (Linnaeus)) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 32 39-45
- 116 OLIVA O & SKOŘEPA V The myodome in bream, *Abramis brama* (Linnaeus) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 32 181-184
- 117 OLIVA O & SKOŘEPA V The myodome in barbel, *Barbus barbus* (Linnaeus) and tench *Tinca tinca* (Linnaeus) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 32 227-231
- 118 OLIVA O & SKOŘEPA V Myodome in Teleosts *Clupea harengus*, *Osmerus eperlanus*, *Perca fluviatilis*, *Stizostedion lucioperca*, *Lophius piscatorius* *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 32 377-389
- 119 OLIVA O & BALON E K Survey of the results of the Czechoslovak ichthyology and herpetology in last 23 years (1945-1967) *St Knihovna ČSSR 3 4 Novinky Literatury, ř Biologická* 65-69

**1969**

- 120 OLIVA O & SKOŘEPA V The eye muscles in cod, *Gadus morhua callarias* Linnaeus and burbot, *Lota lota* (Linnaeus) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 33 34-39
- 121 OLIVA O & SKOŘEPA V The myodome in the white fish, *Coregonus lavaretus maraena* (Bloch) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 33 238-240
- 122 OLIVA O & SKOŘEPA V The myodome in Cichlid fishes (Cichlidae) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 33 241-251
- 123 OLIVA O & SKOŘEPA V The myodome in some Teleosts *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 33 337-350

**1970**

- 124 OLIVA O & SKOŘEPA V The eye muscles in *Periophthalmus* Bloch et Schneider, 1801 and *Chauliodus* Bloch et Schneider, 1801 (Osteichthyes) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 34 50-57
- 125 OLIVA O & SKOŘEPA V The eye muscles in *Argyrops* olfersi (Cuvier, 1839) and *Boleophthalmus* Val., 1837 *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 34 234-239

**1972**

- 126 OLIVA O & CHITRAVADIVELU K On the systematics of the sterlet, *Acipenser ruthenus* Linnaeus, 1758 (Osteichthyes, Acipenseridae) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 36 209-213
- 127 OLIVA O & CHITRAVADIVELU K A note on the systematics of *Barbus meridionalis petenyl*, 1847 (Osteichthyes, Cyprinidae) from Poland with discussion on allied forms *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 36 258-266

**1973**

- 128 CHITRAVADIVELU K & OLIVA O On the systematics of the European pike-perch *Stizostedion lucioperca* (Linnaeus), 1758 *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 37 89-94
- 129 OLIVA O & CHITRAVADIVELU K Morphometrical note on the westher-fish, *Misgurnus fossilis* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Osteichthyes-Cobitidae) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 37 275-281

**1974**

- 130 OLIVA O & CHITRAVADIVELU K Note on the systematics of the stone-loach, *Noemacheilus barbatulus* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Osteichthyes, Cobitidae) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 38 117-126
- 131 OLIVA O & NAIKSATAM A S On the systematics of the European Grayling, *Thymallus thymallus* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Osteichthyes Thymallidae) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 38 187-214

**1975**

- 132 OLIVA O & FLASAR J On the occurrence of the flounder *Pleuronectes flesus* Linnaeus, 1758 (Osteichthyes, Pleuronectidae) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 39 9-12
- 133 TANDON K K & OLIVA O The growth of the sheatfish, *Silurus glanis* in Czechoslovakia *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 41 271-282

- 1978**  
 134 TANDON K. K. & OLIVA O. Further notes on the growth of pike, *Esox lucius*, from Czechoslovakia (Osteichthyes Clupeiformes) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* **42** 69-76
- 1979**  
 135 Notes on age and growth of the bleak, *Alburnus alburnus* (Pisces Cyprinidae) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* **43** 194-199  
 136 OLIVA O., TANDON K. K. & NAIKSATAM A. S. Note on the growth of common barbel, *Barbus barbus* (Pisces Cyprinidae) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* **43** 200-207  
 137 OLIVA O. & NAIKSATAM A. S. Note on growth of some fishes from the river Dunajec *Věst Čs Společ Zool* **43** 278-282
- 1980**  
 138 VALENTA M., RÁB P., STRATIL A., KALAL L. & OLIVA O. Karyotypes, heterogeneity and polymorphism of proteins in the tetraploid species *Barbus meridionalis* and its hybrids with *Barbus barbus* *Proc. of the XVI th Intern. Conference on Animal Blood Groups and Biochemical Polymorphism Vol. 4 (1979)* 204-214 Leningrad  
 139 JOHAL M. S. & OLIVA O. Key characters of larvae of two European lamprey species (Cyclostomata) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* **44** 197-212
- 1981**  
 140 OLIVA O., PIVNÍČKA K., ŠVÁTORA M. & ZÁVĚTA J. Fishes of reservoirs Kateřina and Modlany near Teplice *Přir. Vyzkum. Těžeb. Pole Chabařovice - Jih* (1979) 396-430 Teplice  
 141 OLIVA O. & JOHAL M. S. On the last Bohemian salmon (*Salmo salar*) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* **45** 102-114
- 1982**  
 142 Further notes on Bohemian salmon (*Salmo salar*, Pisces Salmonidae) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* **46** 264-273
- 1983**  
 143 OLIVA O., JOHAL M. S. & HANEL L. Note on the growth of *Ophicephalus maneli* (Pisces Ophicephaliformes) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* **47** 81-86  
 144 Development of Czechoslovak ichthyology (1945-1960) *Věda v Československu, 1945-1960, Práce z Dejín Přírodních Věd* **15** 137-174
- 1984**  
 145 JOHAL M. S., NOVÁK J. & OLIVA O. Notes on the growth of the common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) in Northern India and in Central Europe *Věst Čs Společ Zool* **48** 24-38
- 1985**  
 146 JUSTYN J., OLIVA O., PIVNÍČKA K. & ŠVÁTORA M. Accumulation of natural radionuclides and growth of selected fish species in contaminated waters *Věst Čs Společ Zool* **49** 253-266
- 1988**  
 147 OLIVA O., HANEL L. & SÁNCHEZ SANJOSE B. On the Bulgarian Bleak, *Alburnus alburnus* (Pisces Cyprinidae) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* **52** 204-216  
 148 [Czechoslovak ichthyology in years 1965-1970] *Sborník Konference k 20. Vyročí Sekce 2.6. Brno ČSAV USEB A SZS při SAV* (in Czech)  
 149 Dynamics of fish populations in small natural ponds *Sixth Congress of European Ichthyologists, Budapest, 15-19th Aug. 1988. Programme and Abstracts* 150
- 1989**  
 150 OLIVA O., HANEL L. & ŠAFRANEK V. On the systematics of the perch (*Perca fluviatilis*) (Pisces, Perciformes) *Věst Čs Společ Zool* **53** 214-225
- 1990**  
 151 KHALID A. M., OLIVA O. & HANEL L. [A contribution to fish fauna and its management in the reservoir Gebel Aulia (Sudan)] *Zb. Ref. z Konf. IS 21.3.1990, Bratislava. Ichthyologická Sekce SZS při SAV a Pobočka ČSVTS Ústavu Rybníkářství a Hydrobiologie* 25-27 (in Czech)
- 1991**  
 152 HANEL L. & OLIVA O. Length and weight growth of pike, *Esox lucius* (Pisces, Clupeiformes) in the Bohemian rivers Vltava and Berounka (with Hanel L.) *Acta Soc. Zool. Bohemoslov* **55** 87-98
- 1992**  
 153 OLIVA O., HANEL L. & ALI A. M. Note on the morphometry of *Vandorhinus trutta* (Pisces, Cypriniformes) from Iraq *Acta Soc. Zool. Bohem* **56** 115-119  
 154 HANEL L., OLIVA O. & ALI A. M. Morphometric note on *Barbus sharpeyi* (Pisces Cypriniformes Cyprinidae) from Iraq *Acta Soc. Zool. Bohem* **57** 101-113  
 155 KOMÁREK V., OLIVA O. & VLČKOVÁ I. 1994 [Importance of topography of alimentary tract of fishes for zoological classification] *Sborník VŠ Zemědělské v Praze* **56 (B)**: 127-134



## Books and booklets

1953.

1. HRABĚ S. & OLIVA O.: *Klíč našich ryb* [Key to native fishes]. Praha: Nakl. ČSAV, 100 pp (in Czech)

1954.

2. OLIVA O., KUNST M., LANDA V., SKUHRAVY V. & VESELOVSKÝ Z.: *Zoologické praktikum* [Zoological laboratory exercises] Praha: Nakl. ČSAV, 373 pp (in Czech).

1955:

3. HYKES O. V. & OLIVA O.: *Průvodce po výstavě "Život v akváriu"* [Catalogue of the exhibition "Life in the aquarium"] Praha: Min. Kultury, 45 pp (in Czech)

1968.

4. OLIVA O., HRABĚ S. & LÁČ J.: *Stavovce Slovenska I. Ryby, obojživelníky a plazy* [Vertebrates of Slovakia I. Fishes, amphibians and reptiles]. Bratislava: Nakl. SAV, 389 pp (in Czech)

1969

5. Strunatci, obecný úvod, str. 543-548, pláštěnci: 548-552, kruhoústí: 552-559. [Chordates, general introduction, pp. 543-548, tunicates: 548-552, lancelets: 552-559. In V. Novák (ed.): *Historical development of organisms*. In V. Novák (ed.): *Historický vývoj organismů*. Praha: Academia, 835 pp (in Czech).

1973:

6. HRABĚ S., OLIVA O. & OPATRNÝ E.: *Klíč našich ryb, obojživelníků a plazů* [Key of native fishes, amphibians and reptiles] Praha: SPN, 347 pp (in Czech).

1992

7. BARUŠ V., OLIVA O. & KOL.: *Obojživelníci. Fauna ČSFR, svazek 25* [Amphibians. Fauna of CSFR, Vol. 25] Praha: Academia, 338 pp (in Czech)

8. BARUŠ V., OLIVA O. & KOL.: *Plazi. Fauna ČSFR, svazek 26* [Reptiles. Fauna of CSFR, Vol. 26]. Praha: Academia, 222 pp (in Czech).

1995

9. BARUŠ V., OLIVA O. & KOL.: *Mihulovci (Petromyzontes) a Ryby (I) (Osteichthyes). Fauna ČR a SR, svazek 28/1* [Lampreys (Petromyzontes) and Fishes (Osteichthyes) (1). Fauna of CSFR, Vol. 28/1]. Praha: Academia, 623 pp (in Czech)

## Teaching texts

1955.

1. *Strunatci I* [Chordata I]. Praha: SPN, 109 pp (in Czech).

1957.

2. *Strunatci II* [Chordata II]. Praha: SPN, 396 pp (in Czech)

## Various communications (in Czech)

1946

1. [The influence of Cyclops on fish fry]. *Akvaristické listy* 18: 8.

1947.

2. [The duplicity of barbels at common brown bullhead, *Ameiurus nebulosus* Lsr] *Akvaristické listy* 19: 20

1949

3. OLIVA O. & ŠTRAUB R.: [Still to matters] *Čs. Rybář* 4: 99.

4. [Chief distinctive characters of the bream, *Abramis brama*, and the white bream, *Blicca bjoerkna*]. *Čs. Rybář* 4: 153-154

1950.

5. [To the distribution of stone-loach, *Cobitis taenia* L.] *Akvaristické listy* 22: 172.

6. [Fishes help mankind to health]. *Vesmír* 29: 155

1951:

7. [*Gobio belingi* Slastenenko, 1934 = *Gobio albipinnatus* Lukaš, 1933]. *Akvaristické listy* 23: 20-21

1952.

8. [The determination of age in fishes] *Rybářská příručka*, 29-34.



- 1954  
9. [Still to the question of fish measuring] *Čs. Rybářství* 9: 135.
- 1958  
10 [About the fish density in native natural waters] *Polovníctvo a Rybárstvo* 10: 233-235, 11: 262
- 1959  
11 [About Polish zoological collections] *Čas Nár Mus* 128 77-80  
12 [Rotenone and its utilization in fishery] *Polovníctvo a Rybárstvo* 11: 19  
13 [Do you know, what is the "sea trout" ?] *Polovníctvo a Rybárstvo* 11: 23  
14 [About Polish fisheries and fishery research] *Polovníctvo a rybárstvo* 11 16
- 1960  
15 OLIVA O. & VOSTRADOVSKY J.: [Growth tempo of the popc-fish in native reservoirs]. *Čs.Rybářství* 1 6  
16 [Some Polish fisheries methods] *Čs. Rybářství* 4. 57-58  
17 OLIVA O. & FRANK S.: [Within fishes sometimes interesting things could be found]. *Čs. Rybářství* 5 147
- 1961  
18 [About possibility of existence of some unknown animals in Europe - the Percid fish *Romanichthys valsanicola*?]. *Květy* 1961/6 8.  
19 [People and fishes] *Akvárium a Terárium* 5: 65
- 1962  
20 OLIVA O. & HOLČÍK J. [Some interesting notes on carp]. *Výživa a Zdravie* 12 269-270.  
21 OLIVA O. & FRANK S. [How grows a fish] *Čs. Rybářství* 1.  
22. OLIVA O. & ČIHAR J.: [Bream] *Čs. Rybářství* 2  
23 OLIVA O. & VOSTRADOVSKY J.: [Pike] *Čs. Rybářství* 4  
24 OLIVA O. & VOSTRADOVSKY V.: [Carp]. *Čs. Rybářství* 5  
25 [Chub] *Čs. Rybářství* 10
- 1963  
26 [Bleak] *Čs. Rybářství* 2.
- 1966  
27 [Development of Czech ichthyology]. *Zprávy Čs. Společ. pro Dějiny Věd a Tech.* 4/5: 67  
28 OLIVA O. & BALON E. K. [Ichthyology and Herpetology in Czechoslovakia]. II Celostátní Sjezd Československých Zoológů v Brně 7.-9. září 1965 *Věst Čs. Společ. Zool.* 30: 352-354.
- 1968  
28. [Not on *Vimba vimba*]. *Rybářství* 12: 192.
- 1969  
29. [About octopods, tunnas and seifishes]. *Rybářství* 3 69  
30. [About new organisation of native ichthyologists]. *Akvárium a Terárium* 1: 32  
31 [About cods and others]. *Rybářství* 5. 110.
- 1970  
32 [The common lizard with two tails] *Akvárium a Terárium* 13 58-59
- 1974  
33. [Ichthyological session in Brno] *Akvárium a Terárium* 17: 64.  
34 HOLČÍK J. & OLIVA O. [On the ecological problems of Czechoslovak ichthyology - (1965-1973)]. *Zprávy Čs. Společ. Zool.* 4-6: 35-45  
35 [Note on salmon]. *Živa* 22. 191
- 1975  
36 [Some notes on Australian lung fish]. *Živa* 23 70  
37 [Some explanations to the scheme of historical development of Agnatha and fishes] *Živa* 23. 110-111  
38 OLIVA O. & LELLAK J. [Some notes on fishes and hydrobiology of Prague section of the river Vltava] *Živa* 23 189  
39 [Zoological observations in "The diary book about the travells of Lev, lord of Rožmitál and Blatná, from Bohemia up to the world's end"] *Akvárium a Terárium* 18. 94  
40 [Herpetological section]. *Akvárium a Terárium* 18. 191.
- 1977  
41. [Flying fishes] *Živa* 25. 31
- 1978  
42 OLIVA O. & TANDON K. K. [The growth of wels in native waters] *Rybářství* 5: 109.  
43. [30 years of Czechoslovak ichthyology]. *Živa* 26 162 163.  
44 [Results of Czechoslovak ichthyology (1945-1976)] *Správy Slov Zool. Spol. Bratislava* 5. 98-100
- 1979

- 45 [Pumpkinseed - *Lepomis gibbosus*] *Živa* 27 104-105
- 46 [Gars - *Lepisosteidae*] *Živa* 27 187-188
- 47 [On the history of Czechoslovak ichthyology (1918-1948)] *Shorník "Věda v Československu" 11 Práce z Dějin Přír. Věd, Praha, Ústav Čr a Svět Dějin ČSAV a Čs Společ. pro Dějiny Věd a Tech* 311-332
- 1980**
- 48 [Family *Loricariidae*] *Živa* 28 35
- 1981**
- 49 [Several interesting things about wels] *Živa* 39 23 25
- 50 [Genus *Dendrobates*] *Živa* 29 28
- 51 [Wels - continuation] *Živa* 29 63-65
- 52 [Genus *Agalychnis*] *Živa* 29 150
- 1982**
- 53 [Family *Serranidae*] *Živa* 30 29-30
- 54 [Lionfishes] *Živa* 30 191
- 1983**
- 55 [*Anableps tetraphthalmus*] *Živa* 31 70
- 56 [Flying fishes] *Živa* 31 106
- 57 [Development of Czechoslovak ichthyology (1945-1960)] *Věda v Československu, 1945-1960, Práce z Dějin Přírodních Věd* 15 137-174
- 58 [Genus *Vandellia*] *Živa* 31 190
- 1984**
- 59 [On the question of fish abundance in our waters] *Živa* 32 188
- 60 [Swordfish and billfishes] *Živa* 32 188
- 1985**
- 61 [Influence of people on fishes] *Živa* 33 30
- 62 [*Periophthalmus*] *Živa* 33 66
- 63 [*Scyliorhinus*] *Živa* 33 66
- 1986**
- 64 [*Arapaima gigas*] *Živa* 34 185-186
- 1987**
- 65 [Longevity of amphibians] *Živa* 35 71
- 1988**
- 66 [Czechoslovak ichthyology in years 1965-1970] *ČSAV USEB a SZS při SAV Ichthyol. Sekce Sborník Konference k 20. výročí sekce, Brno* 2-6
- 67 [Functional anatomy and key of native fishes - 8] Pp. 1-16 In: Reiser F. (ed.) *Přednášky pro školení rybářských hospodářů MO ČRS Praha* SZN, 85 pp

#### Short reviews (in Czech)

##### 1946

- 1 [*Barbus semifasciatus* Guenther] *Akvaristické Listy* 18 10
- 2 [*Mesogonistius chaetodon* Baird] *Akvaristické Listy* 18 10-11
- 3 [*Pachypanchax playfairi*] *Akvaristické Listy* 18 12-13
- 4 [Turtles] *Akvaristické Listy* 18 13
- 5 [Food] *Akvaristické listy* 18 8
- 6 [On the use of mineral water in aquarium] *Akvaristické Listy* 18 12

##### 1947

- 7 [*Pterophyllum eimeckei* Ahl] *Akvaristické Listy* 19 7
- 8 [*Haplochromis multicolor*] *Akvaristické Listy* 19 20
- 9 [*Hyphessobrycon innesi* Myers] *Akvaristické Listy*
- 10 [*Hippocampus*] *Akvaristické Listy* 19 22
- 11 [Food of big boas] *Akvaristické listy* 19 22
- 12 [*Nannacara anomala*] *Akvaristické Listy* 19 25
- 13 [*Cobitis taenia* L.] *Akvaristické Listy* 19 34
- 14 [*Misgurnus fossilis*] *Akvaristické Listy* 19 34
- 15 [*Anoptichthys jordani*] *Akvaristické Listy* 19 34-35
- 16 [*Artemia salina*] *Akvaristické Listy* 19 35

- 17 [Echinodorus tenellus] *Akvaristické Listy* 19 35
- 18 [Aquarists in Czechoslovakia] *Akvaristické Listy* 19 53
- 19 [Enneacanthus gloriosus] *Akvaristické Listy* 19 54
- 20 [European perch (Perca fluviatilis)] *Akvaristické listy* 19 54
- 21 [Reptiles] *Akvaristické Listy* 19 55
- 1948**
- 22 [North American snakes] *Akvaristické Listy* 20 12-13
- 23 [The most popular aquarium fishes in history] *Akvaristické Listy* 20 29
- 24 [Lacerta agilis] *Akvaristické Listy* 20 29
- 25 [Eastern Garden Tropical Fish Hatchery] *Akvaristické Listy* 20 40-41
- 26 [Atelopus stelzneri] *Akvaristické Listy* 20 71
- 27 [Ambystoma mexicanum] *Akvaristické Listy* 20 71
- 28 [Fish trade Indonesia - Europe] *Akvaristické Listy* 20 71
- 29 [About journal "Akvaristické Listy"] *Akvaristické Listy* 20 72
- 30 [Checklist of amphibians and reptiles of Palestina] *Akvaristické Listy* 20 84-85
- 31 [Agkistrodon mokasen] *Akvaristické Listy* 20 84
- 32 [The venom of Agkistrodon] *Akvaristické Listy* 20 84
- 33 [Worm lizards of Brazilia] *Akvaristické Listy* 20 110
- 34 [Amphibians and reptiles import to Holland] *Akvaristické Listy* 20 110
- 1949**
- 35 [Food of young terrarium animals] *Akvaristické Listy* 21 22-23
- 36 [Breeding of Paracheirodon innesi] *Akvaristické Listy* 21 47
- 37 [Golden fish] *Akvaristické Listy* 21 48
- 38 [Danio malabaricus] *Akvaristické Listy* 21 48
- 39 [Epiplatys chaperti (Sauvage)] *Akvaristické Listy* 21 49
- 40 [Genus Aphiosemon] *Akvaristické Listy* 21 49
- 41 [Aphiosemon australe] *Akvaristické Listy* 21 49
- 42 [Colisa labiosa] *Akvaristické Listy* 21 50
- 43 [Breeding of Xenopus laevis] *Akvaristické Listy* 21 50-51
- 44 [Biology of Agkistrodon, Crotalus and Sistrurus] *Akvaristické Listy* 21 51-52
- 45 [A survey of aquarium fishes breded in Denmark] *Akvaristické Listy* 21 88-89
- 46 [Breeding of Ambassis lala] *Akvaristické Listy* 20 90
- 47 [Helostoma temminckii] *Akvaristické Listy* 21 90
- 48 [Breeding of Hyphessobrycon gracilis] *Akvaristické Listy* 21 91
- 49 [Tanichthys albonubes] *Akvaristické Listy* 21 91
- 1950**
- 50 [Water plants surroundings the town Budyně n. O.] (review of article from Botanické listy 3) *Akvaristické Listy* 22 28
- 51 [Galimbek A. I. A try of the wintering of carp fry in temperate reservoirs] *Sov Věda (Biol.)* 75
- 52 [About australian fishes (Waterlife 1950 65)] *Akvaristické Listy* 22 85
- 53 [Gasteropelecus laevis (Waterlife 1950 60)] *Akvaristické Listy* 22 85
- 54 [Fishing in Sierra Leone (Waterlife 1950 61)] *Akvaristické Listy* 22 85
- 55 [Shoals in the genus Coregonus (Revue Suisse Zool. 53 518)] *Akvaristické Listy* 22 86
- 56 [New methods of finding fish shoals in the sea (BMOIP (b) 1950 1 86-96)] *Sov Věda (Biol.)* 75
- 1951**
- 57 [Levanidov I. P. Chemical structure of herring meat from west coast of Sakhalin (Ryb. Choz. 1950, 1 42-44)] *Sov Věda (Biol.)* 75
- 58 [Nadezhin V. M. On the concentration of some fish species in the Black Sea] *Sov Věda (Biol.)* 75
- 59 [Probalov A. N. Herring migration in the spawning to the west coast of Sakhalin] *Sov Věda (Biol.)* 76
- 60 [Sebencov B. M., Michejev P. V. Ways to the better fishery management in new built water reservoirs] *Sov Věda (Biol.)* 76
- 61 [Borzenko M. P. On the distribution and the growth of the sterlet in the Caspian Sea] *Sov Věda (Biol.)* 398
- 62 [Drabkina B. M. On the influence of daily food quantity on the physiology of sturgeons fry] *Sov Věda (Biol.)* 398
- 63 [Kazanskij B. N. Fishery use of sturgeon from the river Kura] *Sov Věda (Biol.)* 398
- 64 [Perov V. J. The wintering of the carp in ponds on the north of the USSR] *Sov Věda (Biol.)* 398
- 65 [Czesalin V. A. Biological and economical quality of the catfish] *Sov Věda (Biol.)* 633
- 66 [Matvejev B. S. The development of sturgeon fry in artificial conditions on the river Volha] *Sov Věda (Biol.)* 634

- 67 [Emeljanov S. V.: Some factors influencing the development of sturgeon eggs] *Sov. Věda (Biol.)* 634.
- 68 [Morozova T. J.: The influence of the sexual dimorphism on breeding of fishes] *Sov. Věda (Biol.)* 636.
- 1952**
- 69 [Jemeljanov S. V.: The hatching of *Acipenser güldenstadti* and *Acipenser stellatus* in the Seth-Green apparatus and its influence on the development of sense of smell]. *Sov. Věda (Biol.)* 103.
- 70 [Pomerancev G. P.: The acclimatization of coregonids from the lake Ladoga in lakes on Ural]. *Sov. Věda (Biol.)* 344.
- 71 [Fortunatova K. P.: The method of determining of the food of forage fishes] *Sov. Věda (Biol.)* 344.
- 72 [Smirnov A. I.: Spawning places of some fish species in the Black Sea] *Sov. Věda (Biol.)* 221-222.
- 1956**
- 73 [The breeding of sea horses] *Živa* 4 194.
- 74 [The goldfish] *Živa* 4 229-230.
- 1957:**
- 75 [*Helostoma temminckii* Cuv. et Val.] *Živa* 5 194.
- 76 [The colour variation of the goldfish]. *Živa* 5 150.
- 77 [The breeding of fishes of the genus *Betta*] *Živa* 5 149.
- 1958:**
- 78 [The "Black Mollienesia"] *Živa* 6 237.
- 79 [*Astronotus ocellatus* Agassiz]. *Živa* 6 233.
- 80 [*Tilapia mosambica* (Peters)]. *Živa* 6 233.
- 1959**
- 81 [*Aplocheilichthys taeniatus* (remarks to the article O. Albertová: Fishes of the Chile)]. *Akvárium a Terárium* 2 14.
- 1967:**
- 82 [Harder W.: Anatomie der Fische] *Ichthyologica* 4 (1965) (1-2) 100.
- 1971:**
- 83 [Mendis A. S., Fernando C. H.: "A guide to the freshwater Fauna of Ceylon"] *Akvárium a Terárium* 6 190-192.
- 1972.**
- 84 [*Lacerta muralis muralis*] *Akvárium a Terárium* 7 52.
- 85 [The journal "Akvárium a Terárium" (remarks to the article of prof. Dyk)] *Akvárium a Terárium* 7 157.

#### Biographies and obituaries (in Czech)

- 1948**
- 1 [+ Prof. Dr. Václav Breindl] *Akvaristické Listy* 20: 67.
- 1949**
- 2 [+ Prof. Dr. Karel Schaferna] *Akvaristické Listy* 21 88.
- 3 [To 20th anniversary of editor's activity of Prof. Dr. O. V. Hykeš in "Akvaristické Listy", 1(1921)-20(1948)]. *Akvaristické Listy* 21 15.
- 4 [Bibliography of communications of Prof. Dr. O. V. Hykeš in "Akvaristické Listy", 1(1921)-20(1948)]. *Akvaristické Listy* 21 7-15.
- 5 [Karel Žezulka died]. *Akvaristické Listy* 21: 45.
- 1951**
- 6 [Stalin's prize laureate Leo Semenowich Berg] *Sov. Věda (Biol.)* 285-287.
- 1952:**
- 7 [Academician L. S. Berg, 1876-1950, obituary] *Za Socialistické Zemědělství* 2 1448-54.
- 1955:**
- 8 [To the life's work of the late Dr. F. Stoliczka]. *Čas. Nár. Mus.* 124 220-221.
- 1956:**
- 9 [Prof. PhDr. et MVDr. h.c. O. V. Hykeš]. *Věst. Čs. Společ. Zool.* 20 193-207.
- 1958**
- 10 [70th anniversary of Antonín Kropáček] *Akvárium a Terárium* 1 32.
- 11 [Prof. Sergej Vladimirovič Obrazcov] *Akvárium a Terárium* 1 80.
- 12 [About the late Prof. Dr. O. V. Hykeš] *Akvárium a Terárium* 1 3.
- 13 [50th anniversary of Master Josef Gluckselig] *Akvárium a Terárium* 1 32.
- 14 [Václav Lébl died] *Akvárium a Terárium* 1 48.
- 1960**
- 15 O. OLIVA & STRAŠKRABA M. [Dr. Stanko Karaman died]. *Věst. Čs. Společ. Zool.* 24 285-286.

- 1961**  
16 [70th anniversary of Zygmunt Lorec] *Akvárium a Terárium* 6 94-95
- 1962**  
17 [50th anniversary of Prof MVDr Václav Dyk] *Akvárium a Terárium* 5 30  
18 [Life jubilee remember on Antonín Kropáček] *Akvárium a Terárium* 5 32  
19 [About outstanding Polish aquarist Zygmund Lorec] *Akvárium a Terárium* 5 32
- 1963**  
20 [+ Lubomír Jungvirth] *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 27 345  
21 [Prof Dr A. Frič as ichthyologist] *Čas Nár Mus* 122 191 194
- 1964**  
22 [Zygmund Lorec died] *Akvárium a Terárium* 7 29  
23 [+ RNDr Robert Štraub] *Akvárium a Terárium* 7 96  
24 [Ichthyology and A. Frič] *Zprávy Čs Společ Pro Dějiny Vědy a Tech* 16 21 22
- 1965**  
25 [Obituary note on Jan Tašner] *Akvárium a Terárium* 8 65  
26 JÍROVEC O. & OLIVA O. [25 years elapsed from the death of Professor Vejvodský] *Živa* 13 68
- 1966**  
27 OLIVA O. & PUCHTA V. [+ RNDr Robert Štraub] *Věst Čs Společ Zool* 30 94 96
- 1967**  
28 [+ Prof Dr E. Bartoš] *Akvárium a Terárium* 10 48  
29 [100th anniversary of birth of the late Prof Antonín Nosek] *Akvárium a Terárium* 10 32  
30 [Academician Otto Jírovec celebrated 60 years] *Akvárium a Terárium* 10 31  
31 [Dr Gustav Daněk celebrated 75 years] *Akvárium a Terárium* 10  
32 + Marie Dvořáková. *Akvárium a Terárium* 10 48
- 1968**  
33 [Remarkable life jubilee Prof Dr V. Vladykov] *Rybnarství* 12 187  
34 [Doc Ing. Dr. techn. František Volf celebrated 70 years] *Akvárium a Terárium* 11 63  
35 [Prof. Ing. Dr. techn. Boris Kostomarov celebrated 70 years] *Akvárium a Terárium* 11 80  
36 [Remember on the late Professor Dr. František Vejvodský] *Akvárium a Terárium* 11 157 158
- 1969**  
37 [Ing. Dr. Rudolf Pytlík celebrated 70 years] *Akvárium a Terárium* 12 31  
38 [Before 30 years May 22 nd 1939, died Jiří Mahen] *Akvárium a Terárium* 12 68  
39 [Hermann Meinken celebrated 70 years] *Akvárium a Terárium* 12 60-62  
40 OLIVA O. & OBR. S. [Prof. Dr. Sergěj Hrabě, DrSc fulfilled 70 years of life] *Akvárium a Terárium* 12 189-190  
41 [J. E. Purkyně and aquaristics] *Živa* 17 148
- 1970**  
42 [Prof. Dr. Václav Vladykov fulfilled 70 years] *Živa* 17 23  
43 [Note to Purkyně's interests in aquaristics] *Živa* 18 106 107
- 1971**  
44 [RNDr E. K. Balon, CSc fulfilled 40 years] *Akvárium a Terárium* 14 180  
45 [Remember on Jaroslav Prokopec] *Akvárium a Terárium* 14 189 190  
46 [Hermann Meinken fulfilled 75 years] *Akvárium a Terárium* 14 189
- 1972**  
47 [Karel Polák fulfilled 60 years] *Akvárium a Terárium* 15 155  
48 [Remember on the late Professor Dr. Jaroslav Lang] *Akvárium a Terárium* 15 158  
49 [Václav Šafránek fulfilled 60 years] *Akvárium a Terárium* 15 175  
50 [Prof. MVDr Václav Dyk, DrSc fulfilled 60 years] *Akvárium a Terárium* 15 180 181  
51 [Academician Otto Jírovec died] *Akvárium a Terárium* 15 185 186  
52 [Professor MVDr Václav Dyk fulfilled 60 years] *Slov. Zool. Spol. při SAV, Ich. Sekce, Sbor. Ref. z. Ich. Konf. Brno* 16 173 1972 2 5
- 1973**  
53 [Doc. Dr. Jaroslav Hrbáček, CSc fulfilled 50 years] *Akvárium a Terárium* 16 62  
54 [The life anniversary of Bedřich Sochor] *Akvárium a Terárium* 16 62  
55 [Master Josef Glückselig fulfilled 65 years] *Akvárium a Terárium* 16 187  
56 [Note to unfulfilled life anniversary of Professor Dr. E. Babák] *Živa* 21 221 222
- 1974**  
57 [To 75th anniversary of Doc. Ing. Dr. techn. František Volf] *Akvárium a Terárium* 17 60 61  
58 DYK V. & OLIVA O. [Doc. Ing. Dr. techn. František Volf finished 75 years] *Živa* 22 100

1975

- 60 [Hermann Meinken promoted to Dr Sc. Nat.]. *Akvárium a Terárium* 18: 60-61.
61. [To the memory of Profesor Dr Alois Mrázek]. *Akvárium a Terárium* 18: 47-48.
- 62 [Who was Bedřich Žežula?]. *Akvárium a Terárium* 18: 153.
63. [Remember on Prof Dr O. V. Hykeš]. *Akvárium a Terárium* 18: 183-185.
- 64 DYK V. & OLIVA O. [20th anniversary of death of Prof Dr O. V. Hykeš, 11.10 1895-9.12 1955]. *Živa* 23: 181-182.
- 65 [Academician Vilém Laufberger fulfilled 85 years]. *Akvárium a Terárium* 18: 188.

1976

- 66 [On the life anniversary of Doc Dr J. Hrbáček, CSc.]. *Živa* 24: 140-141.

1977

- 67 OLIVA O. & HOLČÍK J. The hundredth anniversary of the birth of academician L. S. Berg. *Folia Zool.* 26: 93-95 (in English)
- 68 DYK V. & OLIVA O. [Contribution to the life work of Prof Julius Komárek]. *Dějiny Věd a Techniky* 3: 157-166
- 69 [Prof G. V. Nikolskij died]. *Živa* 25: 179.
- 70 [The hundredth anniversary of the birth of academician L. S. Berg]. *Živa* 25: 181-182.
- 71 [Prof Dr V. Dyk, DrSc fulfilled 65 years]. *Rybníkářství* 12: 267.

1978

- 72 OLIVA O. & HOLČÍK J. [The life work of Lev Semjonovič Berg]. *Dějiny Věd a Techniky* 1: 23-28.
73. [Prof MVDr Václav Dyk, DrSc fulfilled 65 years]. *Správy Slov. Zool. Společ. Bratislava* 5: 123-124
74. [The hundredth anniversary of the birth of academician L. S. Berg]. *Správy Slov. Zool. Společ. Bratislava* 5: 117-118
- 75 [Prof G. V. Nikolskij]. *Správy slov. Zool. Spol. Bratislava* 5: 119-120.
- 76 [Professor PhDr MVDr h. c. O. V. Hykeš died 20 years ago]. *Správy Slov. Zool. Spol. Bratislava* 5: 121-122
77. DYK V. & OLIVA O.: [Oldřich Vilém Hykeš - A contribution to the history of the Czechoslovak biology]. *Dějiny Věd a Techniky* 4: 228-235.
- 78 OLIVA O. & HOLČÍK J. [Professor G. V. Nikolskij deceased]. *Folia Zool.* 27: 191-192.

1979

- 80 [Prof MVDr Václav Dyk, DrSc]. *Živa* 27: 61.
- 81 [Prof Dr Vadim D. Vladykov fulfilled 80 years]. *Čs. Rybníkářství* 6 (1978): 36.
82. [To the anniversary of Prof MVDr Václav Dyk, DrSc]. *Čs. Rybníkářství* 6 (1978): 35-36.
83. [Ing. Ladislav Káhal, CSc.]. *Rybníkářství* 6: 138.
84. [The remember on prof G. V. Nikolskij]. *Čs. Rybníkářství* 7: 36.
85. [Academician L. S. Berg]. *Čs. Rybníkářství* 7: 36-37.
86. [To the anniversary of Doc RNDr Otakar Štěpánek]. *Živa* 26: 180-181.
87. [Ladislav Káhal fulfilled 50 years]. *Živa* 26: 181
- 88 OBR S. & OLIVA O.: [Sergěj Hrabě fulfilled 80 years]. *Živa* 27: 223-224.

1980

- 89 [František Volf (7.9.1898 - 3.1.1979)]. *Věst. Čs. Společ. Zool.* 44: 152-156.

1981

- 90 [Jiří Čihař fulfilled 50 years]. *Živa* 28: 218

1982

- 91 [Prof MVDr. Václav Dyk, DrSc fulfilled 70 years]. *Akvárium a Terárium* 25: 4-5
- 92 DYK V. & OLIVA O.: [Prof PhDr MVDr h. c. Oldřich Vilém Hykeš - master of popularizing]. *Živa* 30: 217-218
- 93 [Dyk's importance for development of native ichthyology]. *Parazitologické Aktuality, St. Veter. Správa, Min. Zeměděl. a Výživy* 2: 89-95

1983

- 94 OLIVA O. & ROMAN J. [To the life anniversary of Bedřich Sochor]. *Akvárium a Terárium* 21: 21.
95. [To the life anniversary of Ivo Flassar]. *Živa* 21: 181

1984

- 96 DYK V. & OLIVA O.: [Z. Kux and nature]. *Polovnictví a Rybníkářství* 36: 31.

1985

- 97 [The hundredth anniversary of the birth of prof Dr Karel Schaferna (1884-1950)]. *Živa* 33: 21.
- 98 [Vlastimil Baruš fulfilled 50 years]. *Živa* 33: 179-180.

1987

99. [Evžen Opatrný fulfilled 50 years]. *Vertebrat. Zpr. Brno*: 43-44.
100. [Drawing of fishes as married collaboration - gallery of painters 46 - Sylvia Dyková]. *Živa* 35: 235



1989

101 [Academician Josef Kratochvíl - university teacher]. *Zprávy ÚSEB* 1989 52-54

1991

102 OLIVA O. & KRHOVSKÝ J.: [The life anniversary of prof RNDr Zdeněk V. Špinar, DrSc]. *Živa* 39: 189

1992

103 KOMÁREK V. & OLIVA O.: [Professor Václav Dyk fulfilled 80 years] *Náš Chov* 4

104 KOMÁREK V. & OLIVA O.: [The life anniversary of professor Václav Dyk - fulfilled 80 years] *Folia Venatoria* 22 303-304

#### Reviews of literatures

1947

1. "Danmarks Aquarium" *Akvaristické Listy* 19: 33-34 (in Czech)

2. "Lacerta". *Akvaristické Listy* 19: 36-37 (in Czech).

1948

3 Ročenka Severočeského přírodovědeckého klubu v Liberci, 1947. *Akvaristické Listy* 20: 70 (in Czech).

4 "Maandblad voor Aquarium-en Terrariumkunde" *Akvaristické Listy* 20: 82 (in Czech)

5 "Het Aquarium" *Akvaristické Listy* 20: 111 (in Czech)

6 Jaroslav Kraus Akvárium *Akvaristické Listy* 20: 110-111 (in Czech).

7 O mikrospondilích, cizopasnících sladkovodních ryb *Akvaristické Listy* 20: 71-72 (in Czech).

1949

8 "Lacerta". *Akvaristické Listy* 21: 132 (in Czech).

9 "Akvarion Ystava". *Akvaristické Listy* 21: 46 (in Czech).

10. Dr Jacques Pellegrin: Petit atlas des poissons. III. Poissons des eaux douces espèces francaises. Paris, N. Boubéc, 1943. 55 pp. *Čs. Rybář* 6: 96 (in Czech)

1950

11. Gossot P.: Ce qu'il faut savoir des poissons des eaux douces de France. *Akvaristické Listy* 22: 34 (in Czech).

12. Prof G. V. Nikolskij: Biologija ryb. Moskva: Sov. Nauka, 230 pp. *Čs. Rybář* 5: 64 (in Czech)

1952

13 Václav Dyk: Naše Ryby. III. vyd. *Čs. Rybář* 7: 68 (in Czech)

1953

14 Drenski P.: Ribitě na Blgarija. *Folia Ent., Brno* 2: 69-70 (in Czech).

1957

15. Dvě nové knihy v akvaristice. *Živa* 43: 76 (in Czech).

16 Bohumil Lhotský: Skleněné domovy. *Živa* 43: 75-76 (in Czech).

17. Zdeněk Vogel: Akvarijní rybky *Živa* 43: 76 (in Czech).

18. SLADČEK F. & OLIVA O.: Rozmnožování a plemenitba ryb I Boris Kostomarov *Čs. Biologie* 5: 77-79 (in Czech)

1967

19 Młynarski M.: W'sród zararak i grzechotników *Akvárium a Terárium* 10: 47 (in Czech).

20 Poznámka k recenzi O. Štěpánek - M. Kocar: Atlas obratlovců 2. Obojživelníci a plazi *Akvárium a Terárium* 10: 47 (in Czech)

1968

21 Poznámka (Atlas obratlovců - I. Ryby : O.Štěpánek, M. Kocar) *Akvárium a Terárium* 11: 32 (in Czech)

1970

22. Beitrage zur Neotropischen Fauna. Begrundet von E. Titschack und H.-W. Koepcke. 2 Hft. V. Bd. 1967 *Věst. Čs. Společ. Zool.* 34: 157 (in Czech.).

23 Kuhn O. 1967 Amphibien und Reptilien. Katalog der Subfamilien und hoher Taxa mit Nachweis der ersten Auftretens. Stuttgart: G. Fischer Verlag, 124 pp. *Věst. Čs. Společ. Zool.* 34: 157 (in Czech)

1972

24 Časopis "Akvárium a Terárium" je probírán . . *Akvárium a Terárium* 15: 157 (in Czech).

1975

25. DrSc. nat. Klaus Kabisch: Die Ringelmatter *Matrix natrx* (L.) *Akvárium a Terárium* 18: 3 (in Czech).

26 "Svět ticha" je název publikace Orbisu... . *Akvárium a Terárium* 18: 3 (in Czech)

1977

27 Jezero Bajkal. *Živa* 25: 177-178 (in Czech).

1985

28 S Lusk, V Baruš & J. Vostradovský 1983. Fish Our Waters. *Folia Zool.* 34: 243-244 (in Engl.)

1988

29. Lelek A. The Freshwater Fishes of Europe. Vol. 9. Threatened Fishes of Europe. *Věst. Čs. Společ. Zool.* **52**: 89 (in Engl.)

1990

30. Sterba G. (ed.) 1987. Süsswasserfische der Welt, 915 pp. *Věst. Čs. Společ. Zool.* **54**: 80 (in Engl.).

1991

31. Banareseu P. 1990. Zoogeography of Fresh Waters. General Distribution and Dispersal of Freshwater Animals Viesbaden. Aula-Verlag 511 pp. *Acta Soc. Zool. Bohemoslov.* **55**: 80 (in Engl.)

#### Articles devoted to aquaristics (in Czech)

1943:

1. [Geophagus gymnogenys Hensel] *Akvaristické Listy* **17**: 17-18

1946:

2. [The establishment of the public aquarium in Chomutov]. *Akvaristické Listy* **18**(3): 9.  
3. [Experiences with Cichlid breeding in natural conditions]. *Akvaristické Listy* **18**(3): 7-8.  
4. [Cichlasoma meeki (Brind, 1918)]. *Akvaristické Listy* **18**(3): 1-3.  
5. [Native fishes in aquarium]. *Akvaristické Listy* **18** (3): 3-5, (4): 4,(5-6). 3-5, (7-8). 3-4.

1947

6. [Haplochromis philander dispersus Trewavas]. *Akvaristické Listy* **19**: 1-2  
7. [Vivariatics in Denmark] *Akvaristické Listy* **19**: 5-6  
8. [Use correct nomenclature for aquarium fishes]. *Akvaristické Listy* **19**: 27  
9. [The vivariatics in Netherland] *Akvaristické Listy* **19**: 34.  
10. [Something about Cichlids for beginners]. *Akvaristické Listy* **19**: 44-47.

1948:

11. [Haplochromis moffati (Castelnau, 1861)]. *Akvaristické Listy* **20**: 17-18, 34-36.  
12. [About post-war aquaristics in Poland]. *Akvaristické Listy* **20**: 26-27  
13. [Aquaristics in Austria] *Akvaristické Listy* **20**: 39-40  
14. [Aquaristics in Finland]. *Akvaristické Listy* **20**: 40  
15. Contribution to the systematics of three species of Cichlasoma *Akvariet* **5/6**: 22, 53-56 (in Engl.)  
16. [The biology of Ambystoma mexicanum] *Akvaristické listy* **20**: 63-64, 75-78.  
17. [Exhibition of aquaria and terraria in Copenhagen]. *Akvaristické Listy* **20**: 81-82.  
18. [About live-bearers of the families Poeciliidae and Jennynsiidae]. *Akvaristické Listy* **20**: 89-98  
19. [Aquaristics in Sweden]. *Akvaristické Listy* **20**: 109-110.

1949

20. [Aquaristics in Poland]. *Akvaristické Listy* **21**: 45  
21. [Circle of aquarium-hobbyists in Warsaw, Poland] *Akvaristické Listy* **21**: 75  
22. [About war troubles of Polish aquanum-hobbyists] *Akvaristické Listy* **21**: 35

1950

23. [Look on American aquaristics] *Akvaristické Listy* **22**: 33-34.  
24. [About self-sufficiency in import of aquarium fishes and terrarium animals]. *Akvaristické Listy* **22**: 122-123

1951:

25. [We are establishing the aquarium] *Člověk a příroda* **8/9**: 134-135  
26. [About systematical position of the mouth-breeder "Haplochromis moffati" (Castelnau, 1862)] *Akvaristické Listy* **23**: 56-57

1952

27. OLIVA O. & LELLÁK J. [Electric eel] *Vesmír* **31**: 46-48.

1956:

28. [Tropical fishes bred here?] *Živa* **4**: 112-113  
29. [Note on genera Nannostomus and Poecilibrycon] *Živa* **4**: 232-233

1957

30. [Snake-head] *ABC Mladých Techniků a Přítrodovědců* **10**: 6  
31. [Aquaristics in USSR] *Živa* **5**: 188  
32. [Saccobranchus fossilis] *Živa* **5**: 227.

1958

- 33 [About snake-head from the river Amur]. *Aquarien u Terrarien* 5. 235 (in German).
- 34 [Some notes about progressive tradition of our aquaristics]. *Akvárium a Terárium* 1. 1-3
- 35 OLIVA O. & ŠAFRÁNEK V. [Our experiences with the breeding of *Tilapia macrocephala*]. *Akvárium a Terárium* 1. 68-69
- 36 OLIVA O. & ŠAFRÁNEK V. [Some words about lung-fishes]. *Živa* 6: 154.
- 37 [Is it prosperous to plant foreign fishes into our waters?]. *Živa* 6: 156
- 38 [About Amur snake-head]. *Živa* 6: 231
- 39 HYKŠ O. & OLIVA O. [Badis badis (Ham. Buch)]. *Akvárium a Terárium* 1. 10.
- 1960**
- 40 [Alligator-Gar, *Lepisosteus tristoechus*]. *Akvárium a Terárium* 4: 54-56
- 1961**
- 41 [*Micralestes interruptus* Boulenger, 1889]. *Wszechswiat* 1: 50 (in Polish).
- 42 [*Gasteropelecus sternicla* Linnaeus, 1758]. *Wszechswiat* 1. 50 (in Polish)
- 43 [*Puntius tetrazona* Bleeker (Cyprinidae)]. *Wszechswiat* 3: 74 (in Polish).
- 44 [*Copeina arnoldi* Regan]. *Wszechswiat* 3. 74 (in Polish).
- 45 [*Rasbora heteromorpha* Duncker]. *Wszechswiat* 4: 98-99 (in Polish).
- 46 [*Pterophyllum eimekei* Ahl]. *Wszechswiat* 4: 99 (in Polish).
- 47 [*Nannobrycon eques* (Steindachner)]. *Wszechswiat* 5 123-124 (in Polish).
- 48 [*Puntius oligolepis* Bleeker]. *Wszechswiat* 5: 123 (in Polish).
- 48 [*Betta splendens* Regan]. *Wszechswiat* 9. 228 (in Polish)
- 49 [*Monodactylus argenteus* L.]. *Wszechswiat* 9. 228 (in Polish).
- 50 [*Macropodus veridi-auratus* (Lacépède)]. *Wszechswiat* 9: 227-228 (in Polish).
- 51 [*Corydoras paleatus* Jenyns]. *Wszechswiat* 10: 255 (in Polish).
- 52 [*Xiphophorus helleri* Heckel]. *Wszechswiat* 10: 255 (in Polish).
- 53 OLIVA O. & ŠAFRÁNEK V. [*Triturus vulgaris*]. *Živa* 9: 77
- 1962**
- 54 [*Noemacheilus massyae*]. *Akvárium a Terárium* 1 10
- 55 [Genus *Pelmatochromis*]. *Akvárium a Terárium* 1 11
- 56 [*Aequidens latifrons* (Steindachner)]. *Wszechswiat* 1 21 (in Polish).
- 57 [*Lebistes reticulatus* (Peters)]. *Wszechswiat* 2 50-51 (in Polish)
- 58 [*Corynopoma risei* Gill]. *Wszechswiat* 2: 50 (in Polish)
- 59 [*Aplocheilichthys lineatus* (Cuv. et Val.)]. *Wszechswiat* 4 106 (in Polish)
- 60 [*Heteropneustes fossilis* (Bloch, 1792)]. *Wszechswiat* 4 106 (in Polish).
- 61 [About swamp-minnow, *Phoxinus phoxinus*, in Poland]. *Akvárium a Terárium* 6 83-84.
- 62 Einige Bemerkungen zum auftreten von *Proterorhinus marmoratus* (Pallas) in der Tschechoslowakei. *DATZ* 6. 171
- 63 [About transporting of terrarium animals]. *Akvárium a Terárium* 5: 32.
- 64 [*Phallostethus caudomaculatus* (Hensel) var. *reticulatus*]. *Wszechswiat* 6. 163 (in Polish).
- 65 [*Tamichthys albonubes* S. Y. Lin]. *Wszechswiat* 3: 79 (in Polish)
- 1963**
- 66 [Foreword to next annual issue of the bimonthly "Akvarium a Terárium"] *Akvárium a Terárium* 7: 1-2
- 67 [*Corydoras Schultzii* Holly, 1940]. *Wszechswiat* 6: 146 (in Polish).
- 68 [*Cryptopterus bicirrhus* (Val., 1839)]. *Wszechswiat* 6. 146 (in Polish)
- 69 [*Telmaterina ladigesii* Ahl]. *Wszechswiat* 9. 219 (in Polish)
- 70 [*Danio rerio*]. *Wszechswiat* 9. 220 (in Polish)
- 71 [*Etroplus suratensis*]. *Wszechswiat* 10 243 (in Polish)
- 72 [*Colisa fasciata*]. *Wszechswiat* 10: 243 (in Polish)
- 73 [*Pachypanchax homalonotus*]. *Wszechswiat* 11. 259 (in Polish)
- 74 [*Monodactylus argenteus*]. *Wszechswiat* 11: 269 (in Polish)
- 75 [Three interesting catfishes]. *Akvárium a Terárium* 1 12
- 1964**
- 76 [*Platyisternon megacephalum*]. *Akvárium a Terárium* 1: 48.
- 77 [About aquarium-hobby in Czechoslovakia]. *Wszechswiat* 1 7-9 (in Polish)
- 78 [*Epiplatys chapmani* Sauvage]. *Wszechswiat* 1 23 (in Polish).
- 79 [*Pachypanchax playfairi* Gunth]. *Wszechswiat* 1: 23 (in Polish)
- 80 [*Ctenopoma fasciolatum*]. *Wszechswiat* 4 91 (in Polish)
- 81 [Frič's legacy to the aquaristics]. *Akvárium a Terárium* 5/6: 65-66.
- 82 [*Leiocassis brashnikovi* Berg]. *Wszechswiat* 2 47 (in Polish)
- 83 [*Lepisosteus tristoechus*]. *Wszechswiat* 2 47 (in Polish)

84 [Protopterus dolloi Boulenger, 1900] *Wszechswiat* 12 275 (in Polish)

**1965**

85 [Macropodus vridiauratus concolor (E. Ahl 1935)] *Wszechswiat* 11 288 (in Polish)

86 [Megalophodus sweglesi] *Wszechswiat* 12 313 (in Polish)

87 [Labeo bicolor (H. M. Smith 1931)] *Wszechswiat* 5 134 (in Polish)

88 [Mesogonistius chaetodon (Baird, 1855)] *Wszechswiat* 5 134 135 (in Polish)

89 [Puntius filamentosus (Valenciennes, 1844)] *Wszechswiat* 9 232 (in Polish)

90 [Tetraodon fluviatilis (Hamilton Buchanan, 1822)] *Wszechswiat* 7/8 204 (in Polish)

91 [Pelmatochromis cnbensis] *Wszechswiat* 1 19 (in Polish)

92 [Neolebias ansorgei Boulenger] *Wszechswiat* 1 50 (in Polish)

93 [Astronotus ocellatus Agassiz, 1829] *Wszechswiat* 3 78 (in Polish)

**1968**

94 [About fish hatcheries in Florida] *Akvárium a Terárium* 5 71

95 [Treatment of fishes with hypermangan bath] *Akvárium a Terárium* 11 89

**1969**

96 [About discus fish] *Akvárium a Terárium* 12 39

**1974**

97 [Several remarks to Astronotus ocellatus] *Akvárium a Terárium* 17 123

**1975**

98 [Ictalurus nebulosus] *Akvárium a Terárium* 17 52

97 [Three spined stickle back] *Akvárium a Terárium* 18 90 91

**1979**

98 [About genus Trichopsis] *Živa* 27 67

**1980**

99 [Family Loricariidae] *Živa* 66 28

**1984**

100 [Mesogonistius chaetodon] *Živa* 32 71 73

**1985**

101 [Phallostethus dunckeri] *Živa* 33 226

**Translations (in Czech)**

**1947**

1 Stiger M. The exposition of aquarium and terrarium in Strasbourg *Akvaristické Listy* 19 33

2 Discoglossus pictus *Akvaristické Listy* 19 50-51

**1959**

3 Skrochowska S. Salmo trutta L. and Salmo trutta m. fario L. in ponds *Živa* 54 35

**1960**

4 Suchoveikhov F. M., Pisarenkova A. S. To the problem of acclimatization of asiatic fishes in Europe *Zool. Listy* 1

**1980**

5 Bartel R. Rainbow trout in the Baltic sea *Živa* 66 80

**1981**

6 Andreason S. The life of bullhead (Cottus gobio) *Živa* 67 149 150

Miroslav Švatora




Plate 1. Figs 52-56. Habitus of holotypes of: 52 - *L. richteri* sp. n.; 53 - *L. heinzi* sp. n.; 54 - *L. brancuccii* sp. n.; 55 - *L. deuveianus* sp. n.; 56 - *L. jani* sp. n.




Plate 2. Figs 57-61, Habitus of holotypes of: 57 - *L. klarae* sp. n.; 58 - *L. kucerai* sp. n.; 59 - *L. barkamensis* sp. n.; 60 - *L. haeckeli* sp. n.; 61 - *L. kalabi* sp. n.






Plate 3. Figs 62-66. Habitus of holotypes of: 62 - *L. kangdingensis* sp. n.; 63 - *L. langmusianus* sp. n.; 64 - *L. sciakyi* sp. n.; 65 - *L. shuamaluko* sp. n.; 66 - *L. yajiangensis* sp. n.




Plate 4. Figs 67-71. Habitus of holotypes of: 67 - *L. smetanai* sp. n.; 68 - *L. krali*, Farkač 1993; 69 - *L. kubani*, Farkač 1993; 70 - *L. janae* Farkač & Plutenko, 1992; 71 - *L. angulicollis* Fairmaire, 1886 (lectotype).

DOBRORUKA L. J.: Utilization of silk, use of webs, and predatory behaviour of the jumping spider *Pseudicius encarpatus* (Araneida: Salticidae)




Plate 5. Figs 1-3. 1- aggregation of cocoon-like over-wintering nests of *Pseudicius encarpatus*, 2 - tent-shaped resting nest of *P. encarpatus*, 3 - female *P. encarpatus* with prey in prey-catching widely spaced three-dimensional "mesh".

VITNER J.: Mating behaviour of *Aphodius (Chilothorax) distinctus* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae: Aphodiinae)




Plate 6. Figs 1-4. Pairs of *A. distinctus* moving in the chained position on the surface of a cattle dung. All photographs by P. Šrůta.

ZAJČOVÁ A.: The structure and the development of the ductus and saccus endolymphaticus in *Alligator mississippiensis* and *Phrynocephalus versicolor* (Reptilia)




Plate 7. Figs 1-8. Prenatal development of Ductus (DE) and Saccus endolymphaticus (SE) of *Alligator mississippiensis*. Paraffin sections stained with hematoxylin-eosin. Figs 1-3. Embryo head 11.6 mm. 1 - DE, 2 - IV<sup>th</sup> ventricle of the brain, \* - cartilage tissue, 3 - connective tissue. x 35. Fig. 4. Embryo head-length 14.9 mm. 1 - DE forms two ovoid vesicles in the connective tissue, 2 - IV<sup>th</sup> ventricle of the brain, 3 - duplication of dura mater. x 35. Fig. 5. Embryo head-length 33.1 mm (before incubation). 1 - DE with amorphous material in lumen, 2 - CNS, 3 - leptomeninges, 4 - dura mater. x 125. Figs 6-8. Embryo head-length 33.1 mm (before incubation). 1 - SE with amorphous material in lumen, 2 - DE, 3 - dura mater. x 125.




Plate 8. Figs 9-13. Figs 9, 9a, 9b. SE of *Phrynocephalus versicolor*, semithin sections stained with 0.2 % azur in combination with 2 % basic fuchsin. Fig. 9. Saccus endolymphaticus in connection to dura mater. x 15. Figs 9a-9b show epithelial cells lining SE with a light and dark vacuoles in cytoplasm (1), 2 - basement membrane, 3 - subepithelial connective tissue of dura mater, 4 - blood vessels. x 750. Figs 10-13. Electronograms. Ultrathin sections stained with 2% uranyl acetate and after Reynolds. Fig. 10. The columnar epithelial cells lining SE rest on basal lamina (1), subepithelial connective tissue (2). x 12,000. Fig. 11. Basal part of epithelial cells lining SE; 1 - basal lamina, 2 - subepithelial connective tissue, 3 - invagination of the cytoplasm. x 20,000. Figs 12-13. The cells with light vacuoles (1) and groups of small light vesicles (2), dark vacuoles (3). x 27,000.